

A Cross-Sectional Study of the Perceived Stress, Well-Being and Their Relations with Work-Related Behaviours among Hong Kong School Leaders during the COVID-19 **Pandemic**

Lau, Sam S.S.; Shum, Eric N.Y.; Man, Jackie O.T.; Cheung, Ethan T.H.; Amoah, Padmore Adusei; Leung, Angela Y.M.; Okan, Orkan; Dadaczynski, Kevin

International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health

DOI:

10.3390/ijerph192315777

Publication date:

2022

Document Version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Link to publication

Citation for pulished version (APA):
Lau, S. S. S., Shum, E. N. Y., Man, J. O. T., Cheung, E. T. H., Amoah, P. A., Leung, A. Y. M., Okan, O., & Dadaczynski, K. (2022). A Cross-Sectional Study of the Perceived Stress, Well-Being and Their Relations with Work-Related Behaviours among Hong Kong School Leaders during the COVID-19 Pandemic. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 19(23), Article 15777. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph192315777

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
 You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal?

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Download date: 04. Dez.. 2025



MDPI

Article

A Cross-Sectional Study of the Perceived Stress, Well-Being and Their Relations with Work-Related Behaviours among Hong Kong School Leaders during the COVID-19 Pandemic

Sam S. S. Lau ^{1,2,3,4},*, Eric N. Y. Shum ¹, Jackie O. T. Man ^{1,2}, Ethan T. H. Cheung ^{1,2}, Padmore Adusei Amoah ⁵, Angela Y. M. Leung ⁶, Orkan Okan ⁷, and Kevin Dadaczynski ^{8,9}

- Research Centre for Environment and Human Health, School of Continuing Education, Hong Kong Baptist University, Hong Kong
- Multidisciplinary Research Centre, School of Continuing Education, Hong Kong Baptist University, Hong Kong
- College of International Education, Hong Kong Baptist University, Hong Kong
- Institute of Bioresource and Agriculture, Hong Kong Baptist University, Hong Kong
- School of Graduate Studies, Department of Applied Psychology, Institute of Policy Studies, Lingnan University, Hong Kong
- ⁶ School of Nursing, Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hong Kong
- Department of Sport and Health Sciences, Technical University Munich, 80333 Munich, Germany
- ⁸ Public Health Centre Fulda, Fulda University of Applied Sciences, D-36039 Fulda, Germany
- Center for Applied Health Science, Leuphana University Lueneburg, 21335 Lueneburg, Germany
- * Correspondence: samlau@hkbu.edu.hk

Abstract: The health and well-being of school leaders during the COVID-19 pandemic have been largely neglected compared to the health and well-being of students and teachers. This study assessed the magnitude of perceived stress and well-being and the associated factors, including number of working hours, work-related sense of coherence (work-SoC), perceived stress, self-endangering work behaviour, secondary burnout symptoms, and satisfaction with work, among school leaders in Hong Kong, China during the COVID-19 pandemic. This cross-sectional, survey-based study collected demographic data and mental health measurements from 259 eligible school leaders in Hong Kong from April 2021 to February 2022. Pearson's correlation analyses, multilinear regression models, and independent-samples Student's ttests were performed. The findings revealed that school leaders' perceived stress was negatively correlated with their well-being (r = -0.544, p < 0.01) and work-related SoC (r = -0.327, p < 0.01) but positively correlated with their extensification of work (r = 0.473, p < 0.01), exhaustion related to work situations (r = 0.473, p < 0.01), exhaustion related to work situations (r = 0.473, p < 0.01), exhaustion related to work situations (r = 0.473, p < 0.01), exhaustion related to work situations (r = 0.473, p < 0.01), exhaustion related to work situations (r = 0.473, p < 0.01), exhaustion related to work situations (r = 0.473, p < 0.01), exhaustion related to work situations (r = 0.473, p < 0.01), exhaustion related to work situations (r = 0.473, p < 0.01), exhaustion related to work situations (r = 0.473, p < 0.01), exhaustion related to work situations (r = 0.473, p < 0.01), exhaustion related to work situations (r = 0.473, p < 0.01), exhaustion related to work situations (r = 0.473), exhaustion related to work situation related to work situations (r = 0.473), exhaustion related to work situations (r = 0.473), exhaustion related to work situations (r = 0.473), exhaustion related to work situation related to work situations (r = 0.473). 0.559, p < 0.01), and psychosomatic complaints (r = 0.439, p < 0.01). In a model that adjusted for gender and age, student leaders with higher subjective well-being scores had a lower level of perceived stress (B =-0.031; 95% confidence interval [CI], -0.59, -0.02; p=0.034), whereas leaders in schools with a larger student population had a higher level of perceived stress (B = 0.002; 95% CI, 0.000, 0.003; p = 0.030). School leaders with a higher likelihood of performing the self-endangering work behaviour of 'intensification of work' had higher perceived stress levels (B = 1.497; 95% CI, 0.717, 2.278; p < 0.001). School leaders with a higher work-related SoC (B = 4.20; 95% CI, 1.290, 7.106; p = 0.005) had a higher level of well-being. School leaders with higher levels of perceived stress (B = -0.734; 95% CI, -1.423, -0.044; p = 0.037), a higher likelihood of performing the self-endangering work behaviour of 'extensification of work' (B = -4.846; 95% CI, -8.543, -1.149; p = 0.010), and a higher score for exhaustion related to work (B = -10.449; 95% CI, -13.864, -7.033; p = 0.000) showed lower levels of well-being. The finding of a high incidence of stress among school leadership justifies the need for more societal attention to the well-being of school leaders in Hong Kong. It is important that policies and initiatives are designed to enhance the well-being of school leaders and that they are supported in leading the management of schools and coping with stress in school settings.

Keywords: COVID-19; school management; leadership; school principals; school leaders; occupational well-being; education; stress



Citation: Lau, S.S.S.; Shum, E.N.Y.; Man, J.O.T.; Cheung, E.T.H.; Amoah, P.A.; Leung, A.Y.M.; Okan, O.; Dadaczynski, K. A Cross-Sectional Study of the Perceived Stress, Well-Being and Their Relations with Work-Related Behaviours among Hong Kong School Leaders during the COVID-19 Pandemic. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* 2022, 19, 15777. https://doi.org/10.3390/ ijerph192315777

Academic Editor: Paul B. Tchounwou

Received: 4 November 2022 Accepted: 24 November 2022 Published: 27 November 2022

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

1. Introduction

The recent COVID-19 outbreak significantly interrupted every aspect of life [1,2]. International travel, economic growth, occupational practices, and schooling were interrupted globally. As of 1 November 2022, the COVID-19 pandemic has caused more than 6.5 million deaths, and 627 million cases have been confirmed globally [3]. During the pandemic, different forms of public health measures designed to inhibit the spread of COVID-19 were implemented around the world, including the closure of childcare centres, workplaces, public spaces, and schools. According to the United Nations Sustainable Development Group, by April 2020, approximately 1.6 billion learners in more than 190 countries encountered disrupted education due to the COVID-19 pandemic. More than 94% of the world's student population was affected by the closure of learning spaces and schools [4]. School closures due to COVID-19 outbreaks were stressful to students [5] and teachers [6]. Studies have shown that more than 60% of educators reported high or moderately high levels of stress and an inadequate working environment during the pandemic, and the consequences of the pandemic and the increased workload were the predominant stressors during this period [6]. Even prior to the COVID-19 pandemic, the health and well-being of school leaders has been a concern, with research showing that school principals across the globe experienced work intensification [7]. Work intensification refers to an increased amount of work and effort over time along with refraining from both work breaks and social interactions at work that often results in additional stress [8-10]. Denecker [11] reported that 95% of school principals in Switzerland considered their job to be very stressful, and more than half felt that their occupational stress had negative effects on their health and personal life. A study of German school principals indicated low levels of work-related sense of coherence (SoC) for administrative tasks, and more than one third of the respondents reported being dissatisfied or very dissatisfied with their job [12]. The low well-being of educators worldwide is worrying, and studies are needed to assess the well-being of educators as the pandemic continues.

1.1. Physical Health and Well-Being of School Leaders during the COVID-19 Pandemic

Despite the drastic effects of the COVID-19 pandemic on work and working environments, research on the health and well-being of school leaders during the COVID-19 pandemic is limited compared to research on frontline health workers [13,14]. School leaders such as principals, vice-principals, and assistant principals are central actors within schools, as they are responsible for leading all aspects of school development and making relevant decisions [12,15]. They are considered exceptional managers and excellent leaders in a time of continuous change in a digitalised environment [16]. Given the magnitude of the workload and the significance of the work, the role of school principals and leaders is highly demanding [17]. Evidence suggests that school quality and student achievement depend heavily on the quality of the school's leadership, as improving the quality of the principal improves students' academic performance [18,19]. The challenges of school leadership have been compounded by the COVID-19 pandemic, as school principals and leaders have been responsible for overseeing school closures and re-openings and for meeting the academic, emotional, and health needs of students and teachers who were quarantined or infected. A recent study revealed that school leaders played an important role in navigating the tensions at work during the global COVID-19 crisis, such as the tension between the well-being and the workload of students, teachers, and parents [20]. These findings suggest that school leaders are an occupational group that was under great stress and faced great challenges during the COVID-19 pandemic in Hong Kong.

1.2. Sense of Coherence and Burnout in School Leaders

Stress is known to have a detrimental effect on a person's health if they lack the internal or external resources necessary to respond to requirements in a timely manner. Antonovsky [21] developed the salutogenic model, which provides the theoretical basis for the stress resource-oriented concept that focuses on resources to maintain and improve health.

An SoC, which refers to individuals' capacity to cope with life stresses and psychological distress, is a major concept within the model [21,22]. Scholars have further proposed the concept of work-related SoC, which is defined as 'the perceived comprehensibility, manageability, and meaningfulness of an individual's current work situation' [23]. SoC consists of three components: comprehensibility, manageability, and meaningfulness. Comprehensibility reflects how individuals see their workplace in terms of organisation, consistency, and clarity [23]. The instrumental part of the concept is manageability, which is the extent to which an individual feels that there are sufficient resources available to satisfy the expectations of the job [24]. Finally, the motivating component determines whether or not the work environment is deemed worthy of devotion and involvement; this is referred to as meaningfulness [24]. The three components of SOC are used as personal resources that may protect individuals from stress and are also associated with a reduction of health risks [25], Individuals who have a high SOC level would see their environment as more comprehensive, manageable, and meaningful [26]. Before the pandemic, a study of German school principals indicated low levels of work-related SoC [12]. Another study of upper-secondary school teachers in Czech found that teachers with a higher SoC were better equipped to deal with adversity at work and to recognise and mobilise internal and external resources to avoid professional exhaustion and a decline in their ability to work [27]. During the pandemic, school principals were required to deal with an increased workload and stress originating from unprecedented situations [28]. An SoC may be one of the crucial factors preventing burnout in school principals. However, studies of work-related SoC among school principals during the COVID-19 pandemic have been scarce.

1.3. Self-Endangering Work Behaviour in School Leaders during Pandemic

Lazarus and Folkman [29] proposed the Transactional Model of Stress and Coping, which suggests that an individual's ability to cope with and adjust to difficulties and problems is a consequence of the transactions (or interactions) that take place between the individual and their surroundings. Based on this model, Dettmers et al. [30] proposed the concept of 'self-endangering work behaviour', which refers to behaviours that may be useful for achieving professional objectives but are detrimental to long-term health and productivity [30]. Examples of such behaviours are work intensification and extending work time [31]. Dettmers et al. [30] believed that self-endangering work behaviour may be seen as a type of coping reflex in response to a massive workload and increased demands for self-organisation, which negatively affect well-being. Therefore, it is worth studying the prevalence of self-endangering work behaviour and its relationship with teachers' well-being.

1.4. School Leaders in Hong Kong

As in many parts of the world, the suspension of face-to-face classes at schools was part of the public health measures implemented in response to the COVID-19 pandemic in Hong Kong. It was first implemented by the Education Bureau of the Government of the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region from late January until late May 2020, during the first and second waves of the pandemic [32]. School closures and re-openings continued during the third wave and fourth waves. Schools were suspended from August 2020 until mid-late September 2020 and again from December 2020 until after the Lunar New Year holidays in 2021 [32]. Because of these school closures, schooling transitioned to online systems at an unprecedented pace. Although some principals may have experienced the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus (SARS-CoV) outbreak in 2003 in Hong Kong, this rapid rate of educational reform, shifting from face-to-face learning to online learning caused by the prolonged COVID-19 pandemic, has remained a challenge and has been a stressful time for school leaders. It has been the longest period of school closures in recent decades. There were fewer than 100 school days in the whole year from 2020 to 2021, which represents a school suspension period five times longer than the suspension period that occurred during the SARS-CoV outbreak in 2003, which lasted for 51 days [33]. School leaders were required to take on the responsibility of managing the new reality in their

own schools. Uncertain and ever-changing situations like those created by the COVID-19 pandemic are considered to be stressful to school leaders [34]. However, previous studies have mainly focused on the well-being and mental health status of students, teachers, and the general population during the pandemic; there is little research on school leaders' health while they led through the pandemic [35]. Thus, there is an urgent need for empirical research on the mental health of school leaders in Hong Kong.

1.5. Study Objectives

Against this background, we examined the well-being of school leaders during the COVID-19 pandemic. The objectives of this study were to (1) assess the stress levels and well-being of school leaders in Hong Kong, (2) investigate the associated factors (i.e., gender, age, number of weekly working hours, teaching involvement, and position at the school) affecting the well-being of school leaders, (3) explore the differences in well-being and perceived stress levels in relation to different demographic variables, and (4) explore the level of exhaustion among school leaders in Hong Kong.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Procedures and Data Collection

This study was part of an international study on School Health Literacy based on the global COVID Health Literacy (COVID-HL) Network (https://COVID-hl.eu, accessed on 04 November 2022). The COVID-HL Network facilitates collaborative and cross-country research initiatives on health literacy and includes more than 150 researchers from more than 70 different countries. A cross-sectional descriptive study was conducted with school leaders across all schools in Hong Kong, China from April 2021 to February 2022. Eligibility was based primarily on whether the person was (1) a school leader (e.g., principal, viceprincipal, assistant principal, head, member of the school management committee or incorporated management committee, dean of admissions, director of learning support, or director of operations), (2) aged over 18 years, (3) working in a school (including a primary, secondary, or special school) in Hong Kong during the pandemic, and (4) living in Hong Kong. The participants were purposively recruited via email, social media, and personal contacts. The survey was administered either as a paper-and-pencil or online questionnaire in Chinese or English. An invitation with a link to an online Qualtrics survey was disseminated via email to the leaders of 1130 schools in Hong Kong based on those registered with the Education Bureau and through the authors' network and the social media platform WhatsApp. In addition, hardcopy questionnaires were posted to school leaders who had given prior verbal consent over the phone. The participants were informed of the objectives, duration, procedure, benefits, and risks of the study and were assured of their anonymity and confidentiality. All of the participants provided informed consent and were made aware of their right to withdraw at any time. The survey took 20–30 min to complete. The data were anonymised before being coded and evaluated. We used G*Power 3.1.9.7 software for Windows to calculate the sample size. A sample of 213 school leaders was deemed necessary based on a type I error of 0.05, a power of 0.95, an effect size of 0.1, and 18 potential predictors in the multiple linear regressions.

2.2. Instruments and Measurements

The questionnaires assessed demographics, job-related aspects, work-related SoC, perceived stress, self-endangering behaviour, work satisfaction, and health-related information in the context of the COVID-19 pandemic.

2.2.1. Demographic Indicators

Data for the following sociodemographic variables were collected: gender (male vs. female), age (year), type of school (primary, secondary, or special), and position at the school (school principal, school vice-principal, school assistant principal, or member of the school management committee or leadership team).

2.2.2. Work-Related Factors

The participants were asked about their weekly number of teaching hours, weekly number of working hours, changes in the number of weekly working hours as a consequence of the COVID-19 pandemic, the number of students in their schools, and the approximate percentages of students with low, middle, and high socioeconomic statuses.

2.2.3. Well-Being

The well-being of the respondents was assessed using the 5-item World Health Organization Well-being Index (WHO-5) [36]. The respondents were asked to rate how often they had experienced the feelings described in the statements over the last 2 weeks (e.g., 'I have felt cheerful and in good spirits'; 'I have felt calm and relaxed'; 'I woke up feeling fresh and rested'). Responses were scored on a 6-point scale ranging from 0, indicating 'never', to 5, indicating 'always'. A total raw score ranging from 0 to 25 was calculated, and was then multiplied by 4 to obtain the final score. Scores of 0 and 100 represented the worst and best levels of well-being, respectively. This score can be used to screen for depression, with a recommended cut-off score less than or equal to 50 indicating poor well-being and a score of 28 or lower indicating depression [37].

2.2.4. Exhaustion and Psychological Discomfort

To assess exhaustion related to work situations, we used the 'exhaustion' dimension of the Burnout Assessment Tool (BAT) [38]. All items were scored on a five-point Likert scale ranging from 1 ('never') to 5 ('always'). Responses were summed and divided by the number of items, with higher score indicating a greater level of exhaustion. The 'exhaustion' dimension has been shown to have satisfactory reliability [39].

To measure self-reported psychological discomfort, we used the five-item 'psychosomatic complaints' dimension of the BAT [39]. Each item is rated on a five-point Likert scale from 1 ('never') to 5 ('always'). Responses were summed and dividing by the number of items, with higher score indicating more psychosomatic complaints.

As recommended by Schaufeli, De Witte and Desart [38], the total scores for exhaust dimension and psychosomatic complaints were classified into four levels, 'low', 'average', 'high', and 'very high'.

2.2.5. Work-Related Sense of Coherence

SoC is the main component of Antonovsky's salutogenesis theory. Salutogenesis focuses on health resources, asking the question of what makes people healthy [22]. Based on the studies of Antonovsky and other researchers around the world, the answer to that question is SoC [22,40–43]. SoC, as a general life orientation, helps people to acquire and use health resources to manage their stressors and promote their health and well-being. In the present study, SoC was assessed using a self-reported 9-item scale within the work context [44]. After giving an introduction ('How do you personally find your current job and work situation in general?'), nine bipolar adjective pairs were presented that could be rated on a 7-point scale. Three subscales involving comprehensibility, manageability, and meaningfulness were included in the scale. Comprehensibility was measured by items 1, 3, 6, and 9, which included 'manageable-unmanageable' assessments. Manageability was measured by items 4 and 7, which included 'controllable-uncontrollable' assessments. Items 2, 5, and 8 measured meaningfulness using 'significant-insignificant' assessments. The mean scores for the items were calculated as the total scores, with higher scores indicating a higher SoC. This scale has been shown to have very good reliability (Cronbach's $\alpha = 0.83$) and acceptable to good reliability within subscales (Cronbach's $\alpha = 0.72$ to 0.84) [23].

2.2.6. Perceived Stress

The 10-item Perceived Stress Scale [45] was used in the context of the school setting and COVID-19 to measure work-related stress. It contains two subscales, perceived helplessness and perceived self-efficacy. Perceived helplessness was measured by items 1, 2, 3, 6, 9, and

10, which included the following question: 'How often have you been upset because of something that happened unexpectedly at your work at school?'. Items 4, 5, 7, and 8 were used to measure perceived self-efficacy, and included the following question: 'How often have you been able to control irritations at your work at school?'. Participants rated these items on a 5-point scale from 1 = 'never' to 5 = 'very often'. After reversing the scoring for items 4, 5, 7, and 8, the sum of all 10 items was calculated as the total score. A higher total score indicated a greater level of perceived stress by the respondent. This scale has been shown to have satisfactory reliability (perceived helplessness: Cronbach's $\alpha = 0.85$; perceived self-efficacy: Cronbach's $\alpha = 0.88$ –0.89), and confirmatory factor analysis and correlation analyses supported high content validity and construct validity [46].

2.2.7. Self-Endangering Work Behaviour

To assess self-endangering behaviours, we used three subscales of the subjective self-endangering work behaviour scale [47], including six-item 'extensification of work', three-item 'intensification of work', and three-item 'quality reduction' (e.g., '... give up leisure activities in favour of work', '...you find burdensome?', and '...satisfied even with a worse work result than you would normally be?'). All items were scored on a 5-point Likert scale that ranges from 1 ('never/very rarely') to 5 ('very often'). The reliability and validity have been reported previously [47].

2.2.8. Work Satisfaction

Work satisfaction was assessed by asking the respondents to rate how satisfied they were with their overall work using a 5-point scale ranging from 1, indicating 'very dissatisfied', to 5, indicating 'very satisfied'.

2.3. Data Analysis

The collected data were analysed using Statistical Package for Social Science version 27.0 for Windows (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). Continuous data are presented as means (M) with standard deviations (SD). Categorical data are presented as counts (*n*) and relative frequencies (%). Correlation and linear regression analyses were performed to explore the correlations between perceived stress, well-being, and other outcome variables. Independent-samples Student's t-tests were performed to explore the mean differences in other independent variables between the groups with high and low levels of perceived stress. A *p*-value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

2.4. Ethical Considerations

This research was conducted with the approval of the Research Ethics Committee of Hong Kong Baptist University, Hong Kong, China (REC/20-21/0465). All of the school leaders participated in the study anonymously and voluntarily. No personal data were collected. They were informed of the study's objectives and the collection, anonymisation, and privacy of all personal data. All participants provided informed consent before their inclusion in the study.

3. Results

Table 1 shows the demographic and work characteristics of the participants.

Table 1. Demographic characteristics, school types and situations, perceived stress, health, self-endangering work behaviours, work-related SoC, and well-being of school leaders (n = 259).

$\begin{tabular}{ll} \textbf{Variables} \\ \textbf{Age, mean} \pm \textbf{SD, 22-68 years} \\ \end{tabular}$			
Gender	Male Female	146 (56.6) 112 (43.4)	
School type	Primary school Secondary school Special school	66 (25.7) 173 (67.3) 18 (7.0)	
	School principal or head of school	210 (81.8)	
Current position at school	Member of the school management committee or incorporated management committee	10 (3.9)	
	Leadership team (e.g., dean of admissions, director of learning support, director of operations)	39 (15.1)	
Involved in teaching	Yes No	163 (64.9) 88 (35.1)	
Weekly number of working hours, mean \pm S	SD	52.1 ± 15.7	
Change in weekly number of working hours during the COVID-19 pandemic	Lower than before the COVID-19 pandemic About the same Higher than before the COVID-19 pandemic	16 (6.2) 134 (51.7) 109 (42.1)	
Number of students at school, mean \pm SD		630.4 ± 246.7	
Number of students at school	≤600 601–1000 ≥1001	80 (32.0) 170 (65.6) 6 (2.3)	
Work-related SoC, mean \pm SD		4.91 ± 0.77	
Perceived stress, mean \pm SD		31.1 ± 3.89	
Self-endangering behaviours, mean \pm SD	Extensification of work Intensification of work Quality reduction	3.61 ± 0.75 3.07 ± 0.85 2.51 ± 0.76	
Work satisfaction	Very dissatisfied/Quite dissatisfied Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied Very satisfied/Quite satisfied	32 (12.6) 100 (39.2) 123 (48.2)	
Exhaustion related to work situation, Burnout Assessment Tool	Very high High Average Low	82 (31.7) 80 (30.9) 88 (34.0) 9 (3.5)	
Psychosomatic complaints	Very high High Average Low	12 (4.7) 54 (21.1) 146 (57.0) 44 (17.2)	

SD, standard deviation; SoC, sense of coherence.

3.1. Characteristics of the Participants

Two hundred and fifty-nine school leaders responded to the survey. The mean age of the respondents was 48.6 years (SD = 7.48), ranging from 22 to 68 years, with slightly more than half of the participants were male (56.4%). 64.9% of the respondents were involved in teaching. The majority of the respondents (67.3%) worked at secondary schools, 25.7% worked at primary schools, and 7.0% worked at special schools. The average number of working hours per week was 52.1 ± 15.73 , which is considerably higher than the population

average of 44 h per week [48]. More than half of the respondents (54.6%) worked more than 50 h per week, and 42.1% of the respondents worked more during than before the COVID-19 pandemic (Table 1).

3.2. Number and Socioeconomic Status of the Students

Most (64.5%) of the respondents reported that the student population at their school range from 600 to 1000, 31.5% reported a student population less than or equal to 600, and 3.9% reported a student population greater than or equal to 1000. The average percentages of students from families with lower, middle, and higher socioeconomic statuses were $46.4 \pm 25.8\%$, $38.8 \pm 20.9\%$, and $11.9 \pm 15.0\%$, respectively.

3.3. Work-Related Sense of Coherence

The mean work-related SoC score was 4.91 \pm 0.77. The mean scores for the three subscales of comprehensibility, manageability, and meaningfulness were 4.85 \pm 0.97, 4.22 \pm 0.97, and 5.46 \pm 1.04, respectively.

3.4. Perceived Stress

The mean score for perceived stress was 31.1 ± 3.90 . Most of the respondents (90.1%) were categorised in the high-stress category, with 23 respondents (9.1%) in the moderate-stress category and none in the low-stress category.

3.5. Self-Endangering Work Behaviours

The mean scores for extensification of work, intensification of work, and quality reduction were 3.61 \pm 0.75, 3.07 \pm 0.85, and 2.51 \pm 0.76, respectively.

3.6. Work Satisfaction

Almost half of the respondents (48.2%) were very satisfied or quite satisfied with their work. Only 32 respondents (12.6%) were very dissatisfied or quite dissatisfied with their work. The remaining respondents (39.2%) were neither satisfied nor dissatisfied with their work.

3.7. Well-Being

The mean WHO-5 index score of the respondents was 54.0 ± 20.3 . For exhaustion related to work situations, the percentages of respondents classified as 'very high', 'high', 'average', and 'low' were 26.3%, 36.2%, 35.5%, and 2.0%, respectively. For psychosomatic complaints, the percentages of respondents classified as 'very high', 'high', 'average', and 'low' were 17.2%, 57.0%, 21.1%, and 4.7%, respectively.

Table 2 presents the interrelations between the key variables. A strong positive correlation was found between perceived stress and the intensification of work. Perceived stress was moderately negatively correlated with the WHO-5 well-being index score and work-related SoC and moderately positively correlated with the extensification of work, exhaustion related to work situations, and psychosomatic complaints. The WHO-5 well-being index score was strongly or moderately negatively correlated with exhaustion related to work, the intensification of work, the extensification of work, and psychosomatic complaints.

Variables	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
1. Age	-	0.117	-0.244 **	0.092	0.109	0.152 *	0.052	0.026	0.105	-0.001	-0.029	-0.069
2. Weekly number of working hours		-	-0.133 *	0.144 *	0.065	-0.056	0.081	-0.103	0.324 **	0.088	0.038	0.087
3. Weekly number of teaching hours			-	-0.047	0.000	0.005	-0.097	0.058	-0.102	-0.052	-0.065	-0.092
4. Number of students				-	0.067	-0.180 **	0.178 **	-0.134 *	0.321 **	0.371 **	0.051	0.200 **
5. Work-related SoC					-	-0.327 **	0.397 **	-0.218 **	-0.389 **	-0.241 **	-0.372 **	-0.268 **
6. Perceived stress						-	-0.544 **	0.473 **	0.621 **	0.299 **	0.595 **	0.439 **
7. WHO-5 Well-Being Index score							-	-0.458 **	-0.554 **	-0.189 **	-0.706 **	-0.471 **
8. Self-endangering behaviour:Extensification of work								-	0.654 **	0.129 *	0.459 **	0.331 **
9. Self-endangering behaviour:Intensification of work									-	0.353 **	0.667 **	0.516 **
10. Self-endangering behaviour:Quality reduction										-	0.265 **	0.219 **
11. Exhaustion related to work											-	0.559 **
12. Psychosomatic complaints												-

Table 2. Intercorrelations between key variables.

3.8. Factors Associated with Perceived Stress

Multiple linear regression models adjusted for age, gender, and position at the school were computed to explore the associations between perceived levels of stress and other independent variables. Table 3 shows that higher perceived stress levels were associated with (1) work as a vice-principal (B = 1.149; 95% confidence interval [CI], 0.105, 2.193; p = 0.031), (2) higher 'intensification of work' scores in the self-endangering work behaviour scale (B = 0.182; 95% CI, -0.577, 0.940; p = 0.001), and (3) higher scores in the 'exhaustion related to work' dimension (B = 0.568; 95% CI, -0.063, 1.199; p = 0.002; F [16,188] = 11.386; p < 0.001).

Table 3. Factors associated with the level of perceived stress via multiple linea	

Variables	B (95% CI)	β	р
Gender	-0.393 (-1.220, 0.434)	-0.051	0.350
Age	-0.003 (-0.063, 0.057)	-0.006	0.920
Position (vice-principal)	1.149 (0.105, 2.193)	0.141	0.031 *
Position (school assistant principal)	1.186 (-0.345, 2.717)	0.093	0.128
Position (school management committee)	-0.125 (-2.445, 2.195)	-0.006	0.915
Position (leadership team member)	-0.074 (-1.552, 1.403)	-0.007	0.921
Weekly number of teaching hours	-0.016 (-0.057, 0.025)	-0.046	0.448
Weekly number of working hours	0.030 (0.002, 0.058)	0.126	0.035
Number of students	0.001 (0.000, 0.003)	0.104	0.067
Work-related sense of coherence	0.004 (-0.531, 0.674)	0.001	0.990
Self-endangering behaviour: Extensification of work	0.219 (-0.593, 0.600)	0.035	0.637
Self-endangering behaviour: Intensification of work	0.182 (-0.577, 0.940)	0.308	0.001 *
Self-endangering behaviour: Quality reduction	1.416 (0.614, 2.218)	0.103	0.078
Exhaustion related to work	0.568 (-0.063, 1.199)	0.252	0.002 *
Psychosomatic complaints	1.151 (0.429, 1.872)	0.133	0.044
Percentage of students in school from families with a lower socioeconomic status	0.802 (0.021, 1.582)	0.040	0.463
R-squared value	0.492		

^{*} p < 0.05; CI, confidence interval; B, the unstandardized beta; β , the standardized beta.

Table 4 provides the results of independent-samples Student's t-tests and least significant difference post hoc tests, which were used to compare the perceived stress scores and well-being index values by gender and position at the school. Female leaders attained higher scores (31.21 \pm 3.61) than male leaders (30.86 \pm 3.80) for perceived level of stress, although no significant difference was found (t [253] = -0.756, p = 0.450). Assistant prin-

^{**} p < 0.01; * p < 0.05; SoC, sense of coherence.

cipals (32.14 \pm 4.41) reported higher scores than school principals (30.75 \pm 3.57), school vice-principals (31.21 \pm 3.70), members of school management committees (29.40 \pm 2.01), and leadership team members (31.59 \pm 5.03) for perceived level of stress, although there were no significant differences found between positions at the school. There were no significant differences in perceived levels of stress between the three age groups.

Table 4. Results of independent-samples Student's t-tests and least significant difference post hoc tests.

		Pero	eived Stress		Well-Being Index (WHO-5) Score		
Grouping Variables	Grouping Variables	Mean Diff.	Sig. Error	р	Mean Diff.	Sig. Error	р
Male	Female	-0.35511	0.46979	0.450	3.61057	2.51853	0.153
Principals	School vice-principals	-0.46671	0.57139	0.415	1.76311	2.97486	0.554
	School assistant principals	-1.38879	0.91284	0.129	5.83583	4.76806	0.222
	Members of the school management committee	1.34757	1.28733	0.296	-10.23689	6.72414	0.129
	Leadership team	-0.84702	0.74493	0.257	-0.61638	3.81689	0.872
	School assistant principals	-0.92208	0.93083	0.323	4.07273	4.85610	0.402
School vice-principals	Members of the school management committee	1.81429	1.30015	0.164	-12.00000	6.78686	0.078
	Leadership team	-0.38031	0.76687	0.620	-2.37949	3.92632	0.545
School assistant principals	Members of the school management committee	2.73636	1.48229	0.066	-16.07273 *	7.74248	0.039 *
	Leadership team	0.54177	1.04636	0.605	-6.45221	5.41299	0.234
Members of the school management committee	Leadership team	-2.19459	1.38521	0.114	9.62051	7.19585	0.182
Age Group: 45 or below	Age Group: 46 to 50	0.27891	0.68478	0.684	2.45591	3.50335	0.484
	Age Group: 51 or above	0.11469	0.59215	0.847	-1.30526	3.03458	0.667
Age Group: 46 to 50	Age Group: 51 or above	0.16422	0.62912	0.794	-3.76118	3.22076	0.244

^{*} p < 0.05.

Male leaders reported higher well-being index scores (55.75 \pm 19.31) than female leaders (52.14 \pm 20.97), but the difference was not statistically significant (t [256] = 1.434, p = 0.136). Participants who worked as school assistant principals (48.72 \pm 20.35) reported significantly lower well-being index scores than those who worked as members of school management committees (64.80 \pm 23.00). There were no other statistically significant differences in the level of well-being between the remaining position groups in our study. There were no significant differences in the level of well-being among the three age groups.

3.9. Factors Associated with Well-Being

Multiple linear regression models adjusted for age, gender, and position at the school were used to explore the associations between the level of well-being and the other independent variables. Table 5 presents the regression coefficients for predicting the level of well-being based on other factors. Higher WHO-5 index scores were associated with (1) lower 'exhaustion related to work' subscale scores (B = -11.784, 95% CI, -15.366, -8.203; p < 0.001) in the self-endangering work behaviour scale, (2) lower 'extensification of work' subscale scores in the self-endangering work behaviour scale (B = -4.201; 95% CI, -7.975, -0.427; p = 0.029), and (3) higher work-related SoC (B = 4.466; 95% CI, 1.513, 7.419;

p = 0.003; F [19,189] = 12.72, p < 0.001). There were no significant associations between the level of well-being and the remaining independent variables.

Table 5. Factors associated with the level of well-being via multiple linear regression analyses.

Variables	B (95% CI)	β	p
Gender	0.476 (-0.231, 0.369)	0.012	0.819
Age	0.069(-3.631, 4.583)	0.026	0.651
Position (vice-principal)	-0.786 (-6.009, 4.437)	-0.019	0.767
Position (school assistant principal)	-1.241 (-8.904, 6.421)	-0.019	0.750
Position (school management committee)	10.463(-1.143, 22.069)	0.096	0.077
Position (leadership team member)	7.041(-0.312, 14.394)	0.129	0.060
Weekly number of teaching hours	-0.074 (-0.279, 0.131)	-0.041	0.479
Weekly number of working hours	0.038(-0.102, 0.179)	0.031	0.590
Number of students	0.001(-0.007, 0.009)	0.009	0.864
Work-related sense of coherence	4.466 (1.513, 7.419)	0.177	0.003 *
Self-endangering behaviour: Extensification of work	-4.201 (-7.975 , -0.427)	-0.157	0.029 *
Self-endangering behaviour: Intensification of work	0.063(-3.895, 4.021)	0.003	0.975
Self-endangering behaviour: Quality reduction	0.585(-2.545, 3.716)	0.021	0.713
Exhaustion related to work	-11.784 (-15.366, -8.203)	-0.494	0.000 *
Psychosomatic complaints	-2.115 (-5.956, 1.727)	-0.068	0.279
Percentage of students in school from families with a lower socioeconomic status	-0.056 (-0.135 , 0.022)	-0.073	0.160

^{*} p < 0.05.

4. Discussion

The present study revealed a high perceived stress level in school leaders in Hong Kong, with the vast majority of participants (90.1%) categorised as highly stressed. The perceived stress score (31.1 \pm 3.89) of school leaders in Hong Kong was remarkably higher than the scores reported elsewhere (e.g., 12.7 \pm 4.5 in Taiwan, Duong et al. [49]). This is consistent with the findings of Reid [50], who found that school leaders in the U.S. reported increased levels of stress during the COVID-19 pandemic. Other studies have also shown that school staff have encountered mental health difficulties and challenges since the onset of the pandemic [51–54].

Our findings suggest that school leaders' perceived levels of stress vary with different demographic factors. The perceived level of stress was slightly higher in female than male school leaders, although the difference was not significant. This finding may be explained by the fact that females are generally more involved in caring functions at home, resulting in additional responsibilities and, hence, more stress [55]. Our findings support those of Santomauro et al. [56], whose systematic review of studies from 204 countries and territories found that females were generally more affected than males by depressive disorders during the COVID-19 pandemic. However, previous studies on the gender difference in the stress levels of school principals have found mixed results. Although some studies have shown that male principals experienced slightly higher stress levels compared to their female counterparts [57–59], other studies found that females experienced higher levels of stress than males in educational settings [60,61].

Our results showed no significant association between participants' perceived level of stress and their age. However, a recent study reported that school principals' stress level is inversely correlated with their age [62]. Further research has suggested that the level of perceived stress varies with the experience of the school principal, as those with less experience had a higher level of perceived stress than more senior principals [57]. However, a previous study of primary and high-school teachers in Greece found that older teachers reported higher levels of stress related to the support they perceived to be receiving from the government, whereas younger teachers reported higher levels of burnout, as evidenced by emotional exhaustion and disengagement from the profession [63]. These previous findings demonstrated that age may affect the perceived stress of teaching and administrative staff, and this may depend on the context of the stressor. Thus, the association between principals' perceived stress and age remains unclear under the context of COVID-19. Whilst it is

expected that younger principals may not have a frame of reference from past experiences, this disadvantage may not be applicable to the COVID-19 pandemic, as it is the first time in half a century that school principals have faced such a large-scale prolonged pandemic. At the same time, older principals may not benefit from their experience and wisdom, as they have no comparable experience. Thus, future studies may focus on the stresses that principals of different ages experience as the pandemic continues.

The association between higher 'intensification of work' subscale scores and self-endangering work behaviour scales and higher levels of perceived stress in our study echo the findings of several previous studies showing that work intensification is one of the critical work stressors regardless of the occupation [64–66]. The present study demonstrated the mutual relationship between work intensification and perceived stress among school principals. Previous studies revealed that increasing work intensification causes stress to employees [67,68]. These findings are consistent with the concept proposed by the Transactional Model of Stress and Coping [29,30], in which self-endangering work behaviour is the transaction (or interaction) that occurs between individuals and their environment when the individuals are trying to find resources [30]. In the current study, in an adjusted regression model, perceived stress predicted self-endangering work behaviour (i.e., work intensification). Thus, predicting self-endangering work behaviour by school leaders based on their perceived level of stress is worth investigating in the future.

Our study revealed that work intensification was strongly correlated with work-related exhaustion, which is in line with the findings of Boxall and Macky [67]. Previous studies have found that emerging policies and changes in the education system were sources of increasing work intensification pressure on school management staff [69,70]. Under the context of the COVID-19 pandemic, emerging policies and changes in the delivery of education, such as the adoption of online learning, often occurred in response to the severity of the pandemic [71]. Such changes may have varied the nature of educational leadership work, such that school leaders needed to adopt new methods to communicate with students and their families and deal with disciplinary problems in new forms of education, such as attendance and appearance in online settings [72].

In agreement with other studies, we found that a greater number of weekly working hours was associated with higher levels of perceived stress. It has long been documented that longer working hours are associated with higher levels of stress and lower quality of life [73–75]. A previous study in Korea suggested that those who worked more than 50 h per week were more likely to report high levels of perceived stress [76]. Long working hours have been associated with the psychosocial stress responses of white-collar workers [77]. In the context of COVID-19, an increase in the number of weekly working hours was associated with increased perceived stress levels among nursing staff in Austria [75]. Studies have also shown a significant increase in the number of teachers' working hours since October 2020 [78], and teachers may have experienced burnout during this period of crisis [79,80]. There is a lack of literature concerning the perceived stress of school leaders in relation to the number of working hours during the pandemic. However, it has been documented that the diverse range of expectations from schools and educational reforms have increased the workload and the level of stress for principals worldwide [12,81,82]. Indeed, it is inevitable that the numerous COVID-19-related control measures, which have affected the organisation of schools and the teaching and learning structure in many ways, also affected the work schedule and workload of school personnel. The effects of such changes on the stress levels of school personnel are therefore understandable.

Vice principals and assistant principals tended to have a higher level of perceived stress than principals. Vice principals play a crucial mediating role between teachers, students, principals, and the school organisation [83]. Recent studies have suggested that more than 70% of vice principals in Japan, as intermediaries between staff teachers and their principals, who work overtime for 3 h or more each day, may be under more role stress than staff teachers and principals [84,85]. In addition, the widespread educational reforms, which have contributed to the expanded responsibilities of vice principals, may

also explain their higher stress levels [86]. In Hong Kong, Walker and Kwan [87] reported that vice principals who did not aspire to become principals experienced higher levels of stress than those who did have such aspirations. Thus, additional attention should be placed on the mental health of principals, especially vice principals and assistant principals.

In the current study, lower 'exhaustion related to work' scores were associated with greater well-being. Our findings replicate those of a previous study showing that perceived stress in school principals increases burnout, in turn, affecting their well-being [88]. Moreover, it has been documented that experiencing work fatigue is one of the vital issues in educators' well-being [89]. Our findings indicated that exhaustion related to work affected leaders' well-being during the pandemic. In the context of the widespread well-being crisis during the COVID-19 pandemic, health authorities and policy makers need to review the healthcare system to provide support for leaders' well-being. In addition, we found that lower 'extensification of work' scores were associated with greater well-being. This is in line with a previous study showing that increased job intensity increases the risk of burnout and stress in employees, and contributes to poor work–life balance [67]. Moreover, higher SoC scores and better perceived general health predicted greater well-being in our study.

Significant association was found between well-being and perceived stress in regression models. However, a moderate negative association between perceived stress and work-related SoC was identified. In a previous study of leadership professions, high levels of stress were found to affect the leaders' well-being [90]. However, research suggests that the workload and role balance, not the school or individual qualities, may explain principals' levels of well-being [91]. Support from education sector organisations and government agencies, stressors from parents and employees, the principal's fitness level, and engagement in principal networks have all been shown to be major contributors to well-being [92]. Therefore, the well-being of school leaders is a multi-dimensional concept, influenced by multiple factors.

5. Strengths and Limitations

The research described in this paper helps fill a research gap in the effects of the COVID-19 pandemic on the mental health and well-being of school leaders. One key strength of the study is the relatively heterogeneous sample that allowed us to explore different subgroups (e.g., principals, vice principals, and assistant principals). However, some limitations should be considered when interpreting the results. First, we employed a cross-sectional design, such that it was not possible to prove causality. Second, as with any self-report survey-based study, response bias may have affected the validity of our findings. Social desirability bias may lead to the over-reporting of positive factors or the underreporting of negative factors. Third, although invitations were extended via a purposive sampling approach, initially to all schools in Hong Kong, the study population may not be representative of all school leaders as additional efforts were made to reach out to participants through the authors' personal networks to increase the response rate. This may have limited the generalisability of the findings to the broader school leader population. Fourth, as the majority of the participants in the study were categorised in the high-stress group, there may have been selection bias, in that the school leaders who felt stressed were more likely to participate in the study. The significance of the sampling approach in mental health studies has been noted as a key concern during COVID-19 [93]. Fifth, the study was limited by the data collection difficulties and thus the extended data collection period (i.e., 11 months) during the COVID-19 outbreaks in Hong Kong. During which, school operations varied between half-day face-to-face lessons and suspending face-to-face classes. Finally, our study was based on a quantitative approach only, and we did not assess mental well-being using qualitative methods. Further studies using a longitudinal mixed methods design and a larger, more representative sample would be of value. In addition, future research in developing moderated or mediated models (e.g. [94]) in examining whether different variables may moderate or mediate the relationship between stress and wellbeing is warranted.

6. Conclusions

Recognising the profound and enduring effects of the lingering COVID-19 pandemic on mental health [95], rigorous empirical evidence is critical to improve our understanding of its effects in school settings. Previous research in school environments has tended to concentrate on the mental health and well-being of students (e.g., Drane et al. [96]) and teachers (e.g., Fray et al. [97]), rather than school leadership. The present study contributes to the scant literature on the mental health and well-being of school leaders during the COVID-19 pandemic, especially in China. School leaders were already subjected to stress prior to the pandemic due to their leadership role, and the results of the current study underscore the need to find ways to support school leaders to maintain their mental health during times of crisis. The findings presented herein highlight the need for policies and initiatives aimed at enhancing the mental health and well-being of school leaders in Hong Kong school settings during the pandemic and beyond. A practical implication for school management emerging from this study is to create conditions for a support system, in which school leaders are included, to provide mental health and well-being support. We hope that our study encourages school leadership community and the Education Bureau to discuss strategies and ways to better support school leaders to help them better promote health and wellbeing. With the ongoing ramifications of the COVID-19 pandemic, it is essential that additional efforts are paid to the continued monitoring of school leaders' mental health and well-being.

Author Contributions: S.S.S.L., P.A.A., A.Y.M.L., K.D. and O.O. contributed to the conception and design of the study; S.S.S.L. supervised the preparation and implementation of the study; S.S.S.L., J.O.T.M. and E.T.H.C. managed and coordinated the study; S.S.S.L. secured funding to undertake the study; S.S.S.L., J.O.T.M., E.T.H.C. coordinated and performed data collection; P.A.A. and A.Y.M.L. assisted in parts of the data collection; S.S.S.L. and E.N.Y.S. conducted data anlaysis; S.S.S.L. and E.N.Y.S. wrote up of the manuscript,; S.S.S.L., E.N.Y.S., J.O.T.M., E.T.H.C., P.A.A., K.D. and O.O. reviewed and commented on the final manuscript. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: The work described in this paper was supported by a grant from the Research Grants Council of the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, China (Project No. UGC/IDS(R) 23/20). Part of the data collection of the study was supported by the Research Collaboration and Development Fund of Lingman University (CF21A2). The funding bodies had no influence on the content of the paper and the publication process.

Institutional Review Board Statement: The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, and approved by the Hong Kong Baptist University's Research Ethics Committee (REC/20-21/0465).

Informed Consent Statement: Informed consent was obtained from all subjects involved in the study.

Data Availability Statement: The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Acknowledgments: The authors would like to extend our sincere thanks to the school leaders for their participation and contributions.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Lau, B.H.-P.; Chan, C.L.-W.; Ng, S.-M. Self-compassion buffers the adverse mental health impacts of COVID-19-related threats: Results from a cross-sectional survey at the first peak of Hong Kong's outbreak. *Front. Psychiatry* **2020**, *11*, 585270. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 2. Li, Q.; Guan, X.; Wu, P.; Wang, X.; Zhou, L.; Tong, Y.; Ren, R.; Leung, K.S.; Lau, E.H.; Wong, J.Y. Early transmission dynamics in Wuhan, China, of novel coronavirus–infected pneumonia. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **2020**, *382*, 1199–1207. [CrossRef]
- World Health Organization. Tracking SARS-CoV-2 Variants. Available online: https://www.who.int/activities/tracking-SARS-CoV-2-variants (accessed on 15 September 2022).

- United Nations. Policy brief: Education During COVID-19 and Beyond. Available online: https://unsdg.un.org/resources/ policy-brief-education-during-covid-19-and-beyond (accessed on 1 October 2022).
- 5. Tang, Y.M.; Chen, P.C.; Law, K.M.; Wu, C.-H.; Lau, Y.-y.; Guan, J.; He, D.; Ho, G.T. Comparative analysis of Student's live online learning readiness during the coronavirus (COVID-19) pandemic in the higher education sector. *Comput. Educ.* **2021**, *168*, 104211. [CrossRef]
- Vargas Rubilar, N.; Oros, L.B. Stress and burnout in teachers during times of pandemic. Front. Psychol. 2021, 12, 756007. [CrossRef]
 [PubMed]
- 7. Riley, P. *The Australian Principal Occupational Health, Safety and Wellbeing Survey 2017 Data*; Australian Catholic University: Brisbane, QLD, Australia, 2018.
- 8. Korunka, C.; Kubicek, B. Beschleunigung im Arbeitsleben–neue Anforderungen und deren Folgen. In *Immer Schneller, Immer Mehr*; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2013; pp. 17–39.
- 9. Kubicek, B.; Paškvan, M.; Korunka, C. Development and validation of an instrument for assessing job demands arising from accelerated change: The intensification of job demands scale (IDS). *Eur. J. Work Organ. Psychol.* **2015**, 24, 898–913. [CrossRef]
- 10. Handel, M.J. Trends in Perceived Job Quality, 1989 to 1998. Work Occup. 2005, 32, 66-94. [CrossRef]
- 11. Denecker, C.M. School principals' work stress in an era of new education governance. Swiss J. Sociol. 2019, 45, 447–466. [CrossRef]
- 12. Dadaczynski, K.; Paulus, P.; Horstmann, D. The predictive value of individual and work-related resources for the health and work satisfaction of German school principals. *Health Educ. J.* **2020**, *79*, 225–236. [CrossRef]
- 13. Buselli, R.; Corsi, M.; Veltri, A.; Baldanzi, S.; Chiumiento, M.; Del Lupo, E.; Marino, R.; Necciari, G.; Caldi, F.; Foddis, R. Mental health of Health Care Workers (HCWs): A review of organizational interventions put in place by local institutions to cope with new psychosocial challenges resulting from COVID-19. *Psychiatry Res.* **2021**, 299, 113847. [CrossRef]
- 14. Li, G.; Miao, J.; Wang, H.; Xu, S.; Sun, W.; Fan, Y.; Zhang, C.; Zhu, S.; Zhu, Z.; Wang, W. Psychological impact on women health workers involved in COVID-19 outbreak in Wuhan: A cross-sectional study. *J. Neurol. Neurosurg. Psychiatry* **2020**, *91*, 895–897. [CrossRef]
- Lau, S.S.S.; Shum, E.N.; Man, J.O.; Cheung, E.T.; Amoah, P.A.; Leung, A.Y.; Dadaczynski, K.; Okan, O. COVID-19-Related Health Literacy of School Leaders in Hong Kong: A Cross-Sectional Study. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* 2022, 19, 12790. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 16. Wang, F.; Pollock, K. School Principals' Work and Well-Being in British Columbia: What They Say and Why It Matters; BC final report; University of Bristish Columbria: Vancouver, Canada, 2020. Available online: https://edst-educ.sites.olt.ubc.ca/files/2014/07/Understanding-School-Principals-Work-and-Well-Being-BC-Final-Report-August-6-2020.pdf (accessed on 15 September 2022).
- 17. Beisser, S.R.; Peters, R.E.; Thacker, V.M. Balancing passion and priorities: An investigation of health and wellness practices of secondary school principals. *NASSP Bull.* **2014**, *98*, 237–255. [CrossRef]
- 18. Dhuey, E.; Smith, J. How important are school principals in the production of student achievement? *Can. J. Econ./Rev. Can. D'économique* **2014**, *47*, 634–663. [CrossRef]
- 19. Printy, S. Principals' influence on instructional quality: Insights from US schools. *Sch. Leadersh. Manag.* **2010**, *30*, 111–126. [CrossRef]
- 20. Netolicky, D.M. School leadership during a pandemic: Navigating tensions. J. Prof. Cap. Community 2020, 5, 391–395. [CrossRef]
- 21. Antonovsky, A. Unraveling the Mystery of Health: How People Manage Stress and Stay Well; Jossey-Bass: San Francisco, CA, USA, 1987.
- 22. Antonovsky, A. Health, Stress, and Coping: New Perspectives on Mental and Physical Well-Being; Jossey-Bass: San Francisco, CA, USA, 1979; pp. 12–37.
- 23. Vogt, K.; Jenny, G.J.; Bauer, G.F. Comprehensibility, manageability and meaningfulness at work: Construct validity of a scale measuring work-related sense of coherence. SA J. Ind. Psychol. 2013, 39, 1–8. [CrossRef]
- 24. Grødal, K.; Innstrand, S.T.; Haugan, G.; André, B. Work-related sense of coherence and longitudinal relationships with work engagement and job satisfaction. *Scand. J. Work. Organ. Psychol.* **2019**, *4*, 1–11. [CrossRef]
- 25. del-Pino-Casado, R.; Espinosa-Medina, A.; López-Martínez, C.; Orgeta, V. Sense of coherence, burden and mental health in caregiving: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *J. Affect. Disord.* **2019**, 242, 14–21. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 26. Lindström, B.; Eriksson, M. Salutogenesis. J. Epidemiol. Community Health 2005, 59, 440–442. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 27. Hlad'o, P.; Kvasková, L.; Ježek, S.; Hirschi, A.; Macek, P. Career adaptability and social support of vocational students leaving upper secondary school. *J. Career Assess.* **2020**, *28*, 478–495. [CrossRef]
- 28. Duong, T.V.; Nguyen, M.H.; Lai, C.-F.; Chen, S.-C.; Dadaczynski, K.; Okan, O.; Lin, C.-Y. COVID-19-related fear, stress and depression in school principals: Impacts of symptoms like COVID-19, information confusion, health-related activity limitations, working hours, sense of coherence and health literacy. *Ann. Med.* 2022, 54, 2064–2077. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 29. Lazarus, R.S.; Folkman, S. Stress, Appraisal, and Coping; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 1984.
- 30. Dettmers, J.; Deci, N.; Baeriswyl, S.; Berset, M.; Krause, A. Self-endangering work behavior. In *Healthy at Work*; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2016; pp. 37–51.
- 31. Baeriswyl, S. Exploring the Processes Leading to Emotional Exhaustion—Two Extensions of the JDR Approach. Ph.D. Thesis, University of Applied Sciences and Arts Northwestern Switzerland, Olten, Switzerland, 2014.
- 32. Cheung, T.; Wong, N.; Chan, H.-h. Coronavirus: 'little, if any, possibility' Hong Kong schools resume fully on April 20, Lam says. *South China Morning Post*. 2020. Available online: https://www.itseducation.asia/article/coronavirus-little-if-any-possibility-hong-kong-schools-resume-fully-on-april-20-lam-says/ (accessed on 15 September 2022).

- 33. HK01. Class Suspension in Hong Kong duing COVID-19 Pandemic (in Chinese). 6 January 2021, HK01. 2021. Available online: https://www.hk01.com/sns/article/569900 (accessed on 15 September 2022).
- 34. Klocko, B.A.; Wells, C.M. Workload pressures of principals: A focus on renewal, support, and mindfulness. *Nassp Bull.* **2015**, *99*, 332–355. [CrossRef]
- 35. Dadaczynski, K.; Okan, O.; Messer, M. COVID-19 Health Literacy School Principals Survey (COVID-HL: School Principal). Questionnaire and Scale Documentation. 2021. Available online: https://pub.uni-bielefeld.de/record/2952552 (accessed on 15 September 2022).
- 36. Bech, P. Measuring the dimension of psychological general well-being by the WHO-5. Qual. Life Newsl. 2004, 32, 15–16.
- 37. Omani-Samani, R.; Maroufizadeh, S.; Almasi-Hashiani, A.; Sepidarkish, M.; Amini, P. The WHO-5 well-being index: A validation study in people with infertility. *Iran. J. Public Health* **2019**, *48*, 2058. [CrossRef]
- 38. Schaufeli, W.B.; de Witte, H.; Desart, S. Manual Burnout Assessment Tool (BAT)—Version 2.0; KU Leuven: Leuven, Belgium, 2020.
- 39. Schaufeli, W.B.; Desart, S.; de Witte, H. Burnout Assessment Tool (BAT)—development, validity, and reliability. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **2020**, 17, 9495. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 40. Tsuno, Y.S.; Yamazaki, Y. Relationships among sense of coherence, resources, and mental health in urban and rural residents in Japan. *BMC Public Health* **2012**, *12*, 1–9. [CrossRef]
- 41. Wijk, K.; Bergsten, E.L.; Hallman, D.M. Sense of coherence, health, well-being, and work satisfaction before and after implementing activity-based workplaces. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **2020**, *17*, 5250. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 42. Suraj, S.; Singh, A. Study of sense of coherence health promoting behavior in north Indian students. *Indian J. Med. Res.* **2011**, 134, 645. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 43. Suominen, S.; Blomberc, H.; Helenius, H.; Koskenvuo, M. Sense of coherence and health–does the association depend on resistance resources? A study of 3115 adults in Finland. *Psychol. Health* **1999**, *14*, 937–948. [CrossRef]
- 44. Bauer, G.F.; Vogt, K.; Inauen, A.; Jenny, G.J. Work-SoC–Entwicklung und Validierung einer Skala zur Erfassung des arbeitsbezogenen Kohärenzgefühls. *Z. Für Gesundh.* **2015**, 23, 20–30. [CrossRef]
- 45. Cohen, S.; Kamarck, T.; Mermelstein, R. A global measure of perceived stress. J. Health Soc. Behav. 1983, 385–396. [CrossRef]
- 46. Schneider, E.E.; Schönfelder, S.; Domke-Wolf, M.; Wessa, M. Measuring stress in clinical and nonclinical subjects using a German adaptation of the Perceived Stress Scale. *Int. J. Clin. Health Psychol.* **2020**, *20*, 173–181. [CrossRef]
- 47. Krause, A.; Baeriswyl, S.; Berset, M.; Deci, N.; Dettmers, J.; Dorsemagen, C.; Meier, W.; Schraner, S.; Stetter, B.; Straub, L. Selbst-gefährdung als Indikator für Mängel bei der Gestaltung mobil-flexibler Arbeit: Zur Entwicklung eines Erhebungsinstruments. *Wirtschaftspsychologie* **2015**, *17*, 49–59.
- 48. Hong Kong Special Administrative Region Government-Census and Statistics Department. Use of Information Technology. Available online: https://www.censtatd.gov.hk/tc/scode590.html (accessed on 25 September 2022).
- 49. Duong, T.V.; Lin, C.-Y.; Chen, S.-C.; Huang, Y.-K.; Okan, O.; Dadaczynski, K.; Lai, C.-F. Oxford COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy in school principals: Impacts of gender, well-being, and coronavirus-related health literacy. *Vaccines* **2021**, *9*, 985. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 50. Reid, D.B. Suppressing and sharing: How school principals manage stress and anxiety during COVID-19. *Sch. Leadersh. Manag.* **2022**, 42, 62–78. [CrossRef]
- 51. Xiong, J.; Lipsitz, O.; Nasri, F.; Lui, L.M.; Gill, H.; Phan, L.; Chen-Li, D.; Iacobucci, M.; Ho, R.; Majeed, A. Impact of COVID-19 pandemic on mental health in the general population: A systematic review. *J. Affect. Disord.* **2020**, 277, 55–64. [CrossRef]
- 52. Kim, L.E.; Asbury, K. 'Like a rug had been pulled from under you': The impact of COVID-19 on teachers in England during the first six weeks of the UK lockdown. *Br. J. Educ. Psychol.* **2020**, *90*, 1062–1083. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 53. Matiz, A.; Fabbro, F.; Paschetto, A.; Urgesi, C.; Ciucci, E.; Baroncelli, A.; Crescentini, C. The Impact of the COVID-19 Pandemic on Affect, Fear, and Personality of Primary School Children Measured During the Second Wave of Infections in 2020. *Front. Psychiatry* **2021**, *12*, 803270. [CrossRef]
- 54. Talidong, K.J.B.; Toquero, C.M.D. Philippine teachers' practices to deal with anxiety amid COVID-19. *J. Loss Trauma* **2020**, *25*, 573–579. [CrossRef]
- 55. Sharma, N.; Chakrabarti, S.; Grover, S. Gender differences in caregiving among family-caregivers of people with mental illnesses. World J. Psychiatry 2016, 6, 7. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 56. Santomauro, D.F.; Herrera, A.M.M.; Shadid, J.; Zheng, P.; Ashbaugh, C.; Pigott, D.M.; Abbafati, C.; Adolph, C.; Amlag, J.O.; Aravkin, A.Y. Global prevalence and burden of depressive and anxiety disorders in 204 countries and territories in 2020 due to the COVID-19 pandemic. *Lancet* 2021, 398, 1700–1712. [CrossRef]
- 57. Tahir, L.; Musah, M.B.; Panatik, S.A.; Ali, M.F.; Said, M.N.H.M. Primary school leadership in Malaysia: The experience of stress among deputy heads. *Educ. Manag. Adm. Leadersh.* **2019**, *47*, 785–814. [CrossRef]
- 58. Phillips, S.; Sen, D.; McNamee, R. Prevalence and causes of self-reported work-related stress in head teachers. *Occup. Med.* **2007**, *57*, 367–376. [CrossRef]
- 59. Shields, M. 'Can I last the distance?' Stress and school leadership. TEACH J. Christ. Educ. 2007, 1, 22–26. [CrossRef]
- 60. Leo, A.; Holdsworth, E.A.; Wilcox, K.C.; Khan, M.I.; Ávila, J.A.M.; Tobin, J. Gendered impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic: A mixed-method study of teacher stress and work-life balance. *Community Work Fam.* **2022**, 1–22. [CrossRef]
- 61. Redondo-Flórez, L.; Tornero-Aguilera, J.F.; Ramos-Campo, D.J.; Clemente-Suárez, V.J. Gender differences in stress-and burnout-related factors of university professors. *BioMed Res. Int.* **2020**, 2020, 6687358. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 62. Diotaiuti, P.; Mancone, S.; Bellizzi, F.; Valente, G. The principal at risk: Stress and organizing mindfulness in the school context. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **2020**, *17*, 6318. [CrossRef]
- 63. Antoniou, A.S.; Polychroni, F.; Vlachakis, A.N. Gender and age differences in occupational stress and professional burnout between primary and high-school teachers in Greece. *J. Manag. Psychol.* **2006**, *21*, 682–690. [CrossRef]
- 64. Franke, F. Is work intensification extra stress? J. Pers. Psychol. 2015, 14, 17. [CrossRef]
- 65. Korunka, C.; Kubicek, B.; Paškvan, M.; Ulferts, H. Changes in work intensification and intensified learning: Challenge or hindrance demands? *J. Manag. Psychol.* **2015**, *30*, 786–800. [CrossRef]
- 66. Paškvan, M.; Kubicek, B. The intensification of work. In *Job Demands in a Changing World of Work*; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2017; pp. 25–43.
- 67. Boxall, P.; Macky, K. High-involvement work processes, work intensification and employee well-being. *Work Employ. Soc.* **2014**, *28*, 963–984. [CrossRef]
- 68. Green, F. Work intensification, discretion, and the decline in well-being at work. East. Econ. J. 2004, 30, 615–625.
- 69. Cansoy, R.; Türkoğlu, M.E.; Balıkçı, A. What Are the Sources and Negative Effects of Assistant Principals' Work Intensification? *SAGE Open* **2021**, *11*, 21582440211047582. [CrossRef]
- 70. Oplatka, I. Principal workload: Components, determinants and coping strategies in an era of standardization and accountability. *J. Educ. Adm.* **2017**, *55*, 552–568. [CrossRef]
- 71. Li, C.; Lalani, F. The COVID-19 pandemic has changed education forever. In Proceedings of the World economic forum; 2020.
- 72. Heim, J.; Strauss, V. School Discipline Enters New Realm With Online Learning. Available online: https://www.washingtonpost.com/education/school-discipline-enters-new-realm-with-online-learning/2020/09/14/e19a395e-f393-11ea-999c-67ff7bf6a9d2_story.html (accessed on 15 September 2022).
- 73. Maruyama, S.; Morimoto, K. Effects of long workhours on life-style, stress and quality of life among intermediate Japanese managers. *Scand. J. Work Environ. Health* **1996**, 22, 353–359. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 74. Spurgeon, A. Working Time: Its Impact on Safety and Health: International Labour Office Bureau International du Travail; International Labour Office: Geneva, Switzerland, 2003.
- 75. Hoedl, M.; Eglseer, D.; Bauer, S. Associations between personal protective equipment and nursing staff stress during the COVID-19 pandemic. *J. Nurs. Manag.* **2021**, *29*, 2374–2382. [CrossRef]
- 76. Jeong, I.; Cho, Y.-S.; Lee, K.-J.; Park, J.B. Impact of near work on perceived stress according to working hours: The Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey VI (2013–2015). *PLoS ONE* **2018**, *13*, e0204360. [CrossRef]
- 77. Lee, K.; Suh, C.; Kim, J.-E.; Park, J.O. The impact of long working hours on psychosocial stress response among white-collar workers. *Ind. Health* **2017**, *55*, 46–53. [CrossRef]
- 78. Gicheva, D. Teachers' Working Hours During the COVID-19 Pandemic. Educ. Res. 2022, 51, 85–87. [CrossRef]
- 79. Diliberti, M.; Kaufman, J.H. Will This School Year Be Another Casualty of the Pandemic? Key Findings from the American Educator Panels Fall 2020 COVID-19 Surveys. Data Note: Insights from the American Educator Panels; Research Report RR-A168-4; Rand Corporation: Santa Monica, CA, USA, 2020.
- 80. Kaufman, J.H.; Diliberti, M.K. Divergent and Inequitable Teaching and Learning Pathways during (and Perhaps Beyond) the Pandemic: Key Findings from the American Educator Panels Spring 2021 COVID-19 Surveys. Data Note: Insights from the American Educator Panels; Research Report RR-A168-6; Rand Corporation: Santa Monica, CA, USA, 2021.
- 81. Gilbert, C. Towards a Self-Improving System: The Role of School Accountability. 2012. Available online: https://dera.ioe.ac.uk/14919/1/towards-a-self-improving-system-school-accountability-thinkpiece%5B1%5D.pdf (accessed on 15 September 2022).
- 82. Saidun, R.; Tahir, L.M.; Musah, M.B. Problems faced by novice principals in Malaysia: An exploration study. *Mediterr. J. Soc. Sci.* **2015**, *6*, S3. [CrossRef]
- 83. Schermuly, C.C.; Schermuly, R.A.; Meyer, B. Effects of vice-principals' psychological empowerment on job satisfaction and burnout. *Int. J. Educ. Manag.* **2011**, *25*, 252–264. [CrossRef]
- 84. Yokohama City Board of Education. Survey on the Actual Reality and Consciousness about the Work of Elementary and Junior High School Teacher Questionnaire Survey Result Report. 2020. Available online: http://www.city.yokohama.lg.jp/kyoiku/toukeichosa/1.pdf (accessed on 15 September 2022).
- 85. Nitta, T.; Deguchi, Y.; Iwasaki, S.; Kanchika, M.; Inoue, K. Depression and occupational stress in Japanese school principals and vice-principals. *Occup. Med.* **2019**, *69*, 39–46. [CrossRef]
- 86. Kwan, P. Vice-principals' dilemma–career advancement or harmonious working relationship. *Int. J. Educ. Manag.* **2009**, 23, 203–216. [CrossRef]
- 87. Walker, A.D.; Kwan, Y.K.P. Vice-principals' perceptions of ideal and actual responsibility. Studia Paedagog. 2010, 15, 105–126.
- 88. Beausaert, S.; Froehlich, D.E.; Devos, C.; Riley, P. Effects of support on stress and burnout in school principals. *Educ. Res.* **2016**, *58*, 347–365. [CrossRef]
- 89. Kattenbach, R.; Demerouti, E.; Nachreiner, F. Flexible working times: Effects on employees' exhaustion, work-nonwork conflict and job performance. *Career Dev. Int.* **2010**, *15*, 279–295. [CrossRef]
- 90. Griffith, J. Multilevel analysis of cohesion's relation to stress, well-being, identification, disintegration, and perceived combat readiness. *Mil. Psychol.* **2002**, *14*, 217–239. [CrossRef]

- 91. Buonomo, I.; Fiorilli, C.; Romano, L.; Benevene, P. The roles of work-life conflict and gender in the relationship between workplace bullying and personal burnout. A study on italian school principals. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **2020**, *17*, 8745. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 92. Hodgen, E.; Wylie, C. Stress and well-being among New Zealand principals: Report to the New Zealand Principals' Federation. New Zealand Council for Educational Research, Wellington, New Zealand. 2005. Available online: http://www.nzpf.ac.nz/uploads/7/2/4/6/72461455/full.pdf (accessed on 15 September 2022).
- 93. Pierce, M.; McManus, S.; Jessop, C.; John, A.; Hotopf, M.; Ford, T.; Hatch, S.; Wessely, S.; Abel, K.M. Says who? The significance of sampling in mental health surveys during COVID-19. *Lancet Psychiatry* **2020**, *7*, 567–568. [CrossRef]
- 94. Baeriswyl, S.; Bratoljic, C.; Krause, A. How homeroom teachers cope with high demands: Effect of prolonging working hours on emotional exhaustion. *J. Sch. Psychol.* **2021**, *85*, 125–139. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 95. Holmes, E.A.; O'Connor, R.C.; Perry, V.H.; Tracey, I.; Wessely, S.; Arseneault, L.; Ballard, C.; Christensen, H.; Silver, R.C.; Everall, I. Multidisciplinary research priorities for the COVID-19 pandemic: A call for action for mental health science. *Lancet Psychiatry* **2020**, *7*, 547–560. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 96. Drane, C.F.; Vernon, L.; O'Shea, S. Vulnerable learners in the age of COVID-19: A scoping review. *Aust. Educ. Res.* **2021**, *48*, 585–604. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 97. Fray, L.; Jaremus, F.; Gore, J.; Miller, A.; Harris, J. Under pressure and overlooked: The impact of COVID-19 on teachers in NSW public schools. *Aust. Educ. Res.* **2022**, 1–27. [CrossRef] [PubMed]