

How to Reach the Land of Cockaigne?

Kahl, Mats; Wein, Thomas

Publication date: 2022

Document Version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Link to publication

Citation for pulished version (APA): Kahl, M., & Wein, T. (2022). How to Reach the Land of Cockaigne? Edgeworth Cycle Theory and Why a Gasoline Station is the First to Raise Its Price. (University of Lüneburg Working Paper Series in Economics; No. 411). Institut für Volkswirtschaftslehre der Universität Lüneburg.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
 You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
 You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal ?

Take down policy If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

How to Reach the Land of Cockaigne? Edgeworth Cycle Theory and Why a Gasoline Station is the First to Raise Its Price

by

Mats Petter Kahl and Thomas Wein

University of Lüneburg Working Paper Series in Economics

No. 411

April 2022

www.leuphana.de/institute/ivwl/working-papers.html ISSN 1860 - 5508

PAPL VORKING

How to Reach the Land of Cockaigne? Edgeworth Cycle Theory and Why a Gasoline Station is the First to Raise Its Price

Mats Petter Kahl¹

Thomas Wein²

Leuphana University of Lueneburg

Leuphana University of Lueneburg

April 6, 2022

Abstract

Competition in the German gasoline retail market is characterized by strong intraday price cycles. The cycles are described in the literature as corresponding to the well-known Edgeworth cycles. Cyclical pricing patterns are observable all over Germany and throughout the world. So far, research has focused on analyzing price patterns using average prices. We are the first to study the initiation of new price cycles by looking at the exact timing of competition in the daily cycle. We modified the data to be able to analyze local competition on a second-by-second level. What determines that a certain gasoline station increases its price to initiate a new price cycle? We are the first to empirically analyze whether the theoretically and economically significant price differences of the Edgeworth cycles explain the cyclical patterns throughout a day, or whether brand affiliation, local characteristics, or services offered predict the behavior of price increases. To provide first evidence and to do justice to the complexity of analyzing second-by-second intraday price cycles, we limit ourselves to one local market in Germany. We find that price considerations, as well as services offered, play a minor role in explaining why a gasoline station is the first to increase its price. Brand affiliation, as well as location parameters, are much more important in a gasoline stations' decision on whether they will be the first to increase prices. Furthermore, we show that the dominant suppliers Aral and Shell, who jointly account for more than 80 percent of price increases in the market, are the major drivers of the size of the price cycles. Together, the strong results for oligopoly players Aral and Shell suggest that market power is the major driver of the cyclical pricing pattern in the gasoline market.

JEL codes: L13, L41, K21

Keywords: Edgeworth cycles, gasoline prices, dynamic pricing, gasoline market

Declarations of interest: none

¹ Corresponding author. Leuphana University of Lueneburg; Institute of Economics, Universitaetsallee 1, 21335 Lueneburg, Germany, mats.kahl@leuphana.de

² Leuphana University of Lueneburg; Institute of Economics, Competition and Regulation Institute, Universitaetsallee 1, 21335 Lueneburg, Germany, wein@leuphana.de

1 Introduction

In 2013, interest surged in the competition for selling retail gasoline in the German market. The change followed the German federal cartel office, Bundeskartellamt, creating the Market Transparency Unit for Fuels (MTU). In August of 2013, Bundeskartellamt made it mandatory for all gasoline retailers in Germany to report price changes of the most common fuel types within a few minutes (Bundeskartellamt 2021). The federal cartel authority introduced price observation to find out if there was an abuse of power within the market.

The Edgeworth cycle theory by Maskin and Tirole (1988) is the prevailing theory in explaining price patterns in the German gasoline market. In an oligopoly market, Edgeworth cycles describe pricing behaviors in three phases. In the first phase, oligopolists undercut each other successively to increase their market share until they reach marginal costs. At that time, they enter the second phase – the so-called war of attrition. When it becomes too costly to stay at the marginal cost, the third phase starts. The third phase is referred to as the relenting phase where one or more players raise prices, and the others follow. As price undercutting begins again, the cycle starts anew.

Why are we studying price competition within the German gasoline retail market? So far, research has focused on analyzing price cycles based on daily or weekly average price data. Until our research, no one has looked at the second-by-second order of events. Castanias and Johnson (1993) tested Maskin and Tirole's (1988) theory based on weekly price data in Los Angeles and concluded that the Edgeworth cycle theory is capable of explaining pricing behavior. Further empirical investigations found supporting evidence for using the Edgeworth cycle theory in economies all over the world. Doyle et al. (2010), Lewis and Noel (2011), Lewis (2012), and Zimmerman et al. (2013) look at price patterns in the United States. Atkinson (2009), Atkinson et al. (2014), and Noel (2007, 2015) looked at price patterns in Canada. Noel (2019), Roos and Katayama (2013), and Wang (2009) looked at patterns in Australia. Most recently, Siekmann (2017), and Wein (2021), among others, studied Germany's price patterns.

What we observed were cycles similar to the one described by Maskin and Tirole (1988); however, the theory might describe the symptoms rather than the cause of the cycles. What factors are causing the price cycles we observe all over the world? Is price competition resulting from the struggle for a larger market share? What role do other factors such as brand affiliation, local characteristics, and services offered play?

We are the first to study the price increaser that initiates a new price cycle, while looking at the exact timing of competition changes within in each day. Our analysis is very similar and based on the same data set as in Wein (2021), but instead of looking at the underbidding phase of the price cycle, we look at the price increasing phase. We modified the data to analyze local competition on a second-by-second basis. This kind of data modification is superior to previous research because it allows us to identify how each gasoline station reacts to competitors. Accordingly, we apply probit, logit, and Poisson estimation techniques. We identify the factors that determine whether a certain gasoline station will increase its price to initiate a new price cycle.

We find that price considerations, as well as services offered, play a minor role in explaining why a gasoline station is the first to increase prices. Brand affiliation, as well as location parameters, are much more important in a gasoline station's decisions on whether to be the first to increase prices. We are the first to present these novel results based on our modified data set. Furthermore, we show that the dominant suppliers, Aral and Shell, are the major drivers of the size of the price cycles. Jointly, they account for more than 80 percent of price increases in the market.

This paper is structured as follows. In section 2, we present the data. In section 3, we explain the data modification in detail. We explain our estimation approach in section 4 and present the descriptive results in section 5. In section 6, we present and discuss the regression results. We dedicate section 7 to robustness checks and section 8 to the discussion of validity. We summarize and conclude in section 9.

2 Data

Since August of 2013 (Bundeskartellamt 2021), all German gasoline stations have had to report gasoline price changes to the MTU. The German federal cartel office created the MTU to supervise competition in the gasoline retail market, and to enable them to "intervene in the case of illegal predatory strategies and other forms of market power abuse" (Bundeskartellamt 2021). The data are available to certain registered information service providers, and is made available to researchers by tankerkoenig.de. We prepared panel data for the most common gasoline types, diesel and petrol (with 5 and 10 percent ethanol), for the years 2018 and 2019.³ We limit our sample to one local market – the town and area

³ Our data set includes all price changes from 3 January 2018 to 31 December 2019. Thus, we have 728 days of data.

around Lueneburg – where there are 26 gasoline stations. Following existing literature, we differentiate between three brand categories: 1) oligopoly players (ARAL, Shell, TOTAL, ESSO, and JET); 2) superregional brands without upstream structure which we call non-oligopoly players category 1, NO1 (Star, AVIA, HEM, OIL!, Agip, OMV, and Westfalen); and 3) the independent local gasoline stations called non-oligopoly players category 2, NO2. We use single brand dummies whenever possible, but otherwise, we use these categories. There is no TOTAL brand gasoline station in Lueneburg, and only one ESSO station and one JET station, so we differentiate the brands as depicted in Table 1.

Brand or category	Number of gasoline	Category
	stations	
ARAL	5	Oligopoly player
Shell	7	Oligopoly player
Esso Jet	2	Oligopoly players
NO1	8	Non-oligopoly superregional players
NO2	4	Non-oligopoly regional players
Σ	26	

 Table 1: Brand and Categorization

Of the 26 stations in the Lueneburg area, 15 are located in the town and the remaining 11 are in rural areas. The largest distance between two gasoline stations in our data set is just below 20km of driving distance. Driving distances between all gasoline stations are estimated using GoogleMaps and are based on the mean value of driving the distances in both directions. During 2019, two gasoline stations ceased operation – an ARAL station in Brietlingen and a Raiffeisen station in Barendorf.

The data set is supplemented with information on services and gasoline station characteristics from the official service providers tankerkoenig.de and clevertanken.de. Moreover, we added information on services offered and characteristics from gasoline stations' websites, as well as from the authors' local site visits.

3 Data Modification

If a gasoline station increases its price, we call it a price increase event. Specifically, we define a price increase event as an increase in prices which occurs after at least five gasoline stations successively lowered prices in our market. If two or more gas stations increase their prices simultaneously, then we identify all of them as initiating the price increase. Thus, we artificially increase the number of "cycles" in order to keep all price-increasing gasoline stations in the data set. Randomly dropping some of the price increase initiators would distort

the results, as we aim to explain why a particular gasoline station is the first to increase its price after a series of price decreases. As we focus on the price increase event, all subsequent price observations are dropped.

Additionally, the earliest possible event has to occur at least one hour after the last gasoline station opened for the day. This ensures that the usual price corrections made daily at opening to adapt to the current price level are not wrongly identified as events that influence the cycle structure. We add robustness checks without evening price observations as several gasoline stations close around 9 p.m. We modified the data to lead us to obtain panel data on price increases (defined as a price increase after a series of at least five price decreases).



In Figure 1, we present an example of petrol prices on a randomly chosen day, November 19, 2019. The example shows the "Super E5" prices at all 26 gasoline stations in the Lueneburg, Germany area. We added a line and drew arrows to illustrate intraday cycles. There were eight cycles that day; seven are illustrated using arrows, while the last cycle in the late evening is omitted to keep the graph simple. Looking at the data, we can see that the cycles at 1 p.m., 4 p.m., and 10 p.m. were initiated simultaneously by three gasoline stations. The cycle at 7 p.m. was initiated simultaneously by two gas stations. All of the simultaneous initiators on this particular day were Shell stations.

Generally, in the Lueneburg market, there are at most 10 gasoline stations simultaneously initiating a new price cycle by raising prices. For each "event station," we add a variable that indicates whether other gasoline stations initiated simultaneously – and if so, how many.

Another variable indicates how many other gasoline stations of the same brand initiate a new price cycle by increasing their prices at the same time.

Our research looks at 728 days of data with an average of 5.06 cycles per day between 8 a.m. and 22 p.m., with a standard deviation of 1.53, and a minimum of 3 and maximum of 11 cycles per day. As mentioned earlier, many cycles are initiated by several gasoline stations raising prices at the same time, so we act as if each of these increases is a time period (cycle) of its own when analyzing why a gasoline station is the first to raise its price. Thus, according to this definition, there are about 11,586 cycles in the data set, with an average of 15.92 cycles per day (sd=6.34, min=4, max=38). We chose this definition because we do not want to exclude any of the stations that raised prices simultaneously with other stations.

In addition, a variable indicates the duration for which a price was valid. It is calculated as the difference between the time of the event and the time of the latest price change of a gasoline station before that event. Hence, it is the time period a price was valid before a gasoline station decided to jump its price. If a gasoline station reports the latest price change before it opened in the morning, then the duration is calculated as the difference between the opening time and the event. Based on this duration variable, we create a variable indicating the duration weighted price difference.

We differentiate between 12 services: self-service, shops, Rewe's small supermarkets, bistros, backing stations, kiosks, credit card acceptance, ATMs, restrooms, car washes, car repair, and vacuum cleaners. Based on the services offered, we define a variable called the "service index," which is simply a count of the number of services offered. We present a categorization and overview of all variables in Table 2. We use all explanatory variables as in previous research, and more. Data on traffic intensity is unfortunately not available.

6

Table 2:	V	<i>ariables</i>	0	verview
	•		~	

Category	Variable
Dependent	<i>Increasing price observation</i> : Dummy = 1 for increasing price observation and 0 for non-increasing price
variables	observation.
	difference between new and old price. In euro cents per liter (cpl).
Price	<i>Price difference to price increaser, before jump</i> : Thus, the difference observed for each gasoline station minus
competition	the price of the increaser before the price was raised.
	<i>Duration</i> : The time period for which a price was effective before the price increase event occurred. (Measured
	in machine hours, hence, 0.5 corresponding to 30 minutes.)
	active).
	Distance weighted price differences to nearest station, before jump: The distance of the nearest gas station to
	the increaser weighted by the price difference to price increaser, before jump.
	as station to the increaser weighted by the price difference to price increaser, before jump
	Distance weighted price differences to third nearest station, before jump: The distance of the third nearest gas
	station to the increaser weighted by the price difference to price increaser, before jump.
Local	Distance: Driving distances between gasoline stations are estimated using GoogleMaps and are based on the
competition	In sight?: Dummy – 1 if a competitor can be seen from the gasoline station and o otherwise
	Located at Federal Road?: Dummy = 1 if located at a federal road (German "Bundesstraße") and 0 otherwise.
	Located near Motorway?: Dummy = 1 if located near motorway (German "Autobahn") and 0 otherwise.
D	Stations in rural area?: Dummy = 1 if located outside of the town area of Lueneburg and 0 otherwise.
Demand-side	Friday Saturday, Sundayl and the day of the week of each observation is identified via dummy variables
	<i>Lower Saxony school holidays extended</i> : Dummy = 1 if public holiday or school holiday and 0 otherwise.
	Opening hours Monday to Friday?: Number of hours a gasoline station is open weekdays (in machine hours).
	Opening hours Saturday ?: Number of hours a gasoline station is open on a Saturday (in machine hours).
	boliday (in machine hours)
	<i>Consumer satisfaction</i> : Corresponds to the consumer satisfaction rating of Google, with values from 1 = not
	satisfied to 5 = satisfied.
Brands and	<i>Aral</i> : Dummy = 1 if gas station is of brand "ARAL" and 0 otherwise.
categories	<i>Esso</i> : Dummy = 1 if gas station is of brand "Esso" and 0 otherwise.
8	JET: Dummy = 1 if gas station is of brand "JET" and 0 otherwise.
	<i>ESSO_JET</i> : Dummy = 1 if brand is "ESSO" or "JET" and 0 otherwise.
	<i>Hoyer</i> : Dummy = 1 if gas station is of brand "Hoyer" and 0 otherwise. LTC: Dummy = 1 if gas station is of brand "LTC" and 0 otherwise.
	HEM : Dummy = 1 if gas station is of brand "HEM" and 0 otherwise.
	<i>Raiffeisen</i> : Dummy = 1 if gas station is of brand "Raiffeisen" and 0 otherwise.
	STAR: Dummy = 1 if gas station is of brand "STAR" and 0 otherwise.
	"Bundesverband freier und unabhängiger Tankstellen e.V." which is association of small independent gasoline
	retailers.
	BLG : Dummy = 1 if it is the regional gasoline station of the "BeckmannLindemann GmbH" and 0 otherwise.
	Freie Tankstelle Salewski: Dummy = 1 if it is the regional gasoline station of the "Freie Tankstelle Salewski"
	Freie Tankstelle: Dummy = 1 if it is the regional gasoline station of the "Freie Tankstelle" and 0 otherwise.
	Oligopoly: Dummy =1 if brand "ARAL", "Shell", "ESSO" or "JET" and 0 otherwise. There is no gas station of
	brand "TOTAL". Not used in regression analysis, because the use of single brands is preferred.
	Superregional non-oligopoly player? (NOI): Dummy = 1 if gasoline station of brand "Hoyer", "LTG", "HEM", "Raiffeisen" or "STAR" and 0 otherwise
	Regional non-oligopoly player? (NO2): Dummy = 1 if gasoline station of brand "BeckmannLindemann
	GmbH", "BFT", "Freie Tankstelle Salewski", or "Freie Tankstelle" and 0 otherwise.
Station	Self service station?: Dummy = 1 if gasoline station is a self-service station and 0 otherwise. Gasoline station
characteristics	station with Shop? Dummy = 1 if gasoline station has a shop and 0 otherwise
	Station with Rewe-to-Go-Shop?: Dummy = 1 if gas station has small supermarket of brand Rewe and 0
	otherwise.
	Bistro ?: Dummy = 1 if gasoline station has a bistro and 0 otherwise.
	<i>Histore-Dakery:</i> Dummy = 1 if gasoline station has a kiosk and 0 otherwise. Mostly omitted due to collinearity
	<i>Number of accepted credit cards</i> ?: Number of credit card types that are accepted for payment.
	ATM?: Dummy = 1 if gasoline station has a cash dispenser and 0 otherwise.
	Restrooms available ?: Dummy = 1 if gasoline station has restrooms and 0 otherwise.
	Car repair : Dummy = 1 if gasoline station has a car repair on premises and 0 otherwise. Car wash? Dummy = 1 if gasoline station has a car wash and 0 otherwise.
	Vacuum cleaner?: Dummy = 1 if gasoline station has a vacuum cleaner and 0 otherwise.
	Number of Services?: The number of services that a gasoline station offers. A simple count of all variables in
	the category "station characteristics" of this table with number of credit cards converted into a dummy variable
	= 1 II at least one type of credit card is accepted.

4 Estimation Approaches

We apply multivariate estimations to examine what factors explain the willingness of a gasoline station to be the first to increase its price after a series of price decreases and the magnitude by which it increases its price. Hence, we differentiate between the likelihood of being the first to raise the price and the strength of the price increase in cent per liter (cpl).

4.1 Estimating effects of determinants of the likelihood of being the price increaser

First, we focus on the probability of a gasoline station initiating a new price cycle by increasing its price. Consequently, we specify the dependent variable as a binary variable taking the value one if the gasoline station increases the price after a series of at least five price decreases and zero otherwise. Thus, the panel data structure allows us to run random effects probit and logit regression. We are interested in the regression coefficients of two kinds of variables.

First, the coefficient of the price difference variable, which captures the effect of competitors' prices on the likelihood of a station being the first to increase its price. The economic intuition behind this variable is that in the trough of a cycle there is price pressure on stations that cannot or do not want to lower their prices further. The pressure comes from competitors that undercut their station's price. Our price difference variable captures the price pressure on the increasing gasoline station just before the price increase. Next, we ask to what extent the price variable explains why a gasoline station is the first to increase prices. According to the Edgeworth cycle theory, this price variable is the decisive factor causing cyclical pricing patterns. The duration of a low price level also plays an important role in the theory. To capture this effect, we weigh the price difference variable with the duration.

The price difference variable changes over time and with different entities. We use fixed effects estimation techniques to capture the impact of price difference variables. The fixed effects logit model of the binary dependent variable y_{it} can be expressed as:

$$Pr(y_{it} = 1 | x_{it}, \alpha_i, \beta) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-\alpha_i - x_{it}\beta}}$$
(1)

with $y_{it} = 1$ if a price-increasing event occurs, and $y_{it} = 0$ if the event does not occur. There are M regressors x_{it} with β representing the parameter vector ($M \times 1$) to be estimated. Gasoline stations without varying y_{it} would not contribute to identification; however, all gasoline stations show variation with respect to the dependent variable as shown in Table 4. The panel is small in terms of the number of gasoline stations (N=26), as we limit ourselves to one local market, and it is long in terms of time periods (T=11,586 is the total number of price cycles over the period of 728 days).⁴ Each price-increasing event and the price valid just before each event is regarded as a separate time unit because the prevailing market constellation could be the reason why a gasoline station increases its price.

The second kind of variables we are interested in are station-specific, namely brand affiliation, location characteristics, and services offered. We use random effects logit as well as random effects probit estimation techniques to capture the effect of entity-specific variables.

4.2 Estimating effects of determinants of the strength of the price increase of the price increaser

Second, we look at the factors determining the strength of the price increase of each increasing entity, and focus on the magnitude of the price increase instead of the binary dependent variable used above. According to the Edgeworth cycle theory, in the decreasing phase of a price cycle, gasoline stations alternately and repeatedly undercut one another by infinitesimal amounts until prices fall near marginal costs. In this so-called war of attrition phase, firms can keep their prices low or a gasoline station can relent by restoring its price to a higher level (Noel 2018). Since we define the dependent variable as the magnitude of the price increase, its distribution is a combination of a discrete distribution at zero and a continuous distribution above. Linear regression models may be used when assuming a continuous distribution of the dependent variable. The best achievable estimation approach that accounts for censored distribution that is discrete at zero and continuous above, is the fixed effects Poisson regression approach (FEP). Only weak assumptions must be satisfied, thus, the FEP is "fully robust in the sense that only the structural conditional means assumption . . . is needed for consistency and asymptotic normality" (Wooldridge 1999). A FEP may well be applied here, even though data at hand are non-count data (Wooldridge 2002).

Again, the independent variable, the magnitude of the price increase, changes over time and between entities. We use fixed effects Poisson estimation techniques to capture the effect of price difference variables and time fixed effects Poisson regression as well as pooled Poisson regression to capture the effect of entity-specific variables. Finally, we conduct several estimations based on various model specifications to check for robustness.

⁴ No incidental parameters problem since T is large.

5 Descriptive Results

In our data set, we identified 11,586 price-increasing events, as seen in Table 3. The table shows the magnitude of the price increase that initiates a new price cycle and the frequency of these price increases. With a share of 50 percent, a price increase of 6 cpl is the modal value. Price increases of 4 cpl and 5 cpl occur in about 16 percent and 13 percent of cases, respectively.

Price increasing value	Freq.	Percent	Cum.
.9	3	0.03	0.03
1	240	2.07	2.10
2	967	8.35	10.44
3	249	2.15	12.59
4	1857	16.03	28.62
5	1503	12.97	41.59
6	5846	50.46	92.05
7	521	4.50	96.55
8	300	2.59	99.14
9	39	0.34	99.47
10	14	0.12	99.59
11	6	0.05	99.65
12	8	0.07	99.72
13	12	0.10	99.82
14	6	0.05	99.87
15	4	0.03	99.91
16	2	0.02	99.92
18	1	0.01	99.93
20	2	0.02	99.95
22	4	0.03	99.98
26	1	0.01	99.99
30	1	0.01	100.00
Total	11586	100.00	

Table 3: Frequency and magnitude of price increasing events

Table 4 displays price increases by each gasoline station. Three gasoline stations dominate the pricing structure, gasoline station numbers 1, 7, and 16. All three are Shell stations, which is the dominant brand on the market. Shell's market share is about 27 percent (7 gasoline stations out of 26); however, Shell accounts for about 52 percent of price increasing events, as seen on Table 5. Similarly, ARAL holds a market share of almost 20 percent, and accounts for about 29 percent of price-increasing events.

Table 4 reveals that the two dominating brands work differently. Shell works through three out of seven of its gasoline stations when initiating price cycles, while ARAL has rather a uniform distribution when it comes to the frequency of price-increasing events over its gasoline stations. In the section on multivariate results, we provide further evidence for the notion that Shell and ARAL dominate the price cycle pattern.

		Within one round: 1 = increasing price observ.,			
Station numbers	Brand or category	0= non-ine	creasing price obs	erv.	
		0	1	Total	
1	Shell	9486	1957	11443	
2	ARAL	10860	723	11583	
3	ARAL	10797	782	11579	
4	ARAL	10787	796	11583	
5	NO1	11568	1	11569	
6	ESSO	11532	52	11584	
7	Shell	9776	1794	11570	
8	NO1	11566	18	11584	
9	ARAL	10850	719	11569	
10	NO1	10592	30	10622	
11	NO1	11562	11	11573	
12	NO2	10833	717	11550	
13	NO2	10789	201	10990	
14	Shell	11411	15	11426	
15	NO2	7222	312	7534	
16	Shell	9471	2099	11570	
17	NO2	11334	112	11446	
18	JET	11260	14	11274	
19	Shell	11571	13	11584	
20	NO1	10937	143	11080	
21	ARAL	4808	335	5143	
22	Shell	11472	81	11553	
23	NO1	10448	618	11066	
24	Shell	11449	29	11478	
25	NO1	11575	9	11584	
26	NO1	9995	5	10000	
Total		273951	11586	285537	

Table 4: Price increases by gasoline station

Table 5 shows the brand affiliation of gasoline stations and the number of price increasing events initiated by each brand.

	ner eusing e	ienes sj i					
	Within one round: $1 =$ increasing price observ., $0 =$ non-increasing						
Brand			price observ.				
	0	1	Total				
ARAL	48102	3355	51457				
BeckmannLindemann GmbH	10789	201	10990				
ESSO	11532	52	11584				
Freie Tankstelle	7222	312	7534				
Freie Tankstelle Salewski	11334	112	11446				
HEM	11562	11	11573				
Hoyer	10592	30	10622				
JET	11260	14	11274				
LTG	33138	15	33153				
Raiffeisen	21385	761	22146				
STAR	11566	18	11584				
Shell	74636	5988	80624				
BFT	10833	717	11550				
Total	273951	11586	285537				

 Table 5: Frequency of price increasing events by brand

General descriptive statistics for the petrol (E5) data set, including the number of observations, standard deviation, minimum, and maximum are in Appendix Table 1. The

average price is about 140 cpl of petrol over the entire observation period. On average, there are significantly more cycles per day on weekends and on public and school holidays.⁵

6 Regression Results and Discussion

First, we present estimation results on the factors that determine the likelihood of being the station to initiate a price increase. We applied fixed effects logit regression as well as random effects logit and probit regression for analyzing why a particular gasoline station decides to jump up its price. Second, we present results on factors that determine the strength of the price increase of each initiating station. We applied a fixed effects Poisson regression for analyzing how much a gasoline station increases its price.

6.1 Factors that determine the likelihood of being the price increaser

Table 6 shows results for the conditional fixed effects logit regression. Due to limited calculation capacity,⁶ we had to limit the time to four months and present results for the first four months of both years.

We limit ourselves to one local market, so station characteristics, location parameters, and brands' market power do not change in the data set. Thus, the most appropriate model to estimate the effects of the price variables is to use a fixed-effects approach to account for all gasoline station heterogeneity.

There is a negative effect of the price difference on a price increasing station before the price jump. Thus, higher the prices of the competition the lower the probability is that the gasoline station raises its price. The effect is statistically significant at the 1 percent confidence level in 2018, and at the 0.1 percent confidence level in 2019. A 1 cpl higher price by the competition lowers the probability of a gasoline station to increase their price by 1 to 3 percentage points. This matches economic intuition, as we expect gasoline stations to be more likely to raise their prices to initiate a new cycle when most competitors already undercut their prices, when the price level is in the trough.

Similarly, the coefficient of the price difference variable, weighted by the time duration for which prices of competitors were valid before the price jump, is negative and statistically

⁵ According to the one-sided Z-test and T-test, the average number of cycles per day is 5.49 on weekends (Saturday and Sunday), which is higher than the mean of 4.99 on weekdays. Similarly, the average number of cycles on holidays is 5.22, which is also higher. These tests are significant at the 1 percent confidence level.

⁶ Using all time periods (January 2018 to December 2019) leads Stata to report the error (1400) that the "combinations results in numeric overflow; computation cannot proceed". As this error results most commonly when one attempts to estimate a model with too many effective observations, we limit the time period to the first three months of each year and obtain very similar results respectively.

significant at the 5 percent and 0.1 percent confidence level for 2018 and 2019, respectively. The remaining variables are not significantly different from zero. As expected, there is no significant effect on any particular day of the week. Therefore, the likelihood of price jumps is the same no matter what day it is. The same applies to public holidays and school holidays in Lower Saxony. Overall, these estimation results leave no doubt that price plays a minor role in gasoline stations' decision whether to be the first to initiate a new price cycle through raising their prices.

	Logit, Jan-	ME	Logit, Jan-	ME
	April, 2018		April, 2019	
Dependent variable: Increasing price obset	rvation: Dummy	= 1 for increa	sing price observa	tion and 0 for
non-ind	creasing price ob	servation.		
Price difference to price increaser, before	-0.051*	-0.013	-0.122***	-0.03
jump				
	(0.020)		(0.02)	
Price difference * duration; measured in	-0.016	-0.004	-0.033***	-0.008
machine hours				
	(0.009)		(0.009)	
Price observation occurred Tuesday?	0.016	0.004	-0.034	-0.008
	(0.104)		(0.101)	
Price observation occurred Wednesday?	-0.011	-0.003	-0.062	-0.016
-	(0.100)		(0.108)	
Price observation occurred Thursday?	-0.035	-0.009	-0.032	0.008
	(0.1)		(0.104)	
Price observation occurred Friday?	0.003	0.001	-0.031	-0.008
	(0.101)		(0.103)	
Price observation occurred Saturday?	0.014	0.004	-0.071	-0.018
-	(0.099)		(0.107)	
Price observation occurred Sunday?	0.034	0.009	0.004	0.001
	(0.101)		(0.108)	
Lower Saxony school holidays extended	0.045	0.011	0.028	0.007
	(0.061)		(0.063)	
Observations	38052		32162	
AIC	9823.5		9167.4	
BIC	9900.5		9242.8	
chi2type	LR		LR	
chi2	34.045		162.135	
р	0.000		0.000	
Standard errors in parentheses: * $p < 0.05$. *	p < 0.01, *** $p < 0.01$	0.001: margin	nal effects at mean	s (ME) in

Table 6: Fixed Effects Logit Estimation

Standard errors in parentheses; * p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.001; marginal effects at means (ME) in column to the right of the coefficients respectively.

Next, we present the results of random effects conditional probit models, in Table 7. Analogous random effects conditional logit models are moved to Appendix Table 2. We compared different model specifications and decided on a table entry based on Akaike's (AIC) and Schwarz's Bayesian (BIC) information criteria. Furthermore, we report the number of observations. We use the service index instead of single services because there are only 26 gasoline stations in the data set and including all dummies for services offered might lead to collinearity problems.

Overall, the coefficients of time-varying variables and their signs are similar to the results in Table 6. Most coefficients are statistically significant at the 0.1 percent confidence level. We present coefficients of the model accounting for single brands in the far left column (column 1), flanked on the right by a column with standard errors, and another column to the right with marginal effects at means. Column 4 contains model specification accounting for single days of the week for non-oligopoly brands, with further columns showing the associated standard errors and marginal effects at means.

There is no statistically significant effect on the price difference of the price-increasing station before the price jump. However, the coefficient of this variable, weighted by the time duration for which the price is valid, is statistically significant at the 0.1 percent confidence level and negative. Overall, the effect of the price difference is again small.

Looking at geographic effects, we see that gasoline stations located on federal roads are between 0.8 and 5.1 percentage points more likely to initiate a new price cycle, and a stations located in rural areas are between 0.4 and 3.5 percentage points less likely. Similar to the fixed effects logit results, there are no significant day of the week effects.

For the Probit 2 case, the consumer satisfaction effect is negative and statistically significant at the 1 percent confidence level. A one-star higher consumer satisfaction rating (values from 1 = not satisfied to 5 = satisfied) is associated with 4 percentage points lower probability of being the station to increase prices first. In the Probit 1 case, however, the sign is different and the marginal effect goes toward zero. Note that causality may run in the opposite direction here.⁷

Brand affiliation explains the likelihood of price jumps relatively well. If we account for days of the week as well as brand categories (Probit 2), we see that gasoline stations belonging to the premium brand Aral are about 4.9 percentage points more likely to raise their prices to initiate a new price cycle than are stations belonging to the superregional player, JET – the baseline brand. Shell gasoline stations are 8.4 percentage points more likely to initiate a new price cycle, and the Esso gasoline station is about 7 percentage points more likely to initiate. Superregional players are only about 2.9 percentage points more likely to initiate a new price cycle. Turning to the preferred⁸ model (Probit 1) in which we account for brands separately and leave weekdays aside, the three premium brands Aral, Shell, and

⁷ Note, a reputation is built over the long run and, hence, causality likely runs the other direction than could be wrongly assumed from looking only at these results.

⁸ Based on AIC and BIC, Probit 1 is the preferred model.

Esso are between 10.4 and 12.7 percentage points more likely to initiate a new price cycle compared to regional players.

Dependent variable: Increasing price observation: Dummy = 1 for increasing price observation and 0 for non- increasing price observation.Price difference to price increaser, before jump -0.036 (0.036) $ -0.001 $ -0.036 (0.035) $ -0.001 $ Price difference * duration; measured in machine hours -0.018^{***} (0.005) $ -0.000 $ -0.018^{***} (0.004) $ -0.000 $ In sight? -0.078 $(.)$ $ -0.002 $ -1.286 $(.)$ $ -0.034 $ Located near Motorway? 0.998 $(.)$ $ 0.028 $ 0.173 (0.195) $ 0.005 $ Located at Federal Road? 0.268^{***} (0.033) $ 0.008 $ 1.958^{***} (0.032) $ 0.051 $ Stations in rural area? -0.149^{**} (0.050) $ -0.004 $ -1.322^{***} (0.038) $ -0.000 $ Price observation occurred Tuesday? -0.002 (0.017) $ -0.000 $ Wednesday? -0.003 (0.023) $ -0.000 $		Probit 1	SE 1	ME 1	Probit 2	SE 2	ME 2
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Dependent variable: Increasing price	observation:	Dummy = 1	for increasi	ng price obse	rvation and	0 for non-
Price difference to price increaser, before jump -0.036 (0.036) $ -0.001 $ -0.036 (0.035) $ -0.001 $ Price difference * duration; measured in machine hours -0.018^{***} (0.005) $ -0.000 $ -0.018^{***} (0.004) $ -0.000 $ In sight? -0.078 $(.)$ $ -0.002 $ -1.286 $(.)$ $ -0.034 $ Located near Motorway? 0.998 $(.)$ $ 0.028 $ 0.173 (0.195) $ 0.005 $ Located at Federal Road? 0.268^{***} (0.033) $ 0.008 $ 1.958^{***} (0.032) $ 0.051 $ Stations in rural area? -0.149^{**} (0.050) $ -0.004 $ -1.322^{***} (0.038) $ -0.035 $ Price observation occurred Tuesday? -0.004 (0.017) $ -0.000 $ Wednesday?Price observation occurred Thursday? -0.003 (0.023) $ -0.000 $		increasing	g price obser	vation.			
before jumpPrice difference * duration; measured in machine hours -0.018^{***} (0.005) $ -0.000 $ -0.018^{***} (0.004) $ -0.000 $ in machine hoursin machine hours -0.078 $(.)$ $ -0.002 $ -1.286 $(.)$ $ -0.034 $ Located near Motorway? 0.998 $(.)$ $ 0.028 $ 0.173 (0.195) $ 0.005 $ Located at Federal Road? 0.268^{***} (0.033) $ 0.008 $ 1.958^{***} (0.032) $ 0.051 $ Stations in rural area? -0.149^{**} (0.050) $ -0.004 $ -1.322^{***} (0.038) $ -0.035 $ Price observation occurred Tuesday? -0.002 (0.012) $ -0.000 $ -0.002 (0.012) $ -0.000 $ Wednesday?Price observation occurred Thursday? -0.003 (0.023) $ -0.000 $	Price difference to price increaser,	-0.036	(0.036)	-0.001	-0.036	(0.035)	-0.001
Price difference * duration; measured in machine hours -0.018^{***} (0.005) $ -0.000 $ -0.018^{***} (0.004) $ -0.000 $ In sight? -0.078 $(.)$ $ -0.002 $ -1.286 $(.)$ $ -0.034 $ Located near Motorway? 0.998 $(.)$ $ 0.028 $ 0.173 (0.195) $ 0.005 $ Located at Federal Road? 0.268^{***} (0.033) $ 0.008 $ 1.958^{***} (0.032) $ 0.051 $ Stations in rural area? -0.149^{**} (0.050) $ -0.004 $ -1.322^{***} (0.038) $ -0.035 $ Price observation occurred Tuesday? -0.002 (0.012) $ -0.000 $ Wednesday?Price observation occurred Thursday? -0.003 (0.023) $ -0.000 $	before jump						
in machine hours In sight? -0.078 (.) -0.002 -1.286 (.) -0.034 Located near Motorway? 0.998 (.) 0.028 0.173 (0.195) 0.005 Located at Federal Road? 0.268*** (0.033) 0.008 1.958*** (0.032) 0.051 Stations in rural area? -0.149** (0.050) -0.004 -1.322*** (0.038) -0.035 Price observation occurred Tuesday? -0.002 (0.017) -0.000 Wednesday? - -0.003 (0.023) -0.000	Price difference * duration; measured	-0.018***	(0.005)	-0.000	-0.018***	(0.004)	-0.000
In sight? -0.078 (.) -0.002 -1.286 (.) -0.034 Located near Motorway? 0.998 (.) 0.028 0.173 (0.195) 0.005 Located at Federal Road? 0.268*** (0.033) 0.008 1.958*** (0.032) 0.051 Stations in rural area? -0.149** (0.050) -0.004 -1.322*** (0.038) -0.035 Price observation occurred Tuesday? -0.002 (0.017) -0.000 Wednesday? -Price observation occurred Thursday? -0.003 (0.023) -0.000	in machine hours						
Located near Motorway? 0.998 (.) 0.028 0.173 (0.195) 0.005 Located at Federal Road? 0.268*** (0.033) 0.008 1.958*** (0.032) 0.051 Stations in rural area? -0.149** (0.050) -0.004 -1.322*** (0.038) -0.035 Price observation occurred Tuesday? -0.002 (0.017) -0.000 Wednesday? -0.003 (0.023) -0.000	In sight?	-0.078	(.)	-0.002	-1.286	(.)	-0.034
Located at Federal Road? 0.268*** (0.033) 0.008 1.958*** (0.032) 0.051 Stations in rural area? -0.149** (0.050) -0.004 -1.322*** (0.038) -0.035 Price observation occurred Tuesday? -0.004 (0.017) -0.000 Price observation occurred Thursday? -0.002 (0.012) -0.000 Wednesday? -0.003 (0.023) -0.000	Located near Motorway?	0.998	(.)	0.028	0.173	(0.195)	0.005
Stations in rural area? -0.149** (0.050) -0.004 -1.322*** (0.038) -0.035 Price observation occurred Tuesday? -0.004 (0.017) -0.000 Price observation occurred -0.002 (0.012) -0.000 Wednesday? -0.003 (0.023) -0.000	Located at Federal Road?	0.268^{***}	(0.033)	0.008	1.958^{***}	(0.032)	0.051
Price observation occurred Tuesday?-0.004(0.017) -0.000 Price observation occurred-0.002(0.012) -0.000 Wednesday?-0.003(0.023) -0.000	Stations in rural area?	-0.149**	(0.050)	-0.004	-1.322***	(0.038)	-0.035
Price observation occurred-0.002(0.012) -0.000 Wednesday?-0.003(0.023) -0.000	Price observation occurred Tuesday?				-0.004	(0.017)	-0.000
Wednesday?Price observation occurred Thursday?-0.003(0.023) -0.000	Price observation occurred				-0.002	(0.012)	-0.000
Price observation occurred Thursday? -0.003 (0.023) -0.000	Wednesday?						
	Price observation occurred Thursday?				-0.003	(0.023)	-0.000
Price observation occurred Friday? -0.004 (0.019) -0.000	Price observation occurred Friday?				-0.004	(0.019)	-0.000
Price observation occurred Saturday? -0.000 (0.021) -0.000 -0.003 (0.023) -0.000	Price observation occurred Saturday?	-0.000	(0.021)	-0.000	-0.003	(0.023)	-0.000
Price observation occurred Sunday? 0.025 (0.023) 0.001 0.022 (0.03) 0.001	Price observation occurred Sunday?	0.025	(0.023)	0.001	0.022	(0.03)	0.001
Lower Saxony school holidays 0.011 (0.021) 0.000 0.011 (0.021) 0.000	Lower Saxony school holidays	0.011	(0.021)	0.000	0.011	(0.021)	0.000
extended	extended						
Opening hours Saturday? 0.160*** (0.045) 0.004 0.296*** (0.008) 0.008	Opening hours Saturday?	0.160^{***}	(0.045)	0.004	0.296^{***}	(0.008)	0.008
Opening hours Sunday/Public -0.125 ^{**} (0.043) -0.003 -0.123 ^{***} (0.004) -0.003	Opening hours Sunday/Public	-0.125**	(0.043)	-0.003	-0.123***	(0.004)	-0.003
Holiday?	Holiday?						
Aral 4.540 ^{***} (0.102) 0.127 1.877 ^{***} (0.067) 0.049	Aral	4.540^{***}	(0.102)	0.127	1.877^{***}	(0.067)	0.049
Shell 3.736*** (0.158) 0.105 3.216*** (0.053) 0.084	Shell	3.736***	(0.158)	0.105	3.216***	(0.053)	0.084
ESSO 3.725 (.) 0.104 2.677 (.) 0.070	ESSO	3.725	(.)	0.104	2.677	(.)	0.070
Superregional non-oligopoly player? 1.121*** (0.057) 0.029	Superregional non-oligopoly player?				1.121^{***}	(0.057)	0.029
LTG 1.363*** (0.165) 0.038	LTG	1.363***	(0.165)	0.038			
HEM 0.730 (0.401) [0.020]	HEM	0.730	(0.401)	0.020			
STAR 3.613 (.) [0.101]	STAR	3.613	(.)	0.101			
BFT 0.670*** (0.068) [0.019]	BFT	0.670^{***}	(0.068)	0.019			
BLG -1.218*** (0.212) -0.034	BLG	-1.218***	(0.212)	-0.034			
Freie Tankstelle -0.716 ^{**} (0.259) -0.020	Freie Tankstelle	-0.716**	(0.259)	-0.020			
Consumer satisfaction? 0.125*** (0.030) 0.004 -1.449*** (0.020) -0.038	Consumer satisfaction?	0.125***	(0.030)	0.004	-1.449***	(0.020)	-0.038
Self service station? 1.344^{***} (0.235) $ 0.038 $ -0.873 (.) $ -0.023 $	Self service station?	1.344***	(0.235)	0.038	-0.873) (.)	-0.023
Station with Shop? 0.132^* (0.055) $ 0.004 $ -0.088^* (0.037) $ -0.002 $	Station with Shop?	0.132^{*}	(0.055)	0.004	-0.088^{*}	(0.037)	-0.002
Station with Rewe-to-Go-Shop? 0.130**** (0.033) 0.004 -1.126*** (0.034) -0.029	Station with Rewe-to-Go-Shop?	0.130***	(0.033)	0.004	-1.126***	(0.034)	-0.029
Bistro? 0.010 (0.026) 0.000 -0.508*** (0.023) -0.013	Bistro?	0.010	(0.026)	0.000	-0.508***	(0.023)	-0.013
In-Store-Backery? -0.611^{***} (0.136) $ -0.017 $ -1.504 (.) $ -0.039 $	In-Store-Backery?	-0.611***	(0.136)	-0.017	-1.504	(-0.039
Number of accepted credit cards? -0.781^{***} (0.03) $ -0.022 $ -0.197^{***} (0.009) $ -0.005 $	Number of accepted credit cards?	-0.781***	(0.03)	-0.022	-0.197***	(0.009)	-0.005
ATM? 1.288*** (0.117) 0.036 -1.685*** (0.078) -0.044	ATM?	1.288***	(0.117)	0.036	-1.685***	(0.078)	-0.044
Restrooms available? 0.207*** (0.037) 0.005	Restrooms available?		(0.000)	10000 01	0.207***	(0.037)	0.005
Car Wash? -2.176**** (0.028) -0.057	Car Wash?				-2.176***	(0.028)	-0.057
Car repair? -1.429^{***} (0.03) $ -0.037 $	Car repair?				-1.429***	(0.03)	-0.037
Vacuum cleaner? -1.295^{***} (0.037) $ -0.034 $	Vacuum cleaner?				-1.295***	(0.037)	-0.034
Constant -3.645 (.) 2.109 (.)	Constant	-3.645	()		2.109	(.)	1 0.00 1
Observations 269328 269328	Observations	269328	~ / /		269328	<u>\-/</u>	
AIC 69679.4 69689.3	AIC	69679.4			69689.3		
BIC 69910.5 69941.4	BIC	69910.5			69941.4		

Table 7: Random Effects Probit Estimation

Robust standard errors in parentheses; * p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.001; marginal effects at means in ||; Probit 1: gasoline stations "Hoyer", "Raifeisen", and "Freie Tankstelle Salewski", as well as services restrooms, car wash, repair, and vacuum cleaner omitted because of collinearity and "JET" as baseline; Probit 2: gasoline stations "Hoyer", "Raifeisen", "Freie Tankstelle Salewski", and "JET" omitted because of collinearity and NO2 as baseline.

Results analogously to Table 7 but based on logit estimation are very similar (see Appendix Table 2), except that marginal brand effects at means are a little smaller in magnitude. In summary, brand affiliation is the major explanatory factor for the occurrence of the cyclical price pattern in the German gasoline retail market.

The results of the fixed effects logit regression, as well as the results of random effects probit and logit regressions, are similar. Even though fixed effects estimation techniques are generally preferred over random effects techniques, random effects estimates for timeconstant variables are the best we can do given the data. Results for linear probability and fixed effects regression are in Appendix Table 3.

6.2 Factors that determine the strength of the price increase of the price increaser

Finally, we present results of pooled Poisson, fixed effects Poisson, and time fixed effects Poisson estimates in Table 8. There are 11,586 price cycles over the time period of two years from 2018 to 2019. On average, gasoline stations initiating a new cycle increase the price by 5.19 cpl (Std. Dev. 1.66). Why is it important to analyze the magnitude of price jumps in the first place? Analyzing the magnitude of price jumps helps to identify which factors determine the size of the cycle. The size of the cycle matters to customers who lose consumer surplus in longer and higher cycles. In this part of our research, we identify which factors lead to higher or lower price cycles. We focus on the *magnitude of the price increase* as the dependent variable (instead of the Bernoulli variable of a price increase); hence, the coefficients and marginal effects may not be compared to those above.

Again, all coefficients of the fixed effects Poisson (FE Poisson) regression are statistically insignificant, except the duration weighted price difference coefficient. The price coefficients still show no economically relevant effect.

All coefficients of the time fixed effects Poisson (Time FE Poisson) regression are statistically significant at the 0.1 percent confidence level, except the ones for BLG, LTG, consumer satisfaction, and motorway. Again, the price difference coefficients are economically irrelevant.

A station being located within sight of a competitor or in a rural area is associated on average with an almost 2 cpl weaker price increase. The negative effect that being located within sight of a competitor has on price increases is not surprising from a microeconomic point of view. If a gasoline station wants to initiate a new price cycle but the nearest competitor is in

sight, it would lose almost all demand to the cheaper competitor. Thus, it does not dare raise the price by too much, if it does at all.

Poisson Poisson Poisson Dependent variable: Magnitude of the price increase: Mesures by how much the increasing gasoline station raises its price, i.e. difference between new and old price. In euro cents per liter (cpl). Price difference to price -0.071*** (0.003) -0.074 (0.051) -0.191*** (0.003) increaser, before jump Price difference * duration; -0.032*** (0.002) -0.031*** (0.007) -0.028*** (0.003) measured in machine hours		Pooled		FE		Time FE	
Dependent variable: Magnitude of the price increase: Masures by how much the increasing gaoline station raises its price, i.e. difference between new and old price. In euro cents per liter (cpl). Price increasing value Price difference to price 0.071^{+++} $(0.003) - 0.074$ $(0.051) - 0.191^{+++}$ (0.013) increaser, before jump Price difference * duration; 0.032^{+++} $(0.002) - 0.031^{+++}$ $(0.007) - 0.028^{+++}$ (0.003) measured in machine hours In sight? 1.706^{+++} $(0.225) - 0.633^{+++}$ (0.639^{++}) (0.225) Located at Federal Road? 2.439^{+++} $(0.086) - 0.010$ (0.031) Located at Federal Road? -0.012 $(0.036) - 0.012$ (0.031) Tuesday? Price observation occurred -0.012 $(0.036) - 0.012$ (0.020) Wednesday? Price observation occurred -0.011 $(0.035) - 0.011$ (0.028) Friday? Price observation occurred -0.012 $(0.030) - 0.012$ $(0.021) - 0.246^{+++}$ (0.027) Price observation occurred -0.012 $(0.036) - 0.015$ $(0.027) - 0.246^{+++}$ $(0.027) - 0.246^{+++}$ Price observation occurred		Poisson		Poisson		Poisson	
its price, i.e. difference between new and old price. In euro cents per liter (cpl). Price increasing value Price difference to price -0.71^{++} (0.003) -0.074 (0.011) -0.191^{+++} (0.013) increaser, before jump - - (0.002) -0.031^{+++} (0.007) -0.28^{+++} (0.003) measured in machine hours - - -0.639^{++} (0.203) Located near Motorway? -0.617^{++} (0.225) -0.639^{++} (0.225) Located near Motorway? -0.617^{++} (0.225) -0.639^{++} (0.225) Located near Motorway? -0.617^{++} (0.020) -1.857^{+++} (0.079) Price observation occurred -0.012 (0.036) -0.012 (0.020) Wednesday? - - -0.011 (0.035) -0.011 (0.028) Price observation occurred -0.017 (0.036) 0.031 -0.246^{+++} (0.027) Price observation occurred 0.035 (0.021) 0.494^{+++} $(0.02$	Dependent variable: Magnitude of	of the price incre	ease: Measur	res by how muc	h the increas	ing gasoline sta	ation raises
Price difference increasing value Price difference to price increasing value Price difference 's duration; -0.032*** (0.002) -0.031*** (0.007) -0.028*** (0.003) measured in machine hours -1.706**** (0.009) -1.649*** (0.007) Located are Motorway? -0.617** (0.225) -0.639*** (0.027) Located are Motorway? -0.617** (0.026) -2.477*** (0.087) Stations in rural area? -1.853*** (0.079) -1.857*** (0.079) Price observation occurred -0.001 (0.036) -0.001 (0.031) Tuesday? - - -0.012 (0.036) -0.013 (0.028) Price observation occurred -0.012 (0.036) -0.013 (0.036) - Price observation occurred -0.012 (0.036) 0.013 - - Price observation occurred -0.017 (0.036) 0.033 (0.051) - - Staturday? - - - - - - - - - - - - - - <t< td=""><td>its price, i.e. di</td><td>fference betweer</td><td>n new and ol</td><td>d price. In euro</td><td>o cents per lit</td><td>er (cpl).</td><td></td></t<>	its price, i.e. di	fference betweer	n new and ol	d price. In euro	o cents per lit	er (cpl).	
Price difference to price -0.071^{+**} (0.003) -0.074 (0.051) -0.191^{+**} (0.013) increaser, before jump -0.032^{+**} (0.002) -0.031^{+**} (0.007) -0.028^{+**} (0.003) measured in machine hours In sight? -1.706^{+**} (0.225) -0.639^{+**} (0.07) Located near Motorway? -0.617^{+**} (0.225) -0.639^{-**} (0.079) Stations in rural area? -1.833^{+**} (0.079) -1.857^{+**} (0.079) Price observation occurred -0.012 (0.036) -0.012 (0.036) -0.012 (0.036) Price observation occurred -0.012 (0.036) -0.011 (0.028) -1.649^{+**} (0.079) Price observation occurred -0.017 (0.036) -0.013 (0.036) -1.57^{+**} $(0.07)^{+**}$ Price observation occurred 0.017 (0.036) 0.015 (0.03) -1.57^{+**} $(0.027)^{+*}$ Price observation occurred 0.017^{-**} (0.020) 0.032 $(0.040)^{+***}$ $0.027)^{+*}$	Price increasing value						
increaser, before jump Price difference * duration; -0.032*** (0.002) -0.031*** (0.007) -0.028*** (0.003) measured in machine hours In sight? -1.706*** (0.099) -1.649*** (0.101) Located near Motorway? -0.617** (0.225) -0.639** (0.225) Located at Federal Road? 2.439*** (0.079) -1.857*** (0.086) Price observation occurred -0.001 (0.036) -0.001 (0.031) Tuesday? Price observation occurred -0.012 (0.036) -0.012 (0.020) Wednesday? Price observation occurred -0.012 (0.036) -0.013 (0.036) Friday? Price observation occurred -0.011 (0.035) -0.011 (0.028) Friday? Price observation occurred -0.011 (0.035) -0.011 (0.028) Friday? Price observation occurred 0.017 (0.036) 0.015 (0.03) Saturday? Price observation occurred 0.017 (0.036) 0.015 (0.031) Saturday? Price observation occurred 0.035 (0.036) 0.033 (0.051) Sunday? Lower Saxony school holidays 0.300 (0.020) 0.032 (0.040) extended Opening hours Saturday? 0.497*** (0.027) 0.494*** (0.027) Opening hours Saturday? 0.497*** (0.027) 0.494*** (0.027) Opening hours Saturday? 0.497*** (0.102) 3.983*** (0.104) Holiday? Aral 3.326*** (0.102) 3.983*** (0.102) Friday 1.575*** (0.343) TFG 0.330 ** (0.113) STAR -0.317 (0.332) -0.216*** (0.024) Holiday? HEM -1.557*** (0.198) 1.575*** (0.199) LTG -0.236*** (0.010) 3.439*** (0.103) Stark -0.3380*** (0.101) 3.439*** (0.103) Stark -0.3380*** (0.101) 3.439*** (0.103) Consumer satisfaction? 0.015 (0.059) 0.025 (0.062) Number of services offered -0.366*** (0.016) -0.338*** (0.103) Consumer satisfaction? 0.015 (0.059) 0.025 (0.062) Number of services offered -0.366*** (0.343) Consumer satisfaction? 0.015 (0.059) 0.025 (0.062) Number of services offered -0.366*** (0.341) Constant -8.578*** (0.364) Debent stardard area in anomethor extince with ext	Price difference to price	-0.071***	(0.003)	-0.074	(0.051)	-0.191***	(0.013)
Price difference * duration; measured in machine hours -0.032*** (0.002) -0.031*** (0.007) -0.028*** (0.003) measured in machine hours -1.706*** (0.099) -1.649*** (0.101) Located near Motorway? -0.617** (0.225) -0.639** (0.087) Stations in rural area? -1.853*** (0.079) -1.857*** (0.079) Price observation occurred -0.012 (0.036) -0.012 (0.030) Wednesday? - - - - - Price observation occurred -0.011 (0.035) -0.011 (0.028) - Price observation occurred -0.017 (0.036) 0.015 (0.03) - Staurday? Price observation occurred 0.035 (0.020) (0.040) - - extended - <	increaser, before jump						
measured in machine hours -1.706 ⁺⁺⁺ (0.099) -1.649 ⁺⁺⁺ (0.101) Located near Motorway? -0.617 ⁺⁺ (0.225) -0.639 ⁺⁺⁺ (0.087) Stations in rural area? -1.853 ⁺⁺⁺⁺ (0.079) -1.857 ⁺⁺⁺⁺ (0.079) Price observation occurred -0.001 (0.036) -0.001 (0.030) Price observation occurred -0.012 (0.036) -0.012 (0.020) Wednesday? - - - - - Price observation occurred -0.011 (0.035) -0.011 (0.028) - Friday? - - - - - - Price observation occurred 0.017 (0.036) 0.015 (0.031) - Saturday? -	Price difference * duration;	-0.032***	(0.002)	-0.031***	(0.007)	-0.028***	(0.003)
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	measured in machine hours						
Located ner Motorway? -0.617^{**} (0.25) -0.639^{**} (0.086) 2.477^{***} (0.087) Stations in rural area? -1.853^{***} (0.079) -1.857^{***} (0.079) Price observation occurred -0.011 (0.036) -0.001 (0.031) Tuesday? Price observation occurred -0.012 (0.036) -0.013 (0.036) Price observation occurred -0.011 (0.035) -0.011 (0.028) Friday? Price observation occurred -0.011 (0.036) 0.015 (0.031) Saturday? Price observation occurred 0.017 (0.036) 0.015 (0.031) Saturday? Price observation occurred 0.017 (0.036) 0.015 (0.021) Saturday? Price observation occurred 0.030 (0.020) 0.033 (0.027) Lower Saxony school holidays 0.030 (0.027) 0.494^{***} (0.027) Opening hours Saturday? -0.256^{***} (0.024) -0.246^{***} (0.024) Holiday? Intage and and ange and ange and ange and an	In sight?	-1.706***	(0.099)			-1.649***	(0.101)
Located at Federal Road? 2.439^{***} (0.086) 2.477^{***} (0.087) Stations in rural area? 1.853^{***} (0.079) 1.857^{***} (0.079) Price observation occurred -0.012 (0.036) -0.001 (0.037) Tuesday? Price observation occurred -0.012 (0.036) -0.013 (0.020) Wednesday? Price observation occurred -0.011 (0.036) -0.011 (0.028) Friday? Price observation occurred 0.017 (0.036) 0.015 (0.03) Saturday? Price observation occurred 0.035 (0.036) 0.033 (0.051) Saturday? Price observation occurred 0.035 (0.020) 0.032 (0.040) Saturday? Price observation occurred 0.035 (0.027) 0.494^{***} (0.027) Opening hours Sunday/Public 0.256^{***} (0.027) 0.494^{***} (0.024) Holiday? Intral 3.326^{***} (0.024) -0.246^{***} (0.024) Stall 3.326^{***} (0.012) 3.983^{***} (0.104) <t< td=""><td>Located near Motorway?</td><td>-0.617**</td><td>(0.225)</td><td></td><td></td><td>-0.639**</td><td>(0.225)</td></t<>	Located near Motorway?	-0.617**	(0.225)			-0.639**	(0.225)
Stations in rural area? -1.853^{***} (0.079) -1.857^{***} (0.079) Price observation occurred -0.012 (0.036) -0.001 (0.031) Tuesday? - - - - - - Price observation occurred -0.012 (0.036) -0.013 (0.020) Wednesday? Price observation occurred -0.011 (0.035) -0.011 (0.028) Friday? - - - - - - Price observation occurred 0.017 (0.036) 0.015 (0.03) - - Saturday? -	Located at Federal Road?	2.439^{***}	(0.086)			2.477^{***}	(0.087)
Price observation occurred -0.001 (0.036) -0.001 (0.031) Tuesday? (0.036) -0.012 (0.036) -0.012 (0.036) Wednesday? (0.036) -0.013 (0.036) -0.013 (0.036) Thursday? (0.036) -0.011 (0.036) -0.011 (0.036) Frice observation occurred -0.017 (0.036) -0.011 (0.037) Friday? - - - - - Price observation occurred 0.017 (0.036) 0.015 (0.03) - - Saturday? -	Stations in rural area?	-1.853***	(0.079)			-1.857***	(0.079)
Tuesday?	Price observation occurred	-0.001	(0.036)	-0.001	(0.031)		
Price observation occurred -0.012 (0.036) -0.012 (0.020) Wednesday? -0.012 (0.036) -0.013 (0.036) Thursday? -0.011 (0.035) -0.011 (0.028) Friday? - - 0.017 (0.036) 0.015 (0.031) Saturday? - - 0.017 (0.036) 0.015 (0.031) Saturday? - - 0.035 (0.036) 0.033 (0.051) Sunday? - - - - - - - Lower Saxony school holidays 0.030 (0.020) 0.032 (0.040) - - - Opening hours Saturday? 0.497*** (0.021) 0.494*** (0.024) - 0.246*** (0.027) Aral 3.326*** (0.012) 3.983*** (0.104) ESSO 1.575*** (0.14) ESSO 1.372*** (0.198) 1.575*** (0.104) S1395*** (0.333) <t< td=""><td>Tuesday?</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	Tuesday?						
Wednesday? .0.012 (0.036) -0.013 (0.036) Thursday? .0.011 (0.035) -0.011 (0.028) Friday?	Price observation occurred	-0.012	(0.036)	-0.012	(0.020)		
Price observation occurred -0.012 (0.036) -0.013 (0.036) Thursday? Price observation occurred -0.011 (0.035) -0.011 (0.028) Price observation occurred 0.017 (0.036) 0.015 (0.03) Saturday? Price observation occurred 0.035 (0.036) 0.033 (0.051) Sunday? Uservation occurred 0.030 (0.020) 0.032 (0.040) extended Opening hours Saturday? 0.497*** (0.027) 0.494*** (0.027) Opening hours Sunday/Public -0.256*** (0.024) -0.246*** (0.027) Holiday? Image: Constant opening hours Sunday/Public -0.256*** (0.024) -0.246*** (0.027) Shell 3.848**** (0.102) 3.605**** (0.097) Shell Shell 3.860**** (0.104) ESSO 1.372*** (0.198) 1.575*** (0.104) Stays Stays (0.104) Stays Stays (0.104) Stays Stays (0.104) Stays Stays Stays (0.104) Stays Stays (0.10	Wednesday?		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
Thursday? Out	Price observation occurred	-0.012	(0.036)	-0.013	(0.036)		
Price observation occurred -0.011 (0.035) -0.011 (0.028) Friday? Price observation occurred 0.017 (0.036) 0.015 (0.03) Saturday? Price observation occurred 0.035 (0.036) 0.033 (0.051) Sunday? Lower Saxony school holidays 0.030 (0.020) 0.032 (0.040) extended Opening hours Saturday? 0.497*** (0.027) 0.494*** (0.027) Opening hours Saturday? 0.497*** (0.024) -0.246*** (0.024) Holiday? Aral 3.326*** (0.095) 3.605*** (0.097) Shell 3.848*** (0.102) 3.983*** (0.104) ESSO 1.372*** (0.198) 1.575*** (0.199) LTG -0.237 (0.332) -0.210 (0.333) HEM -1.557*** (0.101) 3.439*** (0.103) BFT 3.380*** (0.101) 3.439*** (0.103) BLG 0.007 (0.112) 0.108 (0.113) Frie Tankstelle 1.102*** (0.364)	Thursday?				× ,		
Friday? 0.017 (0.036) 0.015 (0.03) Saturday? Price observation occurred 0.035 (0.036) 0.033 (0.051) Price observation occurred 0.035 (0.036) 0.033 (0.051) Sunday?	Price observation occurred	-0.011	(0.035)	-0.011	(0.028)		
Price observation occurred 0.017 (0.036) 0.015 (0.03) Saturday? Price observation occurred 0.035 (0.036) 0.033 (0.051) Sunday? Lower Saxony school holidays 0.030 (0.020) 0.032 (0.040) extended - - 0.497**** (0.027) 0.494**** (0.027) Opening hours Saturday? 0.497**** (0.027) -0.246**** (0.027) Opening hours Sunday/Public -0.256**** (0.024) -0.246**** (0.027) Aral 3.326*** (0.095) 3.605**** (0.097) Shell 3.848**** (0.102) 3.983**** (0.104) ESSO 1.372*** (0.198) 1.575**** (0.333) HEM -1.557*** (0.343) -1.595**** (0.343) STAR -0.373 (0.276) -0.295 (0.277) BFT 3.380*** (0.101) 3.439*** (0.103) BLG 0.007 (0.112) 0.108 (0.113) Consumer satisfaction? 0.015 (0.059) 0.025 (Friday?				~ /		
Saturday? Output Output <td>Price observation occurred</td> <td>0.017</td> <td>(0.036)</td> <td>0.015</td> <td>(0.03)</td> <td></td> <td></td>	Price observation occurred	0.017	(0.036)	0.015	(0.03)		
Drice observation occurred Sunday? 0.035 (0.036) 0.033 (0.051) Lower Saxony school holidays extended 0.030 (0.020) 0.032 (0.040) Opening hours Saturday? 0.497^{***} (0.027) 0.494^{***} (0.027) Opening hours Saturday? 0.497^{***} (0.027) 0.494^{***} (0.024) Holiday? -0.256^{***} (0.095) 3.605^{***} (0.097) Shell 3.326^{***} (0.102) 3.983^{***} (0.104) ESSO 1.372^{***} (0.198) 1.575^{***} (0.199) LTG -0.237 (0.332) -0.210 (0.333) HEM -1.557^{***} (0.343) -1.595^{***} (0.343) STAR -0.373 (0.276) -0.295 (0.277) BFT 3.380^{***} (0.101) 3.439^{***} (0.103) BLG 0.007 (0.112) 0.108 (0.113) Consumer satisfaction? 0.015 (0.059) 0.025 (0.062) Number of services offered -0.366^{***} (0.016) -0.358^{***} (0.016) Constant -8.578^{***} (0.364) 247367 <i>AIC</i> 299274.5 290308.0 246268.8 <i>BIC</i> 299558.2 290402.5 246466.7 <i>Chi2type</i> WaldWaldWald <i>Chi2type</i> WaldWaldWald <i>Chi2type</i> WaldWaldWald <i>Chi2type</i> WaldWaldWald </td <td>Saturday?</td> <td></td> <td>()</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Saturday?		()				
Sunday? Lower Saxony school holidays0.030(0.020)0.032(0.040)extended $0.9pening hours Saturday?0.497***(0.027)0.494***(0.027)Opening hours Sunday/Public-0.256^{***}(0.024)-0.246^{***}(0.024)Holiday?-0.256^{***}(0.095)3.605^{***}(0.097)Shell3.848^{***}(0.102)3.983^{***}(0.104)ESSO1.372^{***}(0.198)1.575^{***}(0.199)LTG-0.237(0.332)-0.210(0.333)HEM-1.557^{***}(0.101)3.439^{***}(0.103)STAR-0.373(0.276)-0.295(0.277)BFT3.380^{***}(0.101)3.439^{***}(0.103)BLG0.007(0.112)0.108(0.113)Freie Tankstelle1.102^{***}(0.106)1.322^{***}(0.108)Consumer satisfaction?0.015(0.059)0.025(0.062)Number of services offered-0.366^{***}(0.364)-0.358^{***}(0.016)Constant-8.578^{***}(0.364)247367AIC299274.5290308.0246268.8BICBIC299558.2290402.5246466.7chi2typeWaldWaldWaldchi2typeWaldWaldWaldchi2typeWaldWaldWaldchi2typeWaldWaldWaldchi2typei apontomergin pempertures * P_{1} $	Price observation occurred	0.035	(0.036)	0.033	(0.051)		
Lower Saxony school holidays extended0.030(0.020)0.032(0.040)Opening hours Saturday?0.497***(0.027)0.494***(0.027)Opening hours Sunday/Public-0.256***(0.024)-0.246***(0.024)Holiday?0.246***(0.097)Aral3.326***(0.095)3.605***(0.097)Shell3.848***(0.102)3.983***(0.104)ESSO1.372***(0.198)1.575***(0.199)LTG-0.237(0.332)-0.210(0.333)HEM-1.557***(0.343)-1.595***(0.343)STAR-0.373(0.276)-0.295(0.277)BFT3.380***(0.101)3.439***(0.103)BLG0.007(0.112)0.108(0.113)Freie Tankstelle1.102***(0.059)0.025(0.062)Number of services offered-0.366***(0.016)-0.358***(0.016)Constant-8.578***(0.364)Observations269501269501247367-AIC299274.5290308.0246268.8-BIC29958.2290402.5246466.7-chi2typeWaldWaldWaldWald-chi2typeWaldWaldWaldPaburt standard arrors in parameters, * $p < 0.05$ ** $p < 0.01$ *** $p < 0.01$ *** $p < 0.01$ *** $p < 0.01$ ***Behnet standard arrors in parameters, * $p < 0.05$	Sunday?		()		(,		
Article (0.007)(0.007)Opening hours Saturday? 0.497^{***} (0.027) 0.494^{***} (0.027) Opening hours Sunday/Public -0.256^{***} (0.024) -0.246^{***} (0.024) Holiday? 3.326^{***} (0.095) 3.605^{****} (0.097) Shell 3.848^{***} (0.102) 3.983^{****} (0.104) ESSO 1.372^{***} (0.198) 1.575^{***} (0.199) LTG -0.237 (0.332) -0.210 (0.333) HEM -1.557^{***} (0.343) -1.595^{***} (0.343) STAR -0.373 (0.276) -0.295 (0.277) BFT 3.380^{***} (0.101) 3.439^{***} (0.103) BLG 0.007 (0.112) 0.108 (0.113) Freie Tankstelle 1.102^{***} (0.006) -0.358^{***} (0.016) Consumer satisfaction? 0.015 (0.059) 0.025 (0.062) Number of services offered -0.366^{***} (0.016) -0.358^{***} (0.016) Cobservations 269501 269501 247367 AIC 299274.5 290308.0 246268.8 BIC 299558.2 290402.5 246466.7 chi2typeWaldWaldWaldchi2 9703.7065^{**} 0.01^{**} $n004^{**}$ Barbor standard arranging magnetic participant * $p < 0.01^{**}$ $p < 0.01^{**}$ $p < 0.01^{**}$ Barbor standard arranging magnetic participant *	Lower Saxony school holidays	0.030	(0.020)	0.032	(0.040)		
Opening hours Saturday? 0.497^{***} (0.027) 0.494^{***} (0.027) Opening hours Sunday/Public -0.256^{***} (0.024) -0.246^{***} (0.024) Holiday? -0.246^{***} (0.097) 3.605^{***} (0.097) Shell 3.326^{***} (0.102) 3.983^{***} (0.104) ESSO 1.372^{***} (0.198) 1.575^{***} (0.199) LTG -0.237 (0.332) -0.210 (0.333) HEM -1.557^{***} (0.343) -1.595^{***} (0.343) STAR -0.373 (0.276) -0.295 (0.277) BFT 3.380^{***} (0.101) 3.439^{***} (0.103) BLG 0.007 (0.112) 0.108 (0.113) Consumer satisfaction? 0.015 (0.059) 0.025 (0.062) Number of services offered -0.366^{***} (0.364) -0.358^{***} (0.016) Constant -8.578^{***} (0.364) -0.358^{***} (0.016) Constant -8.578^{***} (0.364) -0.358^{***} (0.016) Constant $-2.99558.2$ 290402.5 246466.7 $-0.3441.963$ BC 299274.5 290308.0 246268.8 BIC 299558.2 290402.5 246466.7 Chi2typeWaldWaldWaldWald $-0.341.963$ $-0.041.963$ Brance 29703.736 143.045 10341.963 $-0.041.963$	extended		(01020)		(0.0.0)		
Opening hours Sunday/Public -0.256^{***} (0.024) -0.246^{***} (0.024) Holiday?Aral 3.326^{***} (0.095) 3.605^{***} (0.097) Shell 3.848^{***} (0.102) 3.983^{***} (0.104) ESSO 1.372^{***} (0.198) 1.575^{***} (0.199) LTG -0.237 (0.332) -0.210 (0.333) HEM -1.557^{***} (0.343) -1.595^{***} (0.343) STAR -0.373 (0.276) -0.295 (0.277) BFT 3.380^{***} (0.101) 3.439^{***} (0.103) BLG 0.007 (0.112) 0.108 (0.113) Freie Tankstelle 1.102^{***} (0.066) 1.322^{***} (0.062) Number of services offered -0.366^{***} (0.016) -0.358^{***} (0.016) Constant -8.578^{***} (0.364) -0.358^{***} (0.016) Observations 269501 269501 247367 AIC 299274.5 290308.0 246268.8 BIC 299558.2 290402.5 246466.7 chi2typeWaldWaldWaldchi2 9703.736 143.045 10341.963 Particular graphing station ("Usage") "Particular"	Opening hours Saturday?	0.497^{***}	(0.027)			0.494***	(0.027)
Holiday?Aral 3.326^{***} (0.095) 3.605^{***} (0.097)Shell 3.848^{***} (0.102) 3.983^{***} (0.104)ESSO 1.372^{***} (0.198) 1.575^{***} (0.199)LTG -0.237 (0.332) -0.210 (0.333)HEM -1.557^{***} (0.343) -1.595^{***} (0.343)STAR -0.373 (0.276) -0.295 (0.277)BFT 3.380^{***} (0.101) 3.439^{***} (0.103)BLG 0.007 (0.112) 0.108 (0.113)Freie Tankstelle 1.102^{***} (0.106) 1.322^{***} (0.108)Consumer satisfaction? 0.015 (0.059) 0.025 (0.062)Number of services offered -0.366^{***} (0.364) -0.358^{***} (0.016)Observations 269501 269501 247367 AIC 299274.5 290308.0 246268.8 BIC 299558.2 290402.5 246466.7 chi2typeWaldWaldWaldchi2typeWaldWaldWaldChi2typeWaldWaldWaldChi2typeWaldWaldWald	Opening hours Sunday/Public	-0.256***	(0.024)			-0.246***	(0.024)
Aral 3.326^{***} (0.095) 3.605^{***} (0.097) Shell 3.848^{***} (0.102) 3.983^{***} (0.104) ESSO 1.372^{***} (0.198) 1.575^{***} (0.199) LTG -0.237 (0.332) -0.210 (0.333) HEM -1.557^{***} (0.343) -1.595^{***} (0.343) STAR -0.373 (0.276) -0.295 (0.277) BFT 3.380^{***} (0.101) 3.439^{***} (0.103) BLG 0.007 (0.112) 0.108 (0.113) Freie Tankstelle 1.102^{***} (0.106) 1.322^{***} (0.108) Consumer satisfaction? 0.015 (0.059) 0.025 (0.062) Number of services offered -0.366^{***} (0.364) -0.358^{***} (0.016) Observations 269501 269501 247367 AIC 299274.5 290308.0 246268.8 BIC 299274.5 290308.0 246268.8 BIC 299558.2 290402.5 246466.7 chi2typeWaldWaldWaldchi2 9703.736 143.045 10341.963 Babut standard arrors in parametarges, * $n < 0.05$ *** $n < 0.014$ arcs art standard arrors "Basica" "Basica"	Holiday?	0.200	(0.02.)			0.2.10	(01021)
Num 3.848^{***} (0.102) 3.983^{***} (0.04) Shell 3.848^{***} (0.102) 3.983^{***} (0.104) ESSO 1.372^{***} (0.198) 1.575^{***} (0.199) LTG -0.237 (0.332) -0.210 (0.333) HEM -1.557^{***} (0.343) -1.595^{***} (0.043) STAR -0.373 (0.276) -0.295 (0.277) BFT 3.380^{***} (0.101) 3.439^{***} (0.103) BLG 0.007 (0.112) 0.108 (0.113) Freie Tankstelle 1.102^{***} (0.106) 1.322^{***} (0.108) Consumer satisfaction? 0.015 (0.059) 0.025 (0.062) Number of services offered -0.366^{***} (0.364) -0.358^{***} (0.016) Observations 269501 269501 247367 AIC 299274.5 290308.0 246268.8 BIC 299558.2 290402.5 246466.7 chi2typeWaldWaldWaldchi2 9703.736 143.045 10341.963 Babut standard arrors in parentheores, * $n < 0.05^{***} n < 0.014^{***} n < 0.0014^{***}$ rescline strings "Heif-icar"	Aral	3 326***	(0.095)			3 605***	(0.097)
ESSO 1.372^{***} (0.192) (0.103) LTG -0.237 (0.332) -0.210 (0.333) HEM -1.557^{***} (0.343) -1.595^{***} (0.343) STAR -0.373 (0.276) -0.295 (0.277) BFT 3.380^{***} (0.101) 3.439^{***} (0.103) BLG 0.007 (0.112) 0.108 (0.113) Freie Tankstelle 1.102^{***} (0.106) 1.322^{***} (0.108) Consumer satisfaction? 0.015 (0.059) 0.025 (0.062) Number of services offered -0.366^{***} (0.364) 0.0364 0.016 Observations 269501 269501 247367 AIC 299274.5 290308.0 246268.8 BIC 299558.2 290402.5 246466.7 chi2typeWaldWaldWaldchi2 9703.736 143.045 10341.963 Bobset standard arrors in parentheseu* $n < 0.05$ *** $n < 0.001$ stanget station? "Parificient"	Shell	3.848***	(0.102)			3.983***	(0.104)
Libbo 1.572 (0.196) 1.573 (0.196) LTG -0.237 (0.332) -0.210 (0.333) HEM -1.557^{***} (0.343) -1.595^{***} (0.343) STAR -0.373 (0.276) -0.295 (0.277) BFT 3.380^{***} (0.101) 3.439^{***} (0.103) BLG 0.007 (0.112) 0.108 (0.113) Freie Tankstelle 1.102^{***} (0.106) 1.322^{***} (0.108) Consumer satisfaction? 0.015 (0.059) 0.025 (0.062) Number of services offered -0.366^{***} (0.364) (0.016) Observations 269501 247367 AIC 299274.5 290308.0 246268.8 BIC 299558.2 290402.5 246466.7 chi2typeWaldWaldWaldchi2 9703.736 143.045 10341.963	FSSO	1 372***	(0.102) (0.198)			1 575***	(0.199)
HEM -1.557^{***} (0.343) -1.595^{****} (0.343) STAR -0.373 (0.276) -0.295 (0.277) BFT 3.380^{***} (0.101) 3.439^{***} (0.103) BLG 0.007 (0.112) 0.108 (0.113) Freie Tankstelle 1.102^{***} (0.106) 1.322^{***} (0.108) Consumer satisfaction? 0.015 (0.059) 0.025 (0.062) Number of services offered -0.366^{***} (0.016) -0.358^{***} (0.016) Constant -8.578^{***} (0.364) -0.358^{***} (0.016) Observations 269501 269501 247367 AIC 299274.5 290308.0 246268.8 BIC 299558.2 290402.5 246466.7 chi2typeWaldWaldWaldchi2 9703.736 143.045 10341.963	LTG	-0.237	(0.332)			-0.210	(0.333)
MM 1.057 (0.516) 1.053 (0.516) STAR -0.373 (0.276) -0.295 (0.277) BFT 3.380^{***} (0.101) 3.439^{***} (0.103) BLG 0.007 (0.112) 0.108 (0.113) Freie Tankstelle 1.102^{***} (0.106) 1.322^{***} (0.108) Consumer satisfaction? 0.015 (0.059) 0.025 (0.062) Number of services offered -0.366^{***} (0.016) -0.358^{***} (0.016) Constant -8.578^{***} (0.364) -0.358^{***} (0.016) Observations 269501 269501 247367 AIC 299274.5 290308.0 246268.8 BIC 299558.2 290402.5 246466.7 chi2typeWaldWaldWaldchi2 9703.736 143.045 10341.963	HFM	-1 557***	(0.332) (0.343)			-1 595***	(0.343)
BFT 3.380^{***} (0.101) 3.439^{***} (0.103) BLG 0.007 (0.112) 0.108 (0.113) Freie Tankstelle 1.102^{***} (0.106) 1.322^{***} (0.108) Consumer satisfaction? 0.015 (0.059) 0.025 (0.062) Number of services offered -0.366^{***} (0.364) -0.358^{***} (0.016) Constant -8.578^{***} (0.364) -0.358^{***} (0.016) Observations 269501 269501 247367 AIC 299274.5 290308.0 246268.8 BIC 299558.2 290402.5 246466.7 chi2typeWaldWaldWaldchi2 9703.736 143.045 10341.963	STAR	-0.373	(0.276)			-0.295	(0.277)
BLG 0.007 (0.101) 0.108 (0.113) Freie Tankstelle 1.102^{***} (0.106) 1.322^{***} (0.108) Consumer satisfaction? 0.015 (0.059) 0.025 (0.062) Number of services offered -0.366^{***} (0.016) -0.358^{***} (0.016) Constant -8.578^{***} (0.364) -0.358^{***} (0.016) Observations 269501 269501 247367 AIC 299274.5 290308.0 246268.8 BIC 299558.2 290402.5 246466.7 chi2typeWaldWaldWaldchi2 9703.736 143.045 10341.963	BFT	3 380***	(0.270)			3 439***	(0.103)
Dick 0.007 (0.112) 0.006 (0.113) Freie Tankstelle 1.102^{**} (0.106) 1.322^{**} (0.108) Consumer satisfaction? 0.015 (0.059) 0.025 (0.062) Number of services offered -0.366^{***} (0.016) -0.358^{***} (0.016) Constant -8.578^{***} (0.364) -0.358^{***} (0.016) Observations269501269501247367AIC299274.5290308.0246268.8BIC299558.2290402.5246466.7chi2typeWaldWaldWaldchi29703.736143.04510341.963Robust standard errors in paranthereas.** $n < 0.01$.*** $n < 0.01$.	BIG	0.007	(0.101)			0 108	(0.103)
Internation 1.02 (0.100) 1.322 (0.103) Consumer satisfaction? 0.015 (0.059) 0.025 (0.062) Number of services offered -0.366^{***} (0.016) -0.358^{***} (0.016) Constant -8.578^{***} (0.364) -0.366^{***} (0.016) Observations269501269501247367AIC299274.5290308.0246268.8BIC299558.2290402.5246466.7chi2typeWaldWaldWaldchi29703.736143.04510341.963Bobust standard errors in paranthereas.** $n < 0.01$	Freie Tankstelle	1 102***	(0.112) (0.106)			1 322***	(0.113)
Constant 0.015 (0.059) 0.025 (0.002) Number of services offered -0.366^{***} (0.016) -0.358^{***} (0.016) Constant -8.578^{***} (0.364) -0.366^{***} (0.016) Observations269501269501247367AIC299274.5290308.0246268.8BIC299558.2290402.5246466.7chi2typeWaldWaldWaldchi29703.736143.04510341.963Robust standard errors in paranthereas.** $n < 0.01$	Consumer satisfaction?	0.015	(0.100)			0.025	(0.100)
Number of services offered -0.500 (0.010) -0.536 (0.010) Constant -8.578^{***} (0.364) Observations 269501 269501 247367 AIC 299274.5 290308.0 246268.8 BIC 299558.2 290402.5 246466.7 chi2typeWaldWaldWaldchi2 9703.736 143.045 10341.963	Number of services offered	0.015	(0.039)			0.025	(0.002)
Constant -6.576 (0.504) Observations 269501 269501 247367 AIC 299274.5 290308.0 246268.8 BIC 299558.2 290402.5 246466.7 chi2type Wald Wald Wald chi2 9703.736 143.045 10341.963	Constant	-0.500 8 578***	(0.010)			-0.558	(0.010)
Observations 209301 209301 247307 AIC 299274.5 290308.0 246268.8 BIC 299558.2 290402.5 246466.7 chi2type Wald Wald Wald Wald Chi2 9703.736 143.045 10341.963 Bobust standard errors in perenthereas: * $n < 0.05$ ** $n < 0.01$ *** $n < 0.001$; geocline stations "Houses" "Deifeiser"	Observations	260501	(0.304)	260501		217267	
AIC 299214.3 290308.0 240208.8 BIC 299558.2 290402.5 246466.7 chi2type Wald Wald Wald chi2 9703.736 143.045 10341.963		209301		209301		247307	
Drc 299336.2 290402.5 240400.7 chi2type Wald Wald Wald chi2 9703.736 143.045 10341.963 Pobust standard errors in parentheses: * $n < 0.05$ ** $n < 0.01$ *** $n < 0.001$ secoling stations "Houses" "Pointieses"		277214.3		290308.0		240200.0	
cm2 typewaidwaidwaidchi29703.736143.04510341.963Bobust standard arrors in parantheses: * $n < 0.05$ ** $n < 0.01$ *** $n < 0.001$; caseline stations "Houses" "Deifeisee"	shi2tura	277330.2 Wold		270402.J Wold		∠40400.7 Wold	
UII2 $9/05.750$ 145.045 10541.905 Pobust standard arrors in paranthasas: $n < 0.05$ $** n < 0.01$ $*** n < 0.001$ gasoling stations "Hower" "Definism"	chi2type	w alu		1 1 2 0 1 5		walu	
\sim CONTRACTOR OF TOTAL DEPENDING ON TOTAL DATE \sim CONTRACTOR OF CONTRACT \sim CONTRACTOR OF CONTRACT \sim CONTRACTOR OF CONTRACT \sim C	Dobust stondard array in result.	9/US./30	** = < 0.01 *	143.043	aolino stati	10341.903	ifairar"

Robust standard errors in parentheses; * p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.001; gasoline stations "Hoyer", "Raifeisen", and "Freie Tankstelle Salewski" omitted because of collinearity and "JET" as base.

When located near a motorway, a gasoline station's price increase is almost 1 cpl weaker on average. This effect is statistically significant at the 1 percent confidence level. In contrast,

gas stations located on federal roads increase their prices by an average of 2.5 cpl more. Economically, the time a station opens has little effect on price setting.

Again, brand affiliation plays a major role in explaining the magnitude of price jumps. The oligopoly players Aral, Shell, and ESSO increase their prices by about 3.6, 4, and 1.6 cpl more respectively, compared to JET. The other superregional players (LTG, HEM, and STAR) increase prices by a smaller amount than JET, while gasoline stations belonging to BFT increase their prices by about 3.4 cpl more. BLG's price increases do not differ significantly from those of JET. The price increases by Freie Tankstelle are about 1.3 cpl larger in magnitude. Overall, the results show that Aral and Shell, who jointly account for more than 80 percent of price increases in this market, are also the major drivers of the size of each cycle. BFT is the only non-oligopoly superregional player that seems to mimic this behavior.

The consumer satisfaction coefficient is statistically insignificant. If a gasoline station offers one more service, it increases the price on average by about 0.4 cpl less. This result matches economic intuition, according to which gasoline stations relying more heavily on services and the sale of by-products to generate profits are less motivated to increase their prices.

Results for the pooled Poisson regression are similar to the fixed effects Poisson regressions. Overall, location characteristics and brand affiliation are the most important factors determining the strength of a price increase. Actual price plays a minor role in explaining the strength of price increases.

7 Robustness Checks

As mentioned before, two gasoline stations ceased operations in 2019 (an ARAL station in Brietlingen and a Raiffeisen station in Barendorf). This could possibly distort results, as discussed in Wein (2021). We present robustness checks that exclude these gasoline stations from the data set in Table 9. There are no notable changes compared to the reference model from Table 7, Probit 1.

Generally, the first round of price increases of each day could be distorted because the pricing policy is based on the price level of the previous evening, and not on the prices of competitors who open earlier. We dealt with this issue by only considering price increases that occurred at least one hour after the last gasoline station opened. Thus, by definition the first price increase we look at must be after 8 a.m. on weekdays. Moreover, we believe, that the restrictive identification mechanism for relevant price-increasing events prevents us from

running into false cycles. Recall, we define a price-increasing event as the first price jump after a series of at least five price decreases. If we define a false price increase as one in which none of the five subsequent price changes (at any gasoline station) is positive, 644 of 11,586 (about 0.06 percent) price-increasing events are false price increases.

Furthermore, observations of prices changes in the late evening hours may distort results because competition patterns change as gasoline stations close. We include a robustness check excluding observations that occur after 9 p.m.⁹ and one limited to the first 25 cycles of each day.¹⁰ The robustness checks in Table 9 show that there are no notable differences if only observations until 9 p.m. are taken into account, or if the number of cycles is limited to the first 25 cycles of the first 25 cycles of each day.

To recap, we focus on the Lueneburg and surrounding area gasoline market. We use geographic characteristics to define the market, considering commuter routes and the proximity to the motorway. The estimation models contain variables to account for location, as listed in Table 2, and we use driving distance instead of distance as the crow flies for location variables. In Table 9, we present robustness checks for gasoline stations within the town of Lueneburg. We base this latter market definition on the historically evolved delineation of the town neglecting the topography of the area. This drastically limits the number of gasoline stations to 15. Therefore, it is no surprise that estimation results are somewhat different. Many station characteristics had to be excluded due to collinearity.

⁹ On weekdays, the first three gasoline stations close at 7 p.m., 8 p.m., and 8:30 p.m.

¹⁰ We look at 728 days of data with an average of 5.06 cycles per day between 8 a.m. and 22 p.m. with a standard deviation of 1.53, a minimum of 3, and a maximum of 11 cycles per day. However, many cycles are initiated by several gasoline stations raising their prices at the same time and, as mentioned earlier, we act as if each of these increases is a time period (or cycle) of its own when analyzing why a gasoline station is the first to raise its price. Thus, according to this definition, there are about 11,586 cycles in the data set with an average of 15.92 cycles per day (sd=6.34, min=4, max=38). We chose this definition as it is more appropriate for the research question because we do not randomly exclude any of the gasoline stations that raised prices simultaneously with other stations. We present estimations with a limited number of cycles (25) to show that results do not change when taking away some of the cycles. When only keeping the first 25 price increases, and looking at the 728 days of data, we find there are on average 4.98 cycles per day (sd=1.47, min=3 and max=11).

Table 7. Robustness Cheeks	Kanuom En		Estimation					
	Reference	Without	Only	Only the first	Only town	Latest price	Distance	Price
	estimates	Aral-	observations	25 cycles	area of	decrease 6	weighted	increases of
	from Table 7	Brietlingen,	before 9pm		Lueneburg	minutes	price	at least 2 cpl
	(Probit 1)	Raiffeisen-				before price	differences	
		Barendorf				jump		
Dependent variable: Incr	reasing price obs	ervation: Dumn	ny = 1 for increa	asing price obser	rvation and 0 fo	r non-increasing	price observati	on.
Price difference to price increaser,	-0.036	-0.033	-0.035	-0.037	-0.037***	-0.035	-0.14*	-0.027
before jump								
	(0.036)	(0.037)	(0.035)	(0.036)	(0.005)	(0.036)	(0.057)	(.)
	-0.001	-0.001	-0.001	-0.001	-0.002	-0.001	-0.005	-0.001
Price difference * duration;	-0.018***	-0.016***	-0.017***	-0.017***	-0.017***	-0.018***		-0.018***
measured in machine hours								
	(0.005)	(0.005)	(0.005)	(0.0048)	(0.002)	(0.005)		(0.000)
	-0.000	-0.001	-0.000	-0.000	-0.001	-0.001		-0.000
In sight?	-0.078	-0.088	-0.116	-0.087	0.247**	-0.077	-0.345	-0.542***
5	(.)	(.)	(.)	(.)	(0.075)	(.)	(1.519)	(0.002)
	-0.002	-0.003	-0.003	-0.002	0.014	-0.002	-0.012	-0.014
Located near Motorway?	0.998	0.981	0.972***	0.952	-1.252***	0.961**	0.088	0.637***
5	(.)	(.)	(0.226)	(.)	(0.093)	(0.311)	(.)	(0.003)
	0.028	0.030	0.026	0.027	-0.070	0.028	0.003	0.016
Located at Federal Road?	0.268***	0.27	0.303***	0.262***	0.000	0.254***	0.631	0.382***
	(0.033)	(0.299)	(0.027)	(0.034)	(.)	(0.026)	(1.553)	(0.001)
	0.008	0.008	0.008	0.007	Ĩ	0.007	0.022	0.010
Stations in rural area?	-0.149**	-0.146	-0.141	-0.142**	0.000	-0.142***	-0.484	-0.138***
	(0.050)	(0.368)	(0.076)	(0.052)	(.)	(0.034)	(1.497)	(0.001)
	-0.004	-0.004	-0.004	-0.004		-0.004	-0.017	-0.003
Price observation occurred	-0.000	-0.004	-0.006	-0.001	0.002	-0.004	0.005	-0.001
Saturday?	01000	01001	0.000	01001	0.002		0.000	01001
	(0.021)	(0.021)	(0.022)	(0.021)	(0.018)	(0.021)	(0.022)	(0.021)
	-0.000	-0.001	-0.000	-0.000	0.000	-0.000	0.000	-0.000
Price observation occurred Sunday?	0.025	0.016	0.027	0.025	0.008	0.022	0.028	0.018
	(0.023)	(0.023)	(0.020)	(0.021)	(0.017)	(0.024)	(0.025)	(0.025)
	-0.001	0.000	0.001	0.001	0.000	0.001	0.001	0.000
Lower Saxony school holidays extended	0.011	0.001	-0.004	0.011	0.003	0.012	0.011	0.011
	(0.021)	(0.021)	(0.020)	(0.023)	(0.013)	(0.021)	(0.023)	(0.021)

Tuble >1 Robubtiless Cheens	Rundom Er	ieets i i obit	Bounnation					
	Reference	Without	Only	Only the first	Only town	Latest price	Distance	Price
	estimates	Aral-	observations	25 cycles	area of	decrease 6	weighted	increases of
	from Table 7	Brietlingen,	before 9pm	-	Lueneburg	minutes	price	at least 2 cpl
	(Probit 1)	Raiffeisen-	1		C	before price	differences	
	· · · ·	Barendorf				jump		
	0.000	0.000	-0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Opening hours Saturday?	0.160^{***}	0.157**	0.156***	0.152**	-14.168***	0.15^{***}	0.181	0.046***
	(0.045)	(0.054)	(0.020)	(0.05)	(0.683)	(0.034)	(0.177)	(0.001)
	0.004	0.005	0.004	0.004	-0.797	0.004	0.006	0.001
Opening hours Sunday/Public	-0.125**	-0.122*	-0.122***	-0.119*	12.633***	-0.117***	-0.083	-0.032***
Honday:	(0.043)	(0.051)	(0.016)	(0.046)	(0.602)	(0.024)	(0.16)	(0, 001)
					(0.002)			
Arol	-0.003 4 540***	1 473***	1 370***	4 304***	0.711	4 403***	1 280	$2 420^{***}$
Ala	(0.102)	(0.585)	(0.158)	4.394	(0.08)	(0.237)	(1.801)	(0.012)
	(0.102)	(0.303)	0.116	(0.130)	(0.03)	(0.237)	(1.091)	(0.012)
Shall	0.127 3 736***	2 655***	0.110 3 570***	2 570***	0.023***	3 502***	1 578	1.006***
Sheh	(0.159)	(0.466)	(0.164)	(0.262)	(0.933)	(0.302)	(1.860)	(0.011)
	(0.138)	(0.400)	(0.104)	(0.203)	(0.102)	(0.393)	(1.000)	(0.011)
ESSO	0.105	0.112	0.094	0.101	12 107***	0.104	0.034	0.028
E350	5.725	5.057	5.424	5.505	-13.107	5.507	0.007	(0.023)
	(.)	(.)	(.)	(.)	(0.718)	(.)	(.)	(0.012)
I TC	0.104	0.111 1.214**	0.091 1.070***	0.100 1.2co***	-0.737	1.202***	0.025	0.021
LIG	1.363	1.314	1.278	1.268	-3.134	1.282	0.358	-0.111
	(0.166)	(0.450)	(0.173)	(0.196)	(0.211)	(0.254)	(2.818)	(0.007)
	0.038	0.040	0.034	0.036	-0.1/6 1.665***	0.037	0.012	-0.003
HEM	0.73	0.644	0.723	0.630	-1.665	0.61	-0.074	-1.1//
	(0.401)	(0.867)	(0.402)	(0.579)	(0.127)	(0.932)	(.)	(0.008)
	0.020	0.020	0.019	0.018	-0.094	0.018	-0.003	-0.030
STAR	3.613	3.541	3.456	3.458	11.581	3.487	0.233	0.952
	(.)	(0.724)	(.)	(.)	(0.539)	(.)	(.)	(0.011)
	0.101	0.108	0.091	0.098	0.651	0.101	0.008	0.024
BFT	0.670***	0.680*	0.681***	0.672***	-1.291***	0.675	1.275	0.715***
	(0.068)	(0.326)	(0.118)	(0.071)	(0.173)	(0.149)	(1.963)	(0.001)
	0.019	0.021	0.018	0.019	-0.073	0.019	0.044	0.018
BLG	-1.218***	-1.169**	-1.081***	-1.123***	-0.509***	-1.139***	-0.084	0.142^{***}
	(0.212)	(0.387)	(0.065)	(0.242)	(0.074)	(0.067)	(2.082)	(0.006)

	Reference	Without	Only	Only the first	Only town	Latest price	Distance	Price
	estimates	Aral-	observations	25 cycles	area of	decrease 6	weighted	increases of
	from Table 7	Brietlingen.	before 9pm	20 0 9 0 1 0 3	Lueneburg	minutes	price	at least 2 cpl
	(Probit 1)	Raiffeisen-	ourore spin		Lucitoring	before price	differences	at reast 2 opr
	()	Barendorf				iump		
	-0.034	-0.036	-0.029	-0.032	-0.029	-0.033	-0.003	0.004
FreieT	-0.716**	-0.669	-0.569***	-0.618*	0.000	-0.637***	0.463	0.964^{***}
	(0.259)	(0.387)	(0.106)	(0.278)	(.)	(0.095)	(2.207)	(0.005)
	-0.020	-0.020	-0.015	-0.018	Ĩ	-0.018	0.016	0.024
Consumer satisfaction?	0.125***	0.117	0.098*	0.103**	0.158	0.117^{*}	-0.619	-0.386***
	(0.030)	(0.55)	(0.040)	(0.034)	(0.121)	(0.054)	(0.603)	(0.003)
	0.004	0.004	0.003	0.003	0.009	0.003	-0.021	-0.010
Self service station?	1.344***	1.308^{*}	1.268	1.282***	0.000	1.273		0.082^{***}
	(0.235)	(0.517)	(.)	(0.254)	(.)	(.)		(0.006)
	0.038	0.040	0.034	0.036	Ĩ	0.037		0.002
Station with Shop?	0.132*	0.145	0.171^{**}	0.153**	0.000	0.131		0.405^{***}
-	(0.055)	(0.151)	(0.058)	(0.056)	(.)	(0.109)		(0.002)
	0.004	0.004	0.005	0.004		0.004		0.010
Station with Rewe-to-Go-Shop?	0.13***	0.125	0.107^{*}	0.114^{***}	0.136	0.125^{*}		-0.324***
*	(0.033)	(0.432)	(0.046)	(0.034)	(0.087)	(0.056)		(0.002)
	0.004	0.004	0.003	0.003	0.008	0.004		-0.008
Bistro?	0.010	0.008	0.005	0.006	0.000	0.010		-0.145***
	(0.026)	(0.085)	(0.047)	(0.027)	(.)	(0.040)		(0.001)
	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	Ĩ	0.000		-0.004
In-Store-Backery?	-0.611***	-0.613	-0.600***	-0.605***	0.000	-0.617		-0.176***
-	(0.136)	(.)	(0.148)	(0.129)	(.)	(.)		(0.000)
	-0.017	-0.019	-0.016	-0.017		-0.018		-0.004
Number of accepted credit cards?	-0.781***	-0.767***	-0.749***	-0.750***	0.000	-0.753***		-0.319***
-	(0.03)	(0.068)	(0.02)	(0.041)	(.)	(0.032)		(0.002)
	-0.022	-0.023	-0.020	-0.021	l l	-0.022		-0.008
ATM?	1.288^{***}	1.318***	1.194***	1.277^{***}	0.000	1.307***		1.538***
	(0.117)	(0.370)	(0.104)	(0.151)	(.)	(0.237)		(0.001)
	0.036	0.040	0.032	0.036	Ĭ	0.038		0.039
Distance weighted price differences							0.008	
to nearest station, before jump								

(0.045)

	Reference	Without	Only	Only the first	t Only town	Latest price	Distance	Price
	estimates	Aral-	observations	25 cycles	area of	decrease 6	weighted	increases of
	from Table 7	Brietlingen,	before 9pm		Lueneburg	minutes	price	at least 2 cpl
	(Probit 1)	Raiffeisen-				before price	differences	
		Barendorf				jump		
							0.000	
Distance weighted price differences							0.074	
to second nearest station, before jump								
J 1							(0.038)	
							0.003	
Distance weighted price differences							-0.042	
to third nearest station, before jump								
to third hear out of the out of the family							(0.042)	
							-0.001	
Number of services offered							-0.345	
							(0.223)	
							-0.012	
Constant	-3.645	-3.557	-3.537	-3.512	34.535***	-3.551	-1.408	-1.258***
	(.)	(2.3343)	(.)	(.)	(2.3311)	(.)	(3.91)	(0.009)
Observations	269328	247226	268303	259929	142830	262823	269328	243306
AIC	69679.4	67852.9	65600.7	67553.1	56636.9	69198.4	69454.2	62254.2
BIC	69910.5	68082.1	65852.7	67783.4	56844.2	69428.9	69674.8	62389.4

Robust standard errors in parentheses; * p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.001; marginal effects at means in ||; Gasoline stations "Hoyer", "Raifeisen", and "Freie Tankstelle Salewski", as well as services restrooms, car wash, repair, and vacuum cleaner omitted because of collinearity and "JET" as baseline.

Another concern is that we exaggerated the assumed rationality of gasoline stations and that gasoline stations do not react by the second, but rather on a minute basis. Thus, instead of allowing a station to react to a competitor's price decrease with a price jump within a few seconds, we rule out such reaction speed by requiring the latest price decrease to lie at least 6 minutes before the price jump, as done in Wein (2021). This new identification mechanism for price jumps accounts for the fact that gasoline stations only have to transmit price changes within five minutes to the MTU. The new estimates are very similar to the reference model, as shown in Table 9.

Prices of competitors located near the initiating station may play a decisive role in explaining why the particular gasoline station is the one to increase prices first. We present results of estimates that include distance weighted price differences between the initiating station and the three nearest competitors in Table 9. Surprisingly, there are no significant effects.

Finally, we show estimation results that include only price increases of 2 cpl or more. In terms of the effect direction as well as the statistical significance, the results do not change. Only the strength of the estimated effects seems to be lower when excluding price increases of 1 cpl.

Overall, the results of our robustness checks are consistent with the estimates discussed above, except that the estimates included only gasoline stations in the town of Lueneburg and those with distance weights.

Although the market for petrol and the market for diesel are considered strictly separate, previous research shows that estimation results and market mechanics are the same for the different types of fuels (Linder 2018; Haas 2019; Neukirch and Wein 2019; Kahl 2020). We present the result of estimates similar to the ones in Tables 5 and 6, but for the different fuel types in Table 10 and 11 respectively.¹¹

Fixed effects logit estimates for diesel are very similar to the ones for petrol E5; however, estimates for the fuel type petrol E10 are statistically insignificant and the regression model provides no better fit to the data than an empty model. Results for 2019 are similar and much more homogenous. All regression models provide a better fit to the data than a model that contains no independent variables (Appendix Table 4).

¹¹ Results of the fixed effects logit regression for 2019 in Appendix Table 4.

Table 10: Different Fuel	Table 10: Different Fuel Types - Fixed Effects Logit Estimation (Jan-Apr, 2018)										
	FE Logit	ME	FE Logit	ME	FE Logit	ME					
	E5	E5	E10	E10	Diesel	Diesel					
Dependent variable: Increasin	g price observ	vation: Dun	nmy = 1 for inc	reasing pri	ce observation	and 0 for					
	non-incr	easing pric	e observation.								
Price difference to price	-0.0506*	-0.013	-0.0287	-0.007	-0.0710***	-0.018					
increaser, before jump											
	(0.0202)		(0.0180)		(0.0175)						
Price difference * duration;	-0.0159	-0.004	-0.00601	-0.002	-0.0171^{*}	-0.004					
measured in machine hours											
	(0.00851)		(0.00725)		(0.00730)						
Price observation occurred	0.0162	0.004	0.000133	0.000	0.00395	0.001					
Tuesday?											
	(0.104)		(0.105)		(0.101)						
Price observation occurred	-0.0108	-0.003	-0.0181	-0.005	0.0319	0.008					
Wednesday?											
	(0.100)		(0.101)		(0.0984)						
Price observation occurred	-0.0345	-0.009	0.00699	0.002	0.00719	0.002					
Thursday?											
	(0.0996)		(0.0987)		(0.0979)						
Price observation occurred	0.00301	0.001	0.00259	0.001	-0.0136	-0.003					
Friday?											
	(0.101)		(0.101)		(0.100)						
Price observation occurred	0.0141	0.004	0.0371	0.009	0.0301	0.008					
Saturday?											
	(0.0986)		(0.0977)		(0.0993)						
Price observation occurred	0.0342	0.009	0.0131	0.003	0.0108	0.003					
Sunday?											
	(0.101)		(0.102)		(0.103)						
Lower Saxony school holidays	0.0452	0.011	0.0473	0.012	0.0640	0.016					
extended											
	(0.0611)		(0.0612)		(0.0600)						
Observations	38052		34610		41182						
AIC	9823.5		9833.3		10388.9						
BIC	9900.5		9909.4		10466.5						
chi2type	LR		LR		LR						
chi2	34.045		10.930		66.765						
<u>р</u>	0.000		0.281		0.000						
Standard errors in parentheses; *	p < 0.05, ** p	< 0.01, ***	p < 0.001; mar	ginal effect	s at means (MI	E) in					

column to the right of the coefficients respectively.

Estimation results from random effects probit regression are very similar for markets for all fuel types (Table 11). Estimated effects for services and brand affiliation are especially more pronounced in the markets for diesel and petrol E10 when using the random effects probit approach. Throughout this paper, we present the most conservative results by focusing on the market for petrol E5.

These robustness checks support our result from above. Namely, price plays a minor role in gasoline stations' decision whether to be the first to raise prices and initiate a new price cycle. Overall, our results are valid for the Lueneburg market for the three most common fuel types.

Table 11: Different Fuel Types	s – Rando	m Effect	s Probit E	stimatio	n	
	Probit	ME	Probit	ME	Probit	ME
	E5	E5	Diesel	Diesel	E10	E10
Dependent variable: Increasing price	observation	: Dummy =	= 1 for increa	ising price	observation	and 0 for
no no no no ne	on-increasing	g price obs	ervation.	0.001	0.027***	0.001
before jump	-0.036	-0.001	-0.048	-0.001	-0.027	-0.001
before jump	(0.036)		(0, 004)		(0.003)	
Price difference * duration: measured	-0.018***	-0.000	-0.015^{***}	-0.000	-0.007***	-0.000
in machine hours	0.010	0.000	0.012	0.000	0.007	0.000
	(0.005)		(0.002)		(0.001)	
In sight?	-0.078	-0.002	0.268	0.008	0.377	0.012
-	(.)		(0.329)		(0.347)	
Located near Motorway?	0.998	0.028	1.924^{***}	0.057	1.585^{***}	0.048
	(.)		(0.476)		(0.475)	
Located at Federal Road?	0.268^{***}	0.008	0.324^{*}	0.010	0.138	0.004
	(0.033)		(0.135)		(0.140)	
Stations in rural area?	-0.149**	-0.004	-0.296**	-0.009	-0.173	-0.005
	(0.050)	0.000	(0.097)	0.000	(0.099)	0.000
Price observation occurred Saturday?	-0.000	-0.000	-0.004	-0.000	-0.003	-0.000
Drive abarmetica economid Conders?	(0.021)	0.001	(0.015)	0.000	(0.015)	0.001
Price observation occurred Sunday?	(0.023)	0.001	(0.009)	0.000	(0.017)	0.001
I ower Sayony school bolidays	(0.023)	0.000	0.01	0.000	0.009	0.000
extended	0.011	0.000	0.01	0.000	0.009	0.000
extended	(0.021)		(0.011)		(0.011)	
Opening hours Saturday?	0.160***	0.004	0.403***	0.012	0.265*	0.008
- F 8	(0.045)		(0.115)		(0.112)	
Opening hours Sunday/Public	-0.125**	-0.003	-0.316***	-0.009	-0.199*	-0.006
Holiday?						
	(0.043)		(0.094)		(0.09)	
Aral	4.540***	0.127	8.258^{***}	0.245	6.433***	0.196
	(0.102)		(1.926)		(1.905)	
Shell	3.736***	0.105	7.740^{***}	0.230	5.886**	0.180
	(0.158)		(2.039)		(2.029)	
ESSO	3.725	0.104	8.173***	0.242	6.143**	0.188
	(.)	0.020	(2.270)	0.100	(2.260)	0.000
LIG	1.363	0.038	3.647	0.108	2.711	0.083
	(0.166)	0.020	(1.140)	0 100	(1.152)	0.000
HEM	(0.73)	0.020	3.041	0.108	2.255	0.069
STAR	(0.401)	0 101	(1.470) 7 160***	0.213	(1.439)	0 167
STAR	()	0.101	(1.885)	0.215	(1.878)	0.107
BFT	0.670***	0.019	0.735***	0.022	0.736***	0.022
	(0.068)	0.017	(0.099)	0.022	(0.102)	0.022
BLG	-1.218***	-0.034	-3.554**	-0.105	-2.597*	-0.079
	(0.212)		(1.227)		(1.217)	
Freie Tankstelle	-0.716**	-0.020	-3.418*	-0.101	-2.483	-0.076
	(0.259)		(1.405)		(1.406)	
Consumer satisfaction?	0.125^{***}	0.004	0.784^{*}	0.023	0.595	0.018
	(0.030)		(0.384)		(0.403)	
Self service station?	1.344***	0.038	3.286**	0.098	2.287^*	0.070
	(0.235)		(1.036)		(1.017)	
Station with Shop?	0.132*	0.004	-0.096	-0.003	-0.199	-0.006
	(0.055)	0.001	(0.193)	0.010	(0.201)	0.011
Station with Rewe-to-Go-Shop?	0.13^{***}	0.004	0.653*	0.019	0.472	0.014
Distan 2	(0.033)	0.000	(0.306)	0.000	(0.321)	0.004
BISILO ((0.026)	0.000	0.06	0.002	(0.02)	0.004
In Store Backery?	(0.020) 0.611***	0.017	(0.079) 0.424***	0.012	(0.082) 0.615***	0.010
111-5101C-Daukely!	-0.011	-0.01/	-0.434	-0.015	-0.013	-0.019

	Enterent 1 der 1 Jpes – Rundom Enteets 1 1 opte Estimation								
	Probit	ME	Probit	ME	Probit	ME			
	E5	E5	Diesel	Diesel	E10	E10			
	(0.135)		(0.086)		(0.082)				
Number of accepted credit cards?	-0.781***	-0.022	-1.564***	-0.046	-1.194**	-0.036			
	(0.03)		(0.400)		(0.396)				
ATM?	1.288^{***}	0.036	1.201^{***}	0.036	1.201^{***}	0.037			
	(0.117)		(0.113)		(0.111)				
Constant	-3.645		-7.265***		-5.954**				
	(.)		(1.949)		(2.026)				
Observations	269328		270397		269724				
AIC	69679.4		70340.3		70294.1				
BIC	69910.5		70655.5		70609.3				

Table 11: Different Fuel Types – Random Effects Probit Estimation

Robust standard errors in parentheses; * p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.001; marginal effects at means (ME) in column to the right of Probit estimates; Probit: gasoline stations "Hoyer", "Raifeisen", and "Freie Tankstelle Salewski", as well as services restrooms, car wash, repair, and vacuum cleaner omitted because of collinearity and "JET" as baseline; Time fixed effects at the day-round level.

Robustness checks analogous to Table 9 but for Poisson estimates are in Appendix Table 5. Poisson robustness checks for different fuel types are in Appendix Table 6. The Poisson results are similar to the fixed effects logit and random effects probit results robust with respect to different model specifications as well as different fuel types.

8 Threats to Validity

The overall research goal of this paper is to identify the relevant determinants that cause price cycles. Price cycles occur in some form all over the world in the gasoline retail market. Why do we focus on a single local market? Are our results externally valid?

We limit ourselves to the market around one town, Lueneburg, because revising the data set is very complex and time-consuming. In other markets the results could, of course, change – especially in markets that differ with respect to gasoline station composition. We use the same market definition as Wein (2021), who argues that local knowledge is important to answer the crucial question of market definition. Thus, commuter routes can be identified and it is possible to decide if gasoline stations on the edge still belong to the market.

Narrowing the data set to Lueneburg comes at the cost of limited power in interpreting gasoline stations and market characteristics due to low to no change in respective variables. Recording the single service variables on a daily basis would require a lot of effort, and locational changes, as well as brand changes, are rare in the German gasoline retail market (Wein 2021).

This results in a limited external validity of this study. However, we expect cyclical price patterns of markets similar to Lueneburg to follow the same mechanics identified here,

because similar cyclical pricing patterns are observed all over the world. Comprehensive estimation approaches allowed us to estimate the effects of a variety of factors for the market chosen without limitation to the internal validity. Time, as well as an entity-fixed effects approach, ensure that we do not have to fear threats to internal validity from omitted variables. We present the results of a number of estimation techniques to fully capture the functional form. As complete data were available from the MTU and all prices of gasoline stations of the Lueneburg market enter the analysis, there is no problem with imprecise measurement or sample selection. Simultaneous causality is no issue here.¹²

9 Summary and Conclusion

Since August 2013, it has been mandatory for all gasoline retailers in Germany to report price changes of the most common fuel types within a few minutes to the MTU. There are more than 50 information service providers that are registered with the MTU who obtain price data and make it freely available. Most of these services can be accessed online or via phone applications. Utilizing these services, consumers can easily choose the cheapest gasoline station within a certain driving distance around their location. The information is not only useful to consumers, but also to the stations themselves, for whom it becomes much easier to monitor local competitor prices. From an economic perspective, one would expect gasoline stations to react very quickly to competitors' price changes.

As described by Wein (2021), gasoline prices in Germany fluctuate a lot over the course of the day, and follow a relatively fixed ritual. Prices rise in the late evening or at night, fall sharply in the early morning, and go up and down in-between. The cycles are described in the literature as corresponding to the well-known Edgeworth cycles. These Edgeworth cycles are said to appear because gasoline stations undercut each other until a price close to the marginal costs is reached. Then, one or more gasoline stations jump up with their prices initiating a new price cycle, and the other stations follow. Then undercutting begins again. We identify the gasoline station in the Lueneburg market which is the first to increase its price after a series of at least five price decreases. Economically speaking, the increaser is giving up on competing via the price in the local market and hopes that competitors respond by increasing prices by just undercutting the new price of the initiating station. Then, the initial increaser can respond by undercutting the competition again, and obtaining consumer surplus over the course of the price cycle. According to the Edgeworth cycle theory, such a

¹² We discussed reverse causality in context of interpreting the estimation results of the consumer satisfaction variable and explained why it is unlikely to cause problems in the estimation process.

price jump occurs because firms are following a mixed strategy between maintaining the lowest price and raising the price at the trough of the cycle, after the first gasoline station gives in and restores a higher price level.

Microeconomic considerations of profit maximization lead the gasoline station to increase its price only if sales gained from starting a new cycle – minus the loss of sales that occurs in the short-run during higher prices, exceed the sale losses that would occur if the gasoline station endured the trough of the cycle to continue the war of attrition. Those gasoline stations that compete primarily over the price, for example because they are located in unfavorable locations tend to increase prices less often. Similarly, gasoline stations are less inclined to raise prices if they rely more on services such as shops or bistros to generate sales.

In order to show which stations are the first to raise prices during the trough, we use a publicly accessible data set that has been massively revised similarly to the one used by Wein (2021). We use the very same data set, which covers the years 2018 to 2019 almost completely, and is limited to the local market of the German town Lueneburg which consists of a maximum of 26 gasoline stations. Focusing on one region allows us to assume that the gasoline stations are in competition with each other. After identifying the first price increase after a series of five price decreases, we added all competitors' prices valid immediately before the price increase event. Similar to Wein (2021), we build on the basic assumption that one second before the price increase event, all gasoline stations were facing the same price level, but only one gasoline station decided to raise its price. We format a panel unit that contains both the old and new prices of the price increaser, as well as all competitors' prices that were valid directly before the price increase event. Such accurate price cycle identification is only possible due to the data's time precision. We used a variety of regression approaches, such as conditional fixed effects logit, random effects logit, and random effects probit, as well as fixed effects Poisson approaches, to test what distinguishes the price increaser from the non-price increasers.

Even though most coefficients produced by our estimation approaches are highly statistically significant, the economic significance of the effects is sometimes low. At the same time, estimation results show that some service variables, location parameters, and brand affiliations have a significant economic effect on a gasoline stations' pricing decisions. As we limit ourselves to one local market, gas station characteristics, location parameters, and brands' market power do not change in the data set.

The Edgeworth cycle theory is based on the assumption that cyclical price patterns in the gasoline market result exclusively from price competition. Our estimation results show that the price variables play a minor role in gasoline stations' decision on whether to be the first to raise the price. In contrast, market structural factors play a relatively more important role. We used entity fixed effects regression to best identify the effects of price variables.¹³ The statistically significant variables for price pressure show a vanishingly small economic effect on the likelihood of a gasoline station to raise the price to initiate a new price cycle. According to fixed effects logit estimates for petrol (E5) data, a 1 cent per liter higher price of the competition lowers the probability of a gasoline station to increase the price by at most 3 percentage points. This is similar to the effect of the number of services offered by a gasoline station. Throughout estimation approaches, the results indicate that offering one additional service is associated with a 1 to 2 percentage points lower probability of increasing the price.

In contrast, estimates from the random effects probit and logit approach¹⁴ show that location parameters play a slightly larger role in explaining price jumps. Results from random effects probit regression show that a gasoline station located on a federal road is between 0.8 and 5.1 percentage points more likely to initiate a new price cycle, and a gasoline station located in a rural area is between 0.4 and 3.5 percentage points less likely. Similar to the fixed effects logit results, there are no significant day of the week effects.

According to random effects probit estimates, the three premium brands Aral, Shell, and Esso are between 10.4 and 12.7 percentage points more likely to initiate a new price cycle than the superregional player JET, which is the baseline brand, and 4.9 to 8.4 percentage points more likely to initiate raising prices than regional non-oligopoly players. Only estimation results for STAR are similar. Furthermore, fixed effects Poisson estimation results¹⁵ show that Aral and Shell, who jointly account for more than 80 percent of price increases in this market, are also the major drivers of the size of the price cycles. They increase the price by 3.6 to 4 cpl on average more than JET does. Only, the non-oligopoly superregional player BFT seems to mimic this behavior.

Overall, price considerations as well as services offered play a minor role in explaining why a gasoline station is the first to increase its price. Location parameters play a slightly larger

¹³ Complete results of entity-fixed effects logit estimation approach in Table 6.

¹⁴ Complete results of random effects probit and logit estimation are in Table 7 and Appendix Table 2.

¹⁵ Complete results of Poisson estimation approaches are in Table 8.

role, but brand affiliation seems to play a major role. Brand affiliation and location parameters do not seem to be decisive either; however, these factors are much more important when it comes to a stations' decision to be the first to increase prices than are price considerations alone. Together, the strong results for oligopoly players Aral and Shell suggest that market power is the major driver of the cyclical pricing pattern in the gasoline market.

The results are robust with respect to markets for different fuel types. Throughout the paper, we present the somewhat less pronounced results of the petrol E5 market. Estimation results for brand-specific effects are even larger when focusing on the market for diesel or petrol E10.

The results are robust to alternative model specifications. Excluding the two gasoline stations that ceased operations at the end of 2018 does not affect the results. The results are robust with respect to changes of the length of the day, e.g. dropping observations after 9 p.m. Requiring the latest price decrease to occur at least six minutes before the price increase also does not change the results. Excluding all price increases of 1 cpl affects only the strength of the estimates. Results change only if the data set is reduced to gasoline stations located inside the town of Lueneburg, and excluding the rural stations. This market definition is based on the historically evolved delineation of the town and neglects the topography of the area. Reducing the data set to stations inside the town limits the number of gasoline stations drastically to 15. Therefore, it is no surprise that estimation results are somewhat different. We present results of estimates that include distance weighted price differences to the three nearest competitors. Surprisingly, there are no significant effects.

The data set we used here is very similar to the one used by Wein (2021) and the results should be treated with the same caution. Further research should also look at what happens shortly after a price increase. Other gasoline stations possibly react to the market situation in a similar way as the gas station that we identified to be the price increaser. These reactions are likely to occur shortly afterwards.

There is a risk to being the first to increase gasoline prices. If a station increases its price and no one follows, it bears the cost of foregone sales until lowering the price again. There is a similar risk to being the first gasoline station to follow the increaser. How many false starts on new price cycles were there in the Lueneburg market from 2018 to 2019? If we define a false price increase as one in which none of the five subsequent price changes at any gasoline

station is positive, 644 of 11,586 (about 5.6 percent) price-increasing events are false price increases.

A brand with several gasoline stations in the market can coordinate its stations to jointly increase the prices, which reduces their risk of a false start because competitors face a lower risk of falling for a false start if half the market is already demanding higher prices. Thus, suppliers with market power should have a higher incentive to induce a new price cycle. Altogether, we believe that future empirical research should continue to focus on what drives cyclical pricing patterns in the retail gasoline market.

Appendix

Appendix Table 1: Descriptive Statistics

Variable	Obs	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min	Max
Price	267654	140.074	6.085	122.9	167.9
Increasing price observation	267654	.041	.197	0	1
Magnitude of the price increase	267654	.211	1.079	0	30
Price difference to price increaser	267654	286	2.255	-13	15
before jump					
Duration	267654	.163	6.434	-91.138	228.023
Opening time	267654	3.171	3.092	0	9
Closing time	267654	22.793	1.478	14	24
Aral	267654	.18	.384	0	1
Shell	267654	.282	.45	0	1
ESSO JET	267654	.08	.271	0	1
Oligopoly	267654	.543	.498	0	1
NO1	267654	.312	.463	0	1
NO2	267654	.145	.353	0	1
In sight?	267654	.081	.272	0	1
Located at Federal Road?	267654	.241	.428	0	1
Located near Motorway?	267654	.037	.189	0	1
Car repair?	267654	.317	.465	0	1
Self service station?	267654	.221	.415	0	1
Station with Shop?	267654	.764	.425	0	1
Station with Rewe-to-Go Shop?	267654	.081	.273	0	1
ATM?	267654	.162	.368	0	1
Restrooms available?	267654	.556	.497	Õ	1
Number of accepted credit cards?	252507	3.611	1.923	0	5
Car wash?	267654	.439	.496	0	1
Bistro?	267654	.419	.493	Ő	1
Vacuum cleaner?	267654	.322	.467	0	1
In-Store-Bakery?	267654	202	401	Ő	1
Kiosk?	267654	057	231	Ő	1
Stations in rural area?	267654	412	492	Ő	1
Consumer satisfaction	252507	4 074	346	34	48
Monday	267654	15	357	0	1.0
Tuesday	267654	143	35	0	1
Wednesday	267654	1/18	355	0	1
Thursday	267654	1/10	356	0	1
Friday	267654	.149	355	0	1
Saturday	267654	.140	.555	0	1
Sunday	267654	.133	.541	0	1
Lower Savony school holidays	207034	.127	.555	0	1
evtended	207034	.320	.409	0	1
Opening hours Monday to Friday	267651	20 608	4.067	12	24
Opening hours Notiday to Friday	20/034	20.098	4.007	12	24
Opening hours Surday	20/034	20.34	4.042	0	24
Dring nours Sunday	20/034	19.91	5.895	0	24
Price increase simultaneously?	20/034	.225	1.100	U	10
Frice increase simultaneously same	20/034	.13	.070	0	5
orana ?					

	Logit 1	Logit 1, SE	Logit 1, ME	Logit 2	Logit 2, SE	Logit 2, ME
Dependent variable: Increasi	ing price obs non-ii	ervation: Dum	emy = 1 for i e observation	ncreasing prid	ce observation	n and 0 for
Price difference to price	-0.0674	(0.0617)	-0.001	-0.0673	(0.0616)	-0.001
increaser, before jump			1		(1 1
Price difference * duration;	-0.0352***	(0.00977)	-0.000	-0.0352***	(0.00771)	-0.000
measured in machine hours						
In sight?	-0.852^{*}	(0.336)	-0.007	-3.052***	(0.479)	-0.023
Located near Motorway?	1.638	(5.382)	0.013	0.842	(.)	0.006
Located at Federal Road?	0.712^{*}	(0.298)	0.006	4.947***	(0.509)	0.037
Stations in rural area?	-0.318	(0.213)	-0.003	-3.796***	(0.281)	-0.029
Price observation occurred Tuesday?				-0.00302	(0.0320)	-0.000
Price observation occurred				-0.00876	(0.0241)	-0.000
Wednesday?						
Price observation occurred Thursday?				-0.00800	(0.0447)	-0.000
Price observation occurred				-0.00309	(0.0348)	-0.000
Price observation occurred	0.0153	(0.0363)	0.000	0.0108	(0.0390)	0.000
Price observation occurred	0.0424	(0.0454)	0.000	0.0379	(0.0593)	0.000
Sunday? Lower Saxony school holidays	0.0285	(0.0412)	0.000	0.0284	(0.0410)	0.000
extended	****			***		
Opening hours Saturday?	0.0974***	(0.0227)	0.001	0.583***	(0.00712)	0.004
Opening hours Sunday/Public	-0.0699	(.)	-0.001	-0.117	(.)	-0.001
Holiday?	7.041***	(2,0,11)	0.057	2 007***	(0.201)	10,0201
Aral	/.041	(2.041)	0.057	3.906	(0.201)	0.029
	4.700	(1.547)	0.039	9.104	(.)	0.009
ESSO Superrigional non-oligonoly	4.064	(.)	0.033	3 181***	(0.414)	0.040
nlaver?				5.101	(0.414)	0.024
LTG	0.316	(0.601)	0.003			
HEM	-1.373	(0.001)	-0.011			
STAR	4.996	(.)	0.041			
BFT	1.499	(.)	0.012			
BLG	-0.0716	(0.727)	-0.001			
Freie Tankstelle	1.517	(.)	0.012			
Consumer satisfaction?	-0.614^{*}	(0.307)	-0.005	-4.191***	(0.0364)	-0.032
Self service station?	0.874	(2.303)	0.007	-3.577***	(0.113)	-0.027
Station with Shop?	0.673	(1.586)	0.005	-1.331***	(0.150)	-0.010
Station with Rewe-to-Go-Shop?	-0.432*	(0.175)	-0.004	-3.290***	(0.0414)	-0.025
Bistro?	-0.153	(0.134)	-0.001	-0.910***	(0.260)	-0.007
In-Store-Backery?	-1.859	(2.420)	-0.015	-5.214***	(0.255)	-0.039
Number of accepted credit	-1.072**	(0.399)	-0.009	-0.362***	(0.0403)	-0.003
cards?						
ATM?	2.832***	(0.802)	0.023	-6.151	(.)	-0.046
Restrooms available?				0.0448	(0.262)	0.000
Car Wash?				-5.815***	(0.233)	-0.044
Car repair?				-4.182	(0.110)	-0.032
v acuum cleaner?	2 000			-5.383	(0.165)	-0.025
Observations	-2.888	(.)		9.733	(.)	
Observations	209328			209328		
	0908/.2 60896 9			60051.0		
DIC	07000.0			07731.7		

Appendix Table 2: Random Effects Logit Estimation

Standard errors in parentheses; * p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.001; marginal effects at means in ||; Logit 1: gasoline stations "Hoyer", "Raifeisen", and "Freie Tankstelle Salewski", as well as services restrooms, car wash, repair, and vacuum cleaner omitted because of collinearity and "JET" as baseline; Logit 2: gasoline stations "Hoyer", "Raifeisen", "Freie Tankstelle Salewski", and "JET" omitted because of collinearity and NO2 as baseline; Wald test reported; likelihood-ration test not combinable with robust se.

	V V			
	LPM		Time FE	
Dependent variable: Increasing price obser	vation: Dummy =	= 1 for increasi	ng price observa	tion and 0 for
non-incl	reasing price ob	servation.		
Price difference to price increaser, before	-0.0021***	(0.0001)	-0.0061***	(0.0004)
jump				
Price difference * duration; measured in	-0.0005***	(0.0000)	-0.0001**	(0.0000)
machine hours				
In sight?	-0.0599***	(0.0030)	-0.0594***	(0.0032)
Located near Motorway?	0.0115^{***}	(0.0020)	0.0090^{***}	(0.0021)
Located at Federal Road?	0.0913^{***}	(0.0022)	0.0925^{***}	(0.0022)
Stations in rural area?	-0.0684***	(0.0017)	-0.0687***	(0.0017)
Price observation occurred Tuesday?	-0.0001	(0.0013)		
Price observation occurred Wednesday?	-0.0002	(0.0013)		
Price observation occurred Thursday?	-0.0003	(0.0013)		
Price observation occurred Friday?	0.0000	(0.0013)		
Price observation occurred Saturday?	0.0008	(0.0014)		
Price observation occurred Sunday?	0.0015	(0.0014)		
Lower Saxony school holidays extended	0.0006	(0.0008)	0.0109	(0.0215)
Opening hours Saturday?	0.0179^{***}	(0.0003)	0.0178^{***}	(0.0003)
Opening hours Sunday/Public Holiday?	-0.0097***	(0.0003)	-0.0096***	(0.0003)
Aral	0.0565^{***}	(0.0029)	0.0617^{***}	(0.0030)
Shell	0.1305^{***}	(0.0035)	0.1314***	(0.0035)
ESSO	0.0466^{***}	(0.0026)	0.0479^{***}	(0.0028)
LTG	0.0947^{***}	(0.0023)	0.0925^{***}	(0.0023)
HEM	0.0096^{***}	(0.0019)	0.0066^{**}	(0.0020)
STAR	0.0380^{***}	(0.0018)	0.0357^{***}	(0.0019)
BFT	0.0819^{***}	(0.0035)	0.0793^{***}	(0.0036)
BLG	-0.0258***	(0.0032)	-0.0243***	(0.0034)
Freie Tankstelle	0.0116**	(0.0045)	0.0214^{***}	(0.0050)
Consumer satisfaction?	-0.0430***	(0.0018)	-0.0449***	(0.0019)
Number of services offered	-0.0191***	(0.0009)	-0.0189***	(0.0009)
Constant	0.0510***	(0.0089)	0.0534***	(0.0117)
Observations	269328		269328	
р	0.0000		0.0000	

Appendix Table 3: Linear Probability and Time Fixed Effects Estimation
--

Robust standard errors in parentheses; * p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.001; gasoline stations "Hoyer", "Raifeisen", and "Freie Tankstelle Salewski" omitted because of collinearity and "JET" as baseline; time fixed effects at the day-round level.

	FE Logit	ME	FE Logit	ME	FE Logit	ME
	E5	E5	E10	E10	Diesel	Diesel
Dependent variable: In	creasing price o	bservation	h: Dummy = 1 fc	or increasin	g price observa	tion and 0
	for not	1-increasin	ng price observa	tion.		
Price difference to	-0.122***		-0.113***		-0.140***	
price increaser, before						
jump						
	(0.0197)		(0.0165)		(0.0209)	
Price difference *	-0.0329***		-0.0101		-0.0249**	
duration; measured in						
machine hours						
	(0.00928)		(0.00707)		(0.00903)	
Price observation	-0.0336		0.000134		0.00335	
occurred Tuesday?						
-	(0.101)		(0.0996)		(0.102)	
Price observation	-0.0623		-0.0736		-0.00918	
occurred Wednesday?						
-	(0.108)		(0.107)		(0.109)	
Price observation	-0.0316		-0.0333		0.00867	
occurred Thursday?						
	(0.104)		(0.103)		(0.106)	
Price observation	-0.0310		-0.0163		-0.0448	
occurred Friday?						
·	(0.103)		(0.104)		(0.106)	
Price observation	-0.0713		-0.0173		0.0000549	
occurred Saturday?						
	(0.107)		(0.106)		(0.108)	
Price observation	0.00393		-0.0145		0.00940	
occurred Sunday?						
	(0.108)		(0.109)		(0.113)	
Lower Saxony school	0.0281		0.00939		0.0362	
holidays extended						
	(0.0633)		(0.0639)		(0.0643)	
Observations	32162		35099		33052	
AIC	9167.4		9312.7		9071.9	
BIC	9242.8		9388.9		9147.5	
chi2type	LR		LR		LR	
chi2	162.135		109.603		148.301	
р	0.000		0.000		0.000	
Standard errors in parent	theses; * $p < 0.05$	5, ** p < 0.0	01, *** p < 0.001	; marginal e	effects at means	(ME) in
column to the right of th	e coefficients re	spectively.	-	-		

Appendix Table 4: Different Fuel Types - Fixed Effects Logit Estimation (Jan-Apr, 2019)

	Reference	Without Aral-	Only	Only the first	Only town	Latest price	Distance	Price increases
	estimate from	Brietlingen,	observations	25 cycles	area of	decrease 6	weighted price	of at least 2
	Table 8 (Time	Raiffeisen-	before 9pm	•	Lueneburg	minutes before	differences	cpl
	FE Poisson)	Barendorf	1		U	price jump		
Dependent variable: Magni	tude of the price in	crease: Measures	by how much the	e increasing gasolir	ne station raises	its price, i.e. differ	ence between nev	v and old price.
1 0	v I		In euro cen	ts per liter (cpl).		1 00		1
Price increasing value								
Price difference to price	-0.191***	-0.191***	-0.193***	-0.191***	-0.191***	-0.191***	-0.393***	-0.168***
increaser, before jump								
	(0.013)	(0.013)	(0.013)	(0.013)	(0.016)	(0.013)	(0.011)	(0.014)
Price difference * duration;	-0.028***	-0.028***	-0.022***	-0.027***	-0.034***	-0.028***		-0.03***
measured in machine hours								
	(0.003)	(0.003)	(0.003)	(0.003)	(0.003)	(0.003)		(0.003)
In sight?	-1.654***	-1.654***	-1.605***	-1.620***	0.328^{***}	-1.654***	-1.624***	-1.550***
	(0.101)	(0.101)	(0.105)	(0.102)	(0.05)	(0.101)	(0.104)	(0.105)
Located near Motorway?	-0.624**	-0.624**	-0.578^{*}	-0.625**	-3.407***	-0.624**	-1.011***	-0.468^{*}
-	(0.226)	(0.226)	(0.226)	(0.226)	(0.249)	(0.226)	(0.228)	(0.224)
Located at Federal Road?	2.484^{***}	2.484^{***}	2.422^{***}	2.446^{***}		2.484^{***}	2.502^{***}	2.384^{***}
	(0.087)	(0.087)	(0.089)	(0.087)		(0.087)	(0.09)	(0.09)
Stations in rural area?	-1.862***	-1.862***	-1.739***	-1.824***		-1.862***	-1.911***	-1.777***
	(0.08)	(0.08)	(0.081)	(0.08)		(0.08)	(0.083)	(0.083)
Opening hours Saturday?	0.497^{***}	0.497^{***}	0.481^{***}	0.491***	-30.36***	0.497^{***}	0.527^{***}	0.480^{***}
	(0.027)	(0.027)	(0.028)	(0.028)	(1.760)	(0.027)	(0.028)	(0.026)
Opening hours	-0.249***	-0.249***	-0.247***	-0.246***	27.06^{***}	-0.249***	-0.267***	-0.227***
Sunday/Public Holiday?								
	(0.025)	(0.025)	(0.025)	(0.025)	(1.558)	(0.025)	(0.025)	(0.024)
Aral	3.616***	3.616***	3.562***	3.592***	1.328^{***}	3.616***	3.592***	3.628***
	(0.097)	(0.097)	(0.099)	(0.098)	(0.116)	(0.097)	(0.096)	(0.101)
Shell	3.997***	3.997***	3.774***	3.931***	1.816***	3.997***	3.932***	3.999***
	(0.104)	(0.104)	(0.107)	(0.105)	(0.116)	(0.104)	(0.103)	(0.108)
ESSO	1.591***	1.591***	1.249***	1.462***	-29.04***	1.591***	1.599***	1.177***
	(0.199)	(0.199)	(0.231)	(0.210)	(1.666)	(0.199)	(0.199)	(0.271)
LTG	-0.193	-0.193	-0.302	-0.234	-8.322***	-0.193	-0.192	-0.308
	(0.333)	(0.333)	(0.334)	(0.333)	(0.732)	(0.333)	(0.334)	(0.372)
HEM	-1.581***	-1.581***	-1.543***	-1.584***	-4.732***	-1.581***	-1.558***	-1.614***
	(0.343)	(0.343)	(0.343)	(0.343)	(0.430)	(0.343)	(0.343)	(0.362)

Appendix Table 5: Robustness Checks - Time Fixed Effects Poisson

TAR 3FT	estimate from Table 8 (Time <u>FE Poisson)</u> -0.284 (0.277)	Brietlingen, Raiffeisen- Barendorf	observations before 9pm	25 cycles	area of Lueneburg	decrease 6	weighted price	of at least 2
STAR 3FT	Table 8 (Time FE Poisson) -0.284 (0.277)	Raiffeisen- Barendorf	before 9pm		Lueneburg	minutes before	11.00	
STAR 3FT	FE Poisson) -0.284 (0.277)	Barendorf			U	minutes before	differences	cpl
STAR SFT	-0.284 (0.277)	-0.284				price jump		
3FT	(0.277)	-0.20+	-0.338	-0.303	23.55***	-0.284	-0.437	-0.580
3FT	(0.277)	(0.277)	(0.278)	(0.277)	(1.499)	(0.277)	(0.278)	(0.341)
	3.451***	3.451***	3.425***	3.435***	-3.115***	3.451***	3.350***	3.463***
	(0.103)	(0.103)	(0.104)	(0.103)	(0.297)	(0.103)	(0.104)	(0.107)
3LG	0.118	0.118	0.194	0.126	-1.652***	0.118	-0.095	-0.253
	(0.113)	(0.113)	(0.114)	(0.114)	(0.116)	(0.113)	(0.113)	(0.134)
Freie Tankstelle	1.330***	1.330***	1.376***	1.325***		1.330***	1.106***	1.081^{***}
	(0.108)	(0.108)	(0.111)	(0.109)		(0.108)	(0.109)	(0.118)
Consumer satisfaction?	0.025	0.0253	-0.005	0.017	-0.011	0.025	0.148^{*}	0.099
	(0.062)	(0.062)	(0.064)	(0.063)	(0.057)	(0.062)	(0.064)	(0.061)
Number of services offered	-0.359***	-0.359***	-0.337***	-0.354***	-0.026	-0.359***	-0.365***	-0.373***
	(0.016)	(0.016)	(0.017)	(0.017)	(0.023)	(0.016)	(0.017)	(0.017)
Distance weighted price	~ /	· · · ·	~ /	· · ·			0.058***	
lifferences to nearest								
tation, before jump								
/ J I							(0.004)	
Distance weighted price							0.132***	
lifferences to second nearest								
tation, before jump								
ution, before jump							(0.005)	
Distance weighted price							-0.078***	
lifferences to third nearest							0.070	
station before jump								
auon, berore jump							(0.004)	
Observations	247216	247204	223402	238380	142774	247216	247216	222769
AIC	245975.1	245974.2	223330.3	239474.9	194977.2	245975.1	243816.3	236997.3
BIC	246173.1	246172.1	223526.3	239672.1	195135.1	246173.1	244035.1	237193.3
hi2type	Wald	Wald	Wald	Wald	Wald	Wald	Wald	Wald
:hi2	10346.956	10345.193	8796.710	9850.051	8263.727	10346.956	10836.749	9166.166
)	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000

Appendix Table 5: Robustness Checks - Time Fixed Effects Poisson

1 0155011							
	Pooled	FE E10	Time FE	Pooled	FE	Time FE	
	E10		E10	Diesel	Diesel	Diesel	
Dependent variable: Magnitude of the price increase: Measures by how much the increasing gasoline station raises its price, i.e. difference between new and old price. In guese carts per liter (cpl)							
Drice increasing value	gerence bei	veen new ur	ia ola price.	in euro cen	is per iller (<i>cpi)</i> .	
Price difference to price increase	0.055***	0.050	0.146***	0 1***	0.000	0.264***	
Price difference to price increaser,	-0.055	-0.059	-0.140	-0.1	-0.099	-0.264	
before jump	(0.000)	(0.054)	(0.010)		(0.050)	(0.015)	
T	(0.003)	(0.054)	(0.012)	(0.003)	(0.056)	(0.015)	
Price difference * duration;	-0.01	-0.011	-0.008	-0.029	-0.029	-0.018	
measured in machine hours							
	(0.001)	(0.006)	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.004)	(0.003)	
In sight?	-1.528***		-1.509***	-1.551***		-1.572***	
	(0.095)		(0.096)	(0.097)		(0.1)	
Located near Motorway?	-0.648**		-0.638**	-0.930***		-0.852***	
	(0.211)		(0.212)	(0.239)		(0.239)	
Located at Federal Road?	2.300^{***}		2.361^{***}	2.268^{***}		2.299^{***}	
	(0.082)		(0.083)	(0.083)		(0.085)	
Stations in rural area?	-1.798***		-1.824***	-1.736***		-1.734***	
	(0.075)		(0.076)	(0.077)		(0.078)	
Price observation occurred	0.013	0.013	(01010)	0.003	0.003	(00000)	
Tuesday?	0.015	0.015		0.005	0.005		
Tuesday :	(0.036)	(0.026)		(0.036)	(0.023)		
Price observation occurred	(0.030)	(0.020)		(0.030)	(0.023)		
We dree deer?	0.003	0.005		0.018	0.018		
wednesday?	(0,02c)	(0,000)		(0,025)	(0.022)		
	(0.036)	(0.022)		(0.035)	(0.032)		
Price observation occurred	-0.012	-0.012		-0.000	-0.001		
Thursday?							
	(0.036)	(0.043)		(0.035)	(0.032)		
Price observation occurred	-0.005	-0.005		0.001	-0.000		
Friday?							
	(0.036)	(0.058)		(0.035)	(0.027)		
Price observation occurred	0.022	0.020		0.02	0.019		
Saturday?							
	(0.036)	(0.045)		(0.036)	(0.035)		
Price observation occurred	0.034	0.032		0.039	0.038		
Sunday?							
2 and a y i	(0.036)	(0.023)		(0.036)	(0.032)		
Lower Sayony school holidays	0.029	0.031		0.022	0.022		
extended	0.027	0.051		0.022	0.022		
extended	(0, 0, 20)	(0, 0.46)		(0, 0, 20)	(0.025)		
Opening hours Setundary?	(0.020)	(0.040)	0.402***	(0.020)	(0.033)	0.401***	
Opening nours Saturday?	0.489		0.492	0.489		0.491	
	(0.026)		(0.026)	(0.019)		(0.019)	
Opening hours Sunday/Public	-0.243		-0.237	-0.248		-0.245	
Holiday?							
	(0.023)		(0.024)	(0.018)		(0.018)	
Aral	3.061***		3.311***	3.202***		3.533***	
	(0.094)		(0.096)	(0.092)		(0.095)	
Shell	3.554^{***}		3.631***	3.702^{***}		3.925***	
	(0.1)		(0.102)	(0.099)		(0.101)	
ESSO	1.321***		1.535***	1.302***		1.503***	
	(0.192)		(0.194)	(0.203)		(0.204)	
LTG	-0.023		0.063	-0.268		-0.166	
-	(0.271)		(0.272)	(0.310)		(0.311)	
HFM	-1 833***		-1 828***	-1 729***		-1 670***	
111/1/1	(0 360)		(0.360)	(0.360)		(0.360)	
STAP	0.500)		0.500)	0.300)		0.500)	
STAK	(0.214)		(0.215)	-0.193 (0 797)		-0.090	
DET	(0.314)		(0.313)	(U.202) 2 202***		(U.202)	
бгі	3.295		3.345	5.295		5.541	

Appendix Table 6: Different Fuel Types - Pooled Poisson and Fixed Effects Poisson

1 0155011						
	Pooled	FE E10	Time FE	Pooled	FE	Time FE
	E10		E10	Diesel	Diesel	Diesel
	(0.099)		(0.101)	(0.098)		(0.101)
BLG	-0.179		-0.133	-0.088		0.022
	(0.110)		(0.111)	(0.106)		(0.107)
Freie Tankstelle	0.599^{***}		0.683^{***}	0.991***		1.228^{***}
	(0.103)		(0.104)	(0.102)		(0.104)
Consumer satisfaction?	0.092		0.093	-0.114		-0.314***
	(0.058)		(0.061)	(0.059)		(0.064)
Number of services offered	-0.341***		-0.343***	-0.376***		-0.402***
	(0.016)		(0.016)	(0.016)		(0.016)
Constant	-8.857***			-7.899***		
	(0.357)			(0.352)		
Observations	269878	269878	247054	270689	270689	249025
AIC	301265.	292493.	248105.	303101.	294332.	249496.
	9	5	6	1	8	4
BIC	301549.	292588.	248303.	303384.	294427.	249694.
	6	0	5	8	4	5
chi2type	Wald	Wald	Wald	Wald	Wald	Wald
chi2	9966.70	76.936	10410.0	10150.5	74.295	11048.8
	4		47	86		21
р	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Robust standard errors in parentheses; * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$; gasoline stations "Hoyer",						
"Raifeisen", and "Freie Tankstelle Salewski" omitted because of collinearity and "JET" as base.						
				-		

Appendix Table 6: Different Fuel Types - Pooled Poisson and Fixed Effects Poisson

Publication bibliography

- Atkinson, Benjamin (2009): Retail Gasoline Price Cycles: Evidence from Guelph, Ontario Using Bi-Hourly, Station-Specific Retail Price Data. In *The Energy Journal* 30 (1), pp. 86–109.
- Atkinson, Benjamin; Eckert, Andrew; West, Douglas S. (2014): Daily Price Cycles and Constant Margins: Recent Events in Canadian Gasoline Retailing. In *EJ* 35 (3). DOI: 10.5547/01956574.35.3.3.
- Bundeskartellamt (2021): Market Transparency Unit for Fuels. Edited by Bundeskartellamt. Bundeskartellamt. Available online at https://www.bundeskartellamt.de/EN/Economicsectors/MineralOil/MTU-Fuels/mtufuels_node.html, checked on September 2021.
- Castanias, Rick; Johnson, Herb (1993): Gas Wars: Retail Gasoline Price Fluctuations. In *The Review of Economics and Statistics* 75 (1), p. 171. DOI: 10.2307/2109643.
- Doyle, Joseph; Muehlegger, Erich; Samphantharak, Krislert (2010): Edgeworth Cycles
 Revisited. In *Energy Economics* 32 (3), pp. 651–660. DOI:
 10.1016/j.eneco.2009.09.001.
- Haas, Samuel de (2019): Do Pump Prices Really Follow Edgeworth Cycles? Evidence from the German Retail Fuel Market. Edited by Joint Discussion Paper Series in Economics by the Universities of Aachen, Gießen, Göttingen, Kassel, Marburg, Siegen.
- Kahl, Mats Petter (2020): Impact of Cross-Border Competition on the German Retail
 Gasoline Market German-Polish Border (University of Lüneburg Working Paper
 Series in Economics, 392). Available online at http://hdl.handle.net/10419/234581.
- Lewis, Matthew S. (2012): Price Leadership and Coordination in Retail Gasoline Markets with Price Cycles. In *International Journal of Industrial Organization* 30 (4), pp. 342– 351. DOI: 10.1016/j.ijindorg.2011.12.002.
- Lewis, Matthew S.; Noel, Michael D. (2011): The Speed of Gasoline Price Response in Markets With and Without Edgeworth Cycles. In *The Review of Economics and Statistics* (93), pp. 672–682. DOI: 10.2139/ssrn.2653067.
- Linder, M. (2018): Price Cycles in the German Retail Gasoline Market Competition or Collusion? In *Economics Bulletin* 38 (1), pp. 593–602.
- Maskin, Eric; Tirole, Jean (1988): A Theory of Dynamic Oligopoly, II: Price Competition,
 Kinked Demand Curves, and Edgeworth Cycles. Econometrica, 56(3), 571. In *Econometrica* 56 (3), p. 571. DOI: 10.2307/1911701.

- Neukirch, Arne; Wein, Thomas (2019): Price Gouging at the Pump? The Lerner Index and the German Fuel Market. In *Review of Economics* 70 (2), pp. 157–192. DOI: 10.1515/roe-2019-0016.
- Noel, Michael D. (2007): Edgeworth Price Cycles: Evidence from the Toronto Retail Gasoline Market. In *J Ind Econ* 55 (1), pp. 69–92. DOI: 10.1111/j.1467-6451.2007.00303.x.
- Noel, Michael D. (2015): Do Edgeworth Price Cycles Lead to Higher or Lower Prices? In International Journal of Industrial Organization 42, pp. 81–93. DOI: 10.1016/j.ijindorg.2015.07.003.
- Noel, Michael D. (2018): Edgeworth Price Cycles. In : The New Palgrave Dictionary of Economics. London: Palgrave Macmillan UK, pp. 3463–3468.
- Noel, Michael D. (2019): Calendar Synchronization of Gasoline Price Increases. In *J Econ Manage Strat* 28 (2), pp. 355–370. DOI: 10.1111/jems.12267.
- Roos, Nicolas de; Katayama, Hajime (2013): Gasoline Price Cycles Under Discrete Time Pricing. In *Econ Rec* 89 (285), pp. 175–193. DOI: 10.1111/1475-4932.12036.
- Siekmann, Manuel (2017): Characteristics, Causes, and Price Effects. Empirical Evidence of Intraday Edgeworth Cycles. Düsseldorf, Germany: düsseldorf university press (Discussion paper / Düsseldorf Institute for Competition Economics, no 252).
 Available online at http://hdl.handle.net/10419/161673.
- Wang, Zhongmin (2009): Station Level Gasoline Demand in an Australian Market with Regular Price Cycles. In Australian Journal of Agricultural and Resource Economics 53 (4), pp. 467–483. DOI: 10.1111/j.1467-8489.2009.00468.x.
- Wein, Thomas (2021): Why Abandon the Paradise? Stations' Incentives to Reduce Gasoline Prices at First. In *J Ind Compet Trade*. DOI: 10.1007/s10842-021-00362-8.
- Wooldridge, Jeffrey M. (1999): Distribution-free estimation of some nonlinear panel data models. In *Journal of Econometrics* 90 (1), pp. 77–97. DOI: 10.1016/S0304-4076(98)00033-5.
- Wooldridge, Jeffrey M. (2002): Econometric analysis of cross section and panel data. Cambridge, Mass: MIT Press.
- Zimmerman, Paul R.; Yun, John M.; Taylor, Christopher T. (2013): Edgeworth Price Cycles in Gasoline: Evidence from the United States. In *Rev Ind Organ* 42 (3), pp. 297–320. DOI: 10.1007/s11151-012-9372-6.

Working Paper Series in Economics

(recent issues)

No. 410	<i>Joachim Wagner:</i> Website premia for extensive margins of international firm activities Evidence for SMEs from 34 countries; April 2022
No. 409	<i>Joachim Wagner:</i> Firm survival and gender of firm owner in times of COVID-19 Evidence from 10 European countries, March 2022
No. 408	<i>Boris Hirsch, Philipp Lentge and Claus Schnabel</i> : Uncovered workers in plants covered by collective bargaining: Who are they and how do they fare?, February 2022
No. 407	<i>Lena Dräger, Michael J. Lamla and Damjan Pfajfar:</i> How to limit the Spillover from the 2021 Inflation Surge to Inflation Expectations?, February 2022
No. 406	Institut für Volkswirtschaftslehre: Forschungsbericht 2021, Januar 2022
No. 405	<i>Leif Jacobs, Lara Quack and Mario Mechtel:</i> Distributional Effects of Carbon Pricing by Transport Fuel Taxation, December 2021
No. 404	<i>Boris Hirsch and Philipp Lentge:</i> Non-Base Compensation and the Gender Pay Gap, July 2021
No. 403	<i>Michael J. Lamla and Dmitri V. Vinogradov:</i> Is the Word of a Gentleman as Good as His Tweet? Policy communications of the Bank of England, May 2021
No. 402	<i>Lena Dräger, Michael J. Lamla and Damjan Pfajfar:</i> The Hidden Heterogeneity of Inflation and Interest Rate Expectations: The Role of Preferences, May 2021
No. 401	<i>Joachim Wagner:</i> The Good have a Website Evidence on website premia for firms from 18 European countries, April 2021
No. 400	<i>Luise Görges:</i> Of housewives and feminists: Gender norms and intra-household division of labour, April 2021
No. 399	<i>Joachim Wagner:</i> With a little help from my website. Firm survival and web presence in times of COVID-19 – Evidence from 10 European countries, April 2021
No. 398	<i>Katja Seidel:</i> The transition from School to Post-Secondary Education – What factors affect educational decisions?, March 2021
No. 397	Institut für Volkswirtschaftslehre: Forschungsbericht 2020, Januar 2021
No. 396	Sabien Dobbelaere, Boris Hirsch, Steffen Mueller and Georg Neuschaeffer: Organised Labour, Labour Market Imperfections,and Employer Wage Premia, December 2020
No. 395	<i>Stjepan Srhoj, Vanja Vitezić and Joachim Wagner:</i> Export boosting policies and firm behaviour: Review of empirical evidence around the world, November 2020
No. 394	<i>Thomas Wein:</i> Why abandoning the paradise? Stations incentives to reduce gasoline prices at first, August 2020
No. 393	Sarah Geschonke and Thomas Wein: Privacy Paradox –Economic Uncertainty Theory and Legal Consequences, August 2020
No. 392	<i>Mats P. Kahl:</i> Impact of Cross-Border Competition on the German Retail Gasoline Market – German-Polish Border, July 2020

- No. 391 *John P. Weche and Joachim Wagner:* Markups and Concentration in the Context of Digitization: Evidence from German Manufacturing Industries, July 2020
- No. 390 Thomas Wein: Cartel behavior and efficient sanctioning by criminal sentences, July 2020
- No. 389 *Christoph Kleineber:*. Market definition of the German retail gasoline industry on highways and those in the immediate vicinity, July 2020
- No. 388 Institut für Volkswirtschaftslehre: Forschungsbericht 2019, Januar 2020
- No. 387 *Boris Hirsch, Elke J. Jahn, and Thomas Zwick:* Birds, Birds, Birds: Co-worker Similarity, Workplace Diversity, and Voluntary Turnover, May 2019
- No. 386 Joachim Wagner: Transaction data for Germany's exports and imports of goods, May 2019
- No. 385 Joachim Wagner: Export Scope and Characteristics of Destination Countries: Evidence from German Transaction Data, May 2019
- No. 384 *Antonia Arsova:* Exchange rate pass-through to import prices in Europe: A panel cointegration approach, February 2019
- No. 383 Institut für Volkswirtschaftslehre: Forschungsbericht 2018, Januar 2019
- No. 382 *Jörg Schwiebert*: A Sample Selection Model for Fractional Response Variables, April 2018
- No. 381 Jörg Schwiebert: A Bivarate Fractional Probit Model, April 2018
- No. 380 *Boris Hirsch and Steffen Mueller:* Firm wage premia, industrial relations, and rent sharing in Germany, February 2018
- No. 379 John P. Weche and Achim Wambach: The fall and rise of market power in Europe, January 2018
- No.378: Institut für Volkswirtschaftslehre: Forschungsbericht 2017, Januar 2018
- No.377: Inna Petrunyk and Christian Pfeifer: Shortening the potential duration of unemployment benefits and labor market outcomes: Evidence from a natural experiment in Germany, January 2018
- No.376: *Katharina Rogge, Markus Groth und Roland Schuhr:* Offenlegung von CO2-Emissionen und Klimastrategien der CDAX-Unternehmen eine statistische Analyse erklärender Faktoren am Beispiel der CDP-Klimaberichterstattung, Oktober 2017
- No.375: Christoph Kleineberg und Thomas Wein: Verdrängungspreise an Tankstellen?, September 2017
- No.374: *Markus Groth, Laura Schäfer und Pia Scholz*: 200 Jahre "On the Principles of Political Economy and Taxation" Eine historische Einordnung und Würdigung, März 2017
- No.373: Joachim Wagner: It pays to be active on many foreign markets Profitability in German multi-market exporters and importers from manufacturing industries, March 2017
- No.372: Joachim Wagner: Productivity premia for many modes of internationalization A replication study of Békes / Muraközy, Economics Letters (2016), March 2017 [published in: International Journal for Re-Views in Empirical Economics IREE, Vol. 1 (2017-4)]

- No.371: *Marius Stankoweit, Markus Groth and Daniela Jacob:* On the Heterogeneity of the Economic Value of Electricity Distribution Networks: an Application to Germany, March 2017
- No.370: *Joachim Wagner:* Firm size and the use of export intermediaries. A replication study of Abel-Koch, The World Economy (2013), January 2017 [published in: International Journal for Re-Views in Empirical Economics IREE, Vol. 1 (2017-1)]
- No.369: *Joachim Wagner:* Multiple import sourcing First evidence for German enterprises from manufacturing industries, January 2017 [published in : Open Economies Review 29 (2018), 1, 165-175]
- No.368: Joachim Wagner: Active on many foreign markets A portrait of German multi-market exporters and importers from manufacturing industries, January 2017 [published in: Jahrbücher für Nationalökonomie und Statistik 238 (2018), 2, 157-182]
- No.367: Institut für Volkswirtschaftslehre: Forschungsbericht 2016, Januar 2017
- No.366: *Tim W. Dornis and Thomas Wein:* Trademarks, Comparative Advertising, and Product Imitations: An Untold Story of Law and Economics, September 2016
- No.365: *Joachim Wagner:* Intra-good trade in Germany: A first look at the evidence, August 2016 [published in: Applied Economics 49 (2017), 57, 5753-5761]
- No.364: *Markus Groth and Annette Brunsmeier:* A cross-sectoral analysis of climate change risk drivers based on companies' responses to the CDP's climate change information request, June 2016
- No.363: Arne Neukirch and Thomas Wein: Collusive Upward Gasoline Price Movements in Medium-Sized German Cities, June 2016
- No.362: *Katja Seidel:* Job Characteristics and their Effect on the Intention to Quit Apprenticeship., May 2016
- No.361: *Katja Seidel:* Apprenticeship: The Intention to Quit and the Role of Secondary Jobs in It., May 2016
- No.360: *Joachim Wagner:* Trade costs shocks and lumpiness of imports: Evidence from the Fukushima disaster, May 2016 [published in: Economics Bulletin 37 (2017), 1, 149-155]
- No.359: Joachim Wagner: The Lumpiness of German Exports and Imports of Goods, April 2016 [published in: Economics - The Open-Access, Open-Assessment E-Journal 10, 2016-21]
- No.358: Ahmed Fayez Abdelgouad: Exporting and Workforce Skills-Intensity in the Egyptian Manufacturing Firms: Empirical Evidence Using World Bank Firm-Level Data for Egypt, April 2016
- No.357: Antonia Arsova and Deniz Dilan Karaman Örsal: An intersection test for the cointegrating rank in dependent panel data, March 2016
- No.356: Institut für Volkswirtschaftslehre: Forschungsbericht 2015, Januar 2016
- No.355: Christoph Kleineberg and Thomas Wein: Relevance and Detection Problems of Margin Squeeze The Case of German Gasoline Prices, December 2015
- No.354: *Karsten Mau:* US Policy Spillover(?) China's Accession to the WTO and Rising Exports to the EU, December 2015

(see www.leuphana.de/institute/ivwl/working-papers.html for a complete list)

Leuphana Universität Lüneburg Institut für Volkswirtschaftslehre Postfach 2440 D-21314 Lüneburg Tel.: ++49 4131 677 2321 email: korf@leuphana.de www.leuphana.de/institute/ivwl/working-papers.html