

### Developmental plasticity of Brachypodium distachyon in response to P deficiency

Baudson, Caroline; Delory, Benjamin M.; Spaepen, Stijn; du Jardin, Patrick; Delaplace, Pierre

Published in: Plant Direct

DOI: 10.1002/pld3.296

Publication date: 2021

Document Version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Link to publication

Citation for pulished version (APA):

Baudson, C., Delory, B. M., Spaepen, S., du Jardin, P., & Delaplace, P. (2021). Developmental plasticity of Brachypodium distachyon in response to P deficiency: Modulation by inoculation with phosphate-solubilizing bacteria. *Plant Direct, 5*(1), Article e00296. https://doi.org/10.1002/pld3.296

**General rights** 

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
  You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal ?

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

### DOI: 10.1002/pld3.296

### ORIGINAL RESEARCH

Revised: 17 June 2020



EB WILEY

# Developmental plasticity of *Brachypodium distachyon* in response to P deficiency: Modulation by inoculation with phosphate-solubilizing bacteria

Caroline Baudson<sup>1</sup> | Benjamin M. Delory<sup>2</sup> | Stijn Spaepen<sup>3</sup> | Patrick du Jardin<sup>1</sup> | Pierre Delaplace<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Plant Sciences, Gembloux Agro-Bio Tech, University of Liège, Liège, Belgium

<sup>2</sup>Institute of Ecology, Leuphana University, Lüneburg, Germany

<sup>3</sup>Leuven Institute for Beer Research, University of Leuven, Leuven, Belgium

### Correspondence

Caroline Baudson, Plant Sciences, Gembloux Agro-Bio Tech, University of Liège, Liège, Belgium. Email: c.baudson@gmail.com

#### **Funding information**

This work was funded in part by internal grants of Gembloux Agro-Bio Tech -University of Liège

### Abstract

**Background:** Mineral phosphorus (P) fertilizers must be used wisely in order to preserve rock phosphate, a limited and non-renewable resource. The use of bio-inoculants to improve soil nutrient availability and trigger an efficient plant response to nutrient deficiency is one potential strategy in the attempt to decrease P inputs in agriculture.

**Method:** An in vitro co-cultivation system was used to study the response of *Brachypodium distachyon* to contrasted P supplies (soluble and poorly soluble forms of P) and inoculation with P solubilizing bacteria. *Brachypodium*'s responses to P conditions and inoculation with bacteria were studied in terms of developmental plasticity and P use efficiency.

**Results:** *Brachypodium* showed plasticity in its biomass allocation pattern in response to variable P conditions, specifically by prioritizing root development over shoot productivity under poorly soluble P conditions. Despite the ability of the bacteria to solubilize P, shoot productivity was depressed in plants inoculated with bacteria, although the root system development was maintained. The negative impact of bacteria on biomass production in *Brachypodium* might be attributed to inadequate C supply to bacteria, an increased competition for P between both organisms under P-limiting conditions, or an accumulation of toxic bacterial metabolites in our cultivation system. Both P and inoculation treatments impacted root system morphology. The modulation of *Brachypodium*'s developmental response to P supplies by P solubilizing bacteria did not lead to improved P use efficiency.

**Conclusion:** Our results support the hypothesis that plastic responses of *Brachypodium* cultivated under P-limited conditions are modulated by P solubilizing bacteria. The considered experimental context impacts plant-bacteria interactions. Choosing experimental conditions as close as possible to real ones is important in the selection of

Abbreviations: HA, hydroxyapatite; NBRIP, National Botanical Research Institute's phosphate growth medium; P, phosphorus; PPUE, physiological P use efficiency; PSB, phosphatesolubilizing bacteria; PUE, P use efficiency; PUpE, P uptake efficiency; PUtE, P utilization efficiency; RMF, root mass fraction; SMA, standardized major axis; TCP, tricalcium phosphate; TRL, total root length.

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

© 2021 The Authors. Plant Direct published by American Society of Plant Biologists, Society for Experimental Biology and John Wiley & Sons Ltd.

SEB-WILEY-

P solubilizing bacteria. Both persistent homology and allometric analyses proved to be useful tools that should be considered when studying the impact of bio-inoculants on plant development in response to varying nutritional context.

#### KEYWORDS

bio-inoculants, biomass allocation, P solubilizing bacteria, P use efficiency, root system morphology

### 1 | INTRODUCTION

American Society of Plant Biologists

An important challenge for this century is to implement sustainable cropping systems that preserve the environment and non-renewable resources. This is particularly true concerning phosphate rock, a finite resource mined from only a few countries (Cooper et al., 2011). Phosphate rock constitutes the main source of phosphorus inorganic fertilizer and has been extensively used by farmers in industrialized countries during the past century. Given the growing demand for food, the increasing demand for P fertilizers is predicted to continue (mainly in the developing countries). Although this is a controversial issue, it is estimated that global commercial P reserves could be depleted within 50-100 years (Cordell et al., 2009). In the foreseeable future, a peak in P production is expected in 2033. This implies that the growing demand for P will overtake the economically available supply due to decreasing quality and accessibility of the remaining phosphate rock reserves (Cordell & White, 2011). As the European Union is strongly dependent on P imports (van Dijk et al., 2016), phosphate rock has been classified as a "critical raw material" by the European Commission since 2014 (European Commission, 2014). These considerations emphasize the necessity of adapting fertilization strategies.

In industrialized countries, the excessive use of P fertilizers has led to an accumulation of P in agricultural soils, constituting a new source of P reserves known as "legacy soil P" (van Dijk et al., 2016; Menezes-Blackburn et al., 2018; Rowe et al., 2016). In some regions, this accumulation has reached levels that generate an environmental risk of watercourse contamination and subsequent eutrophication (Haygarth et al., 2014). It has been estimated that the total soil P stock for arable and grassland soils represents  $352 \pm 26$  years of agronomic P use, with orthophosphate and monoester (organic) phosphate accounting for the greatest proportion (study based on 258 different soils collected in Europe, Oceania and North America; Menezes-Blackburn et al., 2018). However, this soil P reserve is unavailable to plants due to the capacity of many soils to fix P. In acidic soils, P fixation mainly occurs through adsorption on clays and on aluminum or iron oxides, whereas precipitation with calcium dominates in neutral to calcareous soils. P is also immobilized in organic forms following intake by plants and microorganisms (Shen et al., 2011). Soil P reserve mobilizing technologies must be developed in order to reduce the use of inorganic P fertilizers. The requirement for inorganic P fertilizers could be reduced by 50% if legacy soil P was included in nutrient management practices (Sattari et al., 2012).

Plants have developed strategies to cope with P deficiency and enhance their P use efficiency (PUE), including alteration of the root morphology and architecture, as well as exudation of carboxylates and hydrolytic enzymes for P solubilization. Microorganisms can also be useful in mobilizing soil P reserves, by directly increasing the P availability in soils through solubilization (by releasing protons. organic anions, and chelating compounds) and mineralization (by releasing hydrolytic enzymes), or enhancing plant P nutrition processes through hormonal stimulation of root growth, for example (Richardson et al., 2011). The use of microorganisms, broadly called biological inoculants ("bio-inoculants"), is considered a technology to improve soil P use by crops and pastures (Owen et al., 2015). Those products belong to the biostimulants category as defined by du Jardin (2015). Biostimulants including substances and/or microorganisms act in addition to fertilizers, with the aim of optimizing the efficiency of those fertilizers and reducing nutrient application rates (European Parliament and Council of the EU 2019).

Plants exhibit modular growth, potentially allowing them to add new branches to their body. New meristems are exposed to the direct influence of the environment, making plant growth a flexible process (Schmid, 1992). Phenotypic plasticity, i.e. the environment-driven alteration of a phenotype, gives the plant a great potential to respond to fluctuating environments (Nicotra et al., 2010; Schmid, 1992). It is, at least in part, genetically controlled and heritable (Nicotra et al., 2010). Breeding programs have traditionally opted for stable high yielding phenotypes in constant agricultural systems with high inputs. However, the uncertainty of the future environment and climate requires us to reconsider the place of phenotypic plasticity in breeding strategies. Indeed, phenotypic plasticity can be an advantage to plants living in changing or heterogeneous environments by increasing plant fitness (Lobet et al., 2019; Nicotra et al., 2010). Plasticity in root traits among contrasting environments was associated with stable yield in rice genotypes (Sandhu et al., 2016; Topp, 2016). The plastic response of plants to abiotic factors and to the presence of microorganisms still needs clarification. Additionally, the microbial-triggered change in plants' plastic response to P deficiency deserves greater attention in order to optimize plant P nutrition and reduce the use of fertilizers (Goh et al., 2013).

This study aimed to characterize *Brachypodium distachyon's* response to contrasted P supplies (soluble and poorly soluble forms of P), as well as the impact of plant inoculation with single strains of phosphate-solubilizing bacteria (PSB) on this response in terms of developmental plasticity. The following hypotheses were tested: (i) biomass allocation and root system development in *Brachypodium* show plasticity in response to contrasted P conditions; (ii) inoculation with PSB modulates the plant's plastic response to contrasted P supplies; and (iii) this modulation induces changes in plant PUE. Biomass accumulation and allocation, shoot P concentration and PUE, as well as root architectural traits, were considered. *Brachypodium's* developmental plasticity was assessed using tools including allometry analysis for the biomass allocation and persistent homology analysis for the root system architecture (RSA). It is the first time, to our knowledge, that these tools have been used to precisely evaluate the impact of biostimulants on a plant's response to nutrient limitation.

### 2 | MATERIAL AND METHODS

### 2.1 | Objectives and methodology

First, the ability of selected bacterial strains to solubilize poorly available P sources was characterized by performing in vitro P solubilization assays. Calcium phosphates are stable P forms found in alkaline soils. Tricalcium phosphate (TCP) is the most used P form in studies aiming at demonstrating P-solubilizing ability. However, TCP is easily solubilized by numerous bacteria through release of protons and organic acids. TCP could therefore be not selective enough and the use of less soluble forms is recommended. Chelating or complexing compounds are involved in the solubilization of more stable P forms (Bashan et al., 2013). We selected hydroxyapatite (HA), a less soluble calcium phosphate form than TCP (Bashan et al., 2013), in addition to TCP to quantify the ability of bacterial strains to solubilize P.

In order to evaluate the impact of single bacterial strains on Brachypodium development, a closed system allowing gnotobiotic conditions (i.e. including the selected plant and bacterial organisms only, avoiding contaminations) was used for co-cultivation experiments. Plants inoculated with single strains were individually cultivated for 4 weeks under contrasted P conditions (low level of soluble P, low level of soluble P supplemented with a poorly soluble P form TCP or HA and high level of soluble P). The way in which the plants allocated their biomass between shoot and roots was unravelled using allometric analyses, making it possible to detect plasticity in biomass allocation. In complement, the root mass fraction (an indicator of the fraction of the total biomass allocated to the roots) was also analysed. Biomass allocation in plants is an allometric process that varies with plant size. Allometry analyses, performed by plotting the size of two organs against one another, are a good way to visualize and test how the growth of a given organ changes relative to the others (Poorter & Sack, 2012). A major advantage of allometry analyses is that it highlights the adaptability of the biomass allocation pattern in response to the environment (true plasticity). By comparison, the analysis of biomass ratios and fractions (e.g., root: shoot ratio and root mass fraction) independently from plant size does not only reflect the adaptability of a plant to environmental conditions, but also integrates the global productivity (dependent on growth rate) of the plant in those conditions (apparent plasticity) (Weiner, 2004). The effect of our experimental



treatments on the morphology of plant root systems was investigated using two complementary approaches. First, root systems were compared based on their total root length (geometric descriptor). Second, we applied persistent homology, a mathematical framework developed for the quantification of plant morphologies at different scales (Li, Duncan, et al., 2017; Li et al., 2018). The main goal of this method is to produce a persistence barcode encapsulating geometric (e.g., total root length and number of root tips), but also topological (i.e., how individual roots are connected to each other through branching) information for each root system (see figures 1 and 3 in Delory et al., 2018). The degree of dissimilarity between root systems can then be assessed by comparing persistence barcodes against each other (Li, Duncan, et al., 2017; Li et al., 2018). Finally, we measured the shoot P content and were interested in Brachypodium's PUE (considering different components of it) as it can be potentially impacted by any alteration of the plant morphology.

### 2.2 | Plant and bacterial material

Brachypodium distachyon (L.) P. Beauv. (Bd21 line) caryopses were kindly provided by Dr Philippe Vain from the John Innes Centre (Norwich, UK) and propagated under greenhouse conditions.

Four bacterial strains were selected for their potential plant growth promotion and phosphorus solubilization capacities: Bacillus velezensis GB03 (BveGB03), B. velezensis FZB42 (BveFZB42), Pseudomonas fluorescens 29ARP (Pfl29ARP), and Azotobacter vinelandii F0819 (AviF0819). The Bacillus strains were selected for their plant growth promotion activities on Poaceae (Delaplace et al., 2015; Myresiotis et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2014), as well as their ability to solubilize different forms of P (Giles et al., 2014; Idris et al., 2007; Idriss et al., 2002; Liu et al., 2015). Pseudomonas fluorescens also exhibited P solubilizing activities and promoted wheat (Shaharoona et al., 2008) and maize growth (Li, Liu, et al., 2017). Azotobacter vinelandii, a free diazotrophic bacteria, exhibited P solubilization activity (Nosrati et al., 2014) and plant growth-promoting traits (Taller & Wong, 1989). Escherichia coli DH5a 99B829 (Eco99B829), was selected as a negative control for plant growth promotion (Delaplace et al., 2015; Wu et al., 2016; Zhou et al., 2016). The strains BveGB03 and Eco99B829 were kindly provided by Dr Paul W. Paré and Dr John McInroy (Texas Tech University, Lubbock, TX, USA), Pfl29ARP by Dr Alain Sarniguet (Institut National de la Recherche Agronomique, Rennes, France), AviF0819 by the Katholieke Universiteit Leuven (Leuven, Belgium), and BveFZB42 by Pr Rainer Borriss (Nord Reet UG, Greifswald, Germany). The bacterial strains were stored at 80°C in LB medium containing 20% v/v glycerol before plating.

### 2.3 | In vitro P solubilization assay

One week before the experiment, the bacteria were plated on LB agar plates (2.5% w/v LB broth, Prod. No. L3152; 1.5% w/v agar, Prod. No. 05039, Sigma-Aldrich Co., St. Louis, USA) and incubated

at 28°C. The day before the experiment, the bacteria were suspended in 40 ml of LB (2.5% w/v LB broth) and incubated overnight at 150 rpm and 30°C (Innova 4340; New Brunswick Scientific Co. Inc., Edison, USA). The concentration of the bacterial suspensions was derived from the optical density, measured at 540 nm. The tubes were centrifuged (20 min at 1735 g) and the LB medium was removed. The bacterial pellets were rinsed with 25 ml of 10 mM MgSO<sub>4</sub> in order to avoid P contaminations. The tubes were centrifuged again (20 min at 1735 g) and the MgSO<sub>4</sub> solution was removed. The bacteria were suspended in an adequate volume of NBRIP medium (National Botanical Research Institute's phosphate growth medium, Nautiyal, 1999) containing tricalcium phosphate ( $Ca_3(PO_4)_2$ , hereafter named "TCP", Prod. No. C0506.1000, Duchefa Biochemie, Haarlem, The Netherlands) or hydroxyapatite (Ca<sub>c</sub>(PO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>OH, hereafter named "HA", Prod. No. 8450.1, Carl Roth GmbH+Co. KG, Karlsruhe, Germany) at a concentration of 5 g/L (pH 7) in order to obtain a bacterial concentration of 10<sup>7</sup> CFU/ml. Bottles containing 90 ml of NBRIP medium were successively inoculated with 10 ml of the prepared suspensions to obtain a final concentration of 10<sup>6</sup> CFU/ ml, and incubated for 3 days at 30°C and 150 rpm.

10 ml were sampled daily for subsequent analysis. 1 ml was subsampled for serial dilution and plating on LB agar plates in order to monitor bacterial growth. The remaining samples were centrifuged and the supernatant was filter-sterilized (pore size 0.2  $\mu$ m) for pH and soluble P content measurements. The P content in the solution (as soluble phosphate) was measured according to the phosphomolybdate blue colorimetric method (Murphy & Riley, 1962) (Prod. No. 69888, molybdate reagent solution, Fluka Sigma-Aldrich Co., St. Louis, USA).

### 2.4 | In vitro Brachypodium-bacteria co-cultivation

One week before the experiment, the bacteria were plated on LB agar plates and incubated at 28°C. The day before the experiment, the bacteria were suspended in 40 ml of LB and incubated overnight at 150 rpm and 30°C. The tubes were centrifuged (20 min at 1735 g) and the LB medium was removed. Inoculums at  $10^8$  CFU/ml were finally prepared in 10 mM MgSO<sub>4</sub> for subsequent inoculation of the plantlets.

Brachypodium distachyon Bd21 seeds were surface sterilized (30 s in 70% v/v ethanol, rinsed once with sterile water, 10 min in sodium hypochlorite 5% v/v, rinsed three times with sterile water) and stratified for 2 days at 4°C on Hoagland agar plates (0.125% w/v Hoagland, Prod. No. DU1201, Duchefa Biochemie, Haarlem, The Netherlands; 0.094% w/v Ca(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>.4H<sub>2</sub>O; 0.8% w/v Plant agar, Prod. No. P1001, Duchefa Biochemie, Haarlem, The Netherlands). The seeds were then incubated for 24 hr in a growth chamber (23°C, 16h/8h day light, PPFD 140  $\mu$ mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) for germination.

Homogeneous 24 hr-old plantlets were selected and inoculated with bacteria by dipping them into 10 mM  $MgSO_4$  containing a bacterial strain at  $10^8$  CFU/ml for 10 min (control plantlets were dipped into 10 mM  $MgSO_4$ ). The plantlets were then transferred into



**FIGURE 1** Description of the experimental design used for the in vitro co-cultivation experiments exposing PSB-inoculated Bd21 plantlets to contrasted P supplies

Magenta® boxes (GA-7 Magenta vessel, Magenta LLC, Lockport, USA) filled with 180 g of sterilized black gravel (rinsed three times with tap water and autoclaved; 1-3 mm quartz gravel, prod. no. 400723, Flamingo, Geel, Belgium) and 50 ml of sterile nutrient solution. One plantlet was placed into each Magenta® box. Three modified Hoagland nutrient solutions and a reference solution, corresponding to the contrasting P treatments, were used: a P-limiting supply containing  $25 \mu$ M of KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> (soluble P form, "P-"), a P-limiting supply supplemented with 1 g/L TCP (poorly soluble P form, "P-/ TCP") or 1 g/L HA (poorly soluble P form, "P-/HA"), and a P-sufficient supply containing 1 mM KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> (soluble P form, "P+"). The quantity of TCP and HA (1 g/L) allows to potentially raise non-limiting P concentration in case they are completely solubilized (the maximum soluble P concentration is 6.4 mM in P-/TCP treatment and 6.0 mM in P-/HA treatment). The boxes were sealed with Leukopor® tape (prod.no. 02454-00, BSN medical GmbH, Hamburg, Germany) and incubated in the growth chamber for 4 weeks (23°C, 16h/8h day light, PPFD 140  $\mu$ mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>). Six independent time-spaced experiments were carried out (three with P-, P-/TCP, and P+ treatments; three with P-, P-/HA, and P+ treatments) and five plants were cultivated as replicates for each treatment combination (factorial design, 6 different strains\*3 P treatments\*5 replicates = 90 plants per experiment) (Figure 1).

Four week-old plants were harvested and cut to measure fresh biomass accumulation in shoot and roots. Sticking gravel was removed by gently shaking the plants in water and removing it by hand. The presence of bacteria was assessed by scratching agar plates with the root system. The root system was scanned for three plants per treatment. The roots were spread in a transparent tray containing a thin layer of water and scanned using a flatbed scanner equipped with a transparency unit (backlight) (8-bit grayscale images saved as jpg files, 1,200 dpi, Epson Perfection V800 Photo, Epson America Inc., Long Beach, USA) in order to perform RSA analyses. Shoots were stored at -80°C before P content measurements. Total biomass and root mass fraction (hereafter named "RMF", mg root biomass/mg total biomass) were computed from the measured biomasses. RMF was recorded in order to analyse biomass allocation in Brachypodium, considering allocation as a partitioning process. According to this perspective, plants divide a given amount of resources among structures according to their developmental priorities (Weiner, 2004). A description of the experimental design used for the co-cultivation experiments is provided in Figure 1.

#### 2.5 P concentration in plant tissues

P content in Brachypodium shoots was measured by ICP-OES on frozen samples (C.A.R.A.H. ASBL, Ath, Belgium). The samples were calcinated overnight at 450°C. The ashes were then suspended in nitric acid for digestion. The P concentration was measured by ICP-OES (Thermo Fisher iCAP 7600, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, USA). The five replicates of each treatment in each independent experiment were pooled. Three pooled samples were analysed for the P-/TCP and P-/HA treatments. Six pooled samples were analysed for the P- and P+ treatments. The results were expressed as total shoot P concentration (µg P/ mg fresh weight).

#### 2.6 Root system architecture measurement

An automated evaluation of the total root length ("TRL") was performed for all scanned root systems using the ImageJ macro IJ\_Rhizo (Pierret et al., 2013; Schneider et al., 2012). For each image, the TRL was estimated using the Kimura method as it provides more accurate length estimates than the other methods available in IJ\_Rhizo (Delory et al., 2017).

In addition, more detailed root system architecture analyses were performed using SmartRoot (Lobet et al., 2011). Only the 1st and 2nd order roots were analysed because the thinner, higher order, roots easily break at harvest. These manual analyses were performed for the control treatment and for the two strains mostly impacting plant development. We used persistent homology, a mathematical framework for quantifying plant morphology (Li et al., 2018), to analyse the topology of plant root systems. Persistent homology-based methods are complementary to multivariate approaches relying on the quantification of a number of geometric traits (e.g., total root length) (Delory et al., 2018; Li et al., 2018) and are therefore well-suited to accurately characterize and compare root systems treated with different bacterial strains or biostimulants. All RSML (Root System Markup Language) files exported by SmartRoot were processed



with persistent homology-related functions of the R package archi-DART (R 3.5.2, R core Team 2018; archiDART package version 3.3, Delory et al., 2016, 2018). A geodesic distance function was used to compute a persistence barcode for each root system. The geodesic distance measures the distance along the roots between the root system base and any point on the root system. This method is not sensitive to transformation and deformation. This means that the computed persistence barcode is not affected by root washing and 2D scanning (Delory et al., 2018). The degree of dissimilarity between barcodes (i.e. root systems) was assessed by computing a pairwise distance matrix containing dissimilarities calculated using a bottleneck distance method. Morphological differences between root systems were then visualized using multidimensional scaling (R 3.5.2, R Core Team 2018).

#### P use efficiency 2.7

The P use efficiency analysis was performed by considering three different parameters: (i) the P uptake efficiency (PUpE, µg P/mg P applied), corresponding to the shoot P content per unit of soluble P applied; (ii) the P utilization efficiency (PUtE, mg FW/µg P), corresponding to the biomass produced by unit of shoot P; and (iii) the physiological P use efficiency (PPUE, mg<sup>2</sup> FW/µg P), corresponding to the produced biomass divided by the tissue P concentration (Neto et al., 2016).

$$PUpE = \frac{[P] \times FW}{P_{applied}}$$
$$PUtE = \frac{FW}{[P] \times FW}$$
$$PPUE = \frac{FW}{[P]}$$

### 2.8 | Statistical analyses

The relationship between P solubilization and pH variation in the NBRIP medium was studied by performing regression analyses (Im function, R 3.5.2, R Core Team 2018). The model order was increased until there was no significant difference with the higher order model (ANOVA function, R 3.5.2, R Core Team 2018).

Three-way ANOVAs were performed to study the impact of P supply, bacteria inoculation, independent temporal repetitions, and the interaction between P supply and bacteria inoculation on the following response variables: shoot, root, and total biomass parameters; RMF; TRL; shoot P concentration; and the three components of PUE. A model with crossed fixed factors was applied (Im, glm, and ANOVA functions, R 3.5.2, R Core Team 2018; Gamma family distribution with a log-link function was used for GLM models). Dunnett's post-hoc tests were performed to compare the treatments to the control situation (non-inoculated plants for inoculation treatment, P+ for P treatment) (R 3.5.2, R Core Team 2018; multcomp package version 1.4-8, Hothorn, Bretz, & Westfall, 2008).

Allometry analyses were performed on shoot and root biomass in order to study the biomass allocation pattern. The "smatr" package (R 3.5.2, "smatr" version 3.4-8) was used for estimation, inference, and plotting of allometric lines as well as for checking assumptions (Warton et al., 2012). The standardized major axis ("SMA") analysis was used and all variables were log-transformed. In brief, this analysis consists of a model II regression, estimating how one variable scales against another. The obtained allometric trajectories depict the relative development of the shoot and root compartments, i.e. how the root system growth impacts the shoot development. Inference statistics compare coefficients of the regression lines (slope and elevation) between the populations (Warton et al., 2006). Firstly, differences in slope between groups were tested. If there was no difference in slope between groups, differences in elevation were tested using a common slope for all groups. When significant differences between groups were highlighted, pairwise multiple comparisons were performed in order to identify which populations differed from each other. Differences in slope (i.e. investment in shoot biomass per additional unit of root biomass) or elevation (i.e. shoot productivity for similar root biomass) among treatments led to different allometric trajectories. Change in allometric trajectory due to different treatments revealed plasticity in the biomass allocation process (Weiner, 2004; Xie et al., 2015). The analysis of allometric trajectories is complementary to the analysis of RMF for the study of biomass allocation plasticity.

Differences in root system architecture were investigated using permutational multivariate analysis of variance (PERMANOVA) (R 3.5.2, R Core Team 2018; vegan package version 2.5-4, Oksanen et al. 2019). The dissimilarity matrix used in the model formula was the pairwise distance matrix returned by the persistent homology analysis of plant root systems. Bacterial strain, P treatment and their interaction were used as independent variables in the model. For each fixed factor, a post-hoc test was performed by running a separate PERMANOVA for each pairwise comparison. *P* values were adjusted for multiple comparisons using the Bonferroni method.

All figures shown in this study were generated using the "ggplot2" package (R 3.5.2, "ggplot2" version 3.1.0, Wickam 2016).

Data and R scripts are accessible on Zenodo repository at https:// doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3555566

### 3 | RESULTS

### 3.1 | The selected bacterial strains solubilized tricalcium phosphate and hydroxyapatite, while acidifying their growth medium

The bacteria's ability to solubilize poorly available forms of P was assessed using TCP and HA in a modified NBRIP medium. After three days, all the selected strains were able to solubilize both forms of P to some extent (Figure 2a) compared to the non-inoculated control treatment. For both forms of P, the bacterial strains showing the highest solubilization ability were Eco99B829 and Pfl29ARP. The solubilization of TCP and HA were similar for all bacterial strains with the exception of Eco99B829, which exhibited a stronger solubilization ability for HA despite a greater variability between independent replicates. All the strains were able to maintain stable population size during the duration of the experiment (data not shown). BveGB03, AviF0819, Pfl29ARP, and Eco99B829 generated a pH drop during the experiment for both forms of P (Figure 2a). As for the P concentration, Eco99B829 and Pfl29ARP induced the strongest acidification.

Regarding HA solubilization, the relationship between the soluble P concentration and  $\Delta pH$  in the growing medium was best fitted by a 4th order polynomial model (Figure 2b). The HA solubilization activity clearly intensified as the acidification became stronger. The regression between soluble P concentration and  $\Delta pH$  for TCP solubilization was best fitted by a 2nd order polynomial model (Figure 2b). As for HA, the TCP solubilization activity intensified with increasing pH variation, but to a lesser extent.

# 3.2 | Biomass accumulation in *Brachypodium* was altered by soluble P deficiency and inoculation with P solubilizing bacteria

Shoot biomass production was lower in plants grown under P-, P-/ TCP, and P-/HA conditions, with a diminution of 43.1%, 35.2%, and 33.4% compared to the P+ treatment respectively (p < .001, Figure 3a-d). Plant inoculation with PSB strains had either no impact or induced a lower shoot biomass accumulation (Figure 3e). Inoculation with BveFZB42 and Pfl29ARP led to a lower shoot biomass, with up to 13.2% reduction in plants inoculated with BveFZB42 under the P+ treatment and 30.3% reduction in plants inoculated with Pfl29ARP under the P-/TCP treatment (p < .001). The impact of P conditions on the accumulation of biomass in roots was more limited, with only plants grown under the P- treatment having a greater root biomass (+13.3%) compared to the plants exposed to P+ conditions (p < .001, Figure 3f-i). Inoculation had either no impact or a negative impact on the accumulation of biomass in roots (p = .003, Figure 3j). Indeed, plants inoculated with BveFZB42 exhibited a significant reduction in the root biomass of up to 14.5% under the P- treatment. The total biomass decreased by 27.8%, 25.2%, and 24.1% under the P-, P-/TCP and P-/HA treatments respectively (p < .001, Figure 3k-n). Plant inoculation with PSB strains led to a repression of the biomass accumulation at the whole plant level (p < .001, Figure 3o). Inoculation with BveFZB42 and Pfl29ARP induced a significantly lower total biomass in comparison with the non-inoculated control. The growth reduction reached 12.2% with BveFZB42 under P-/HA conditions and 21.1% with PfI29ARP under P- conditions. A table comprising mean values per treatment, standard deviation and coefficients of ANOVAs is available in Supplemental Resource 1.



**FIGURE 2** (a) Soluble P concentration and pH variation in NBRIP medium after three days of bacteria cultivation in the presence of either TCP or HA as poorly soluble forms of P (n = 4, mean  $\pm$  SD). (b) Regression curves linking the observed P concentration and the  $\Delta$ pH in the growing medium after three days of incubation. For each regression model, adjusted  $R^2$  values are displayed on the graphs. Regression coefficients for HA solubilization:  $y = 0.571-0.814x + 2.7675x^2 + 4.219x^3 + 2.039x^4$ ; regression coefficients for TCP solubilization:  $y = 0.513-1.727x + 0.530x^2$ 

# 3.3 | Shifts in biomass partitioning and allometric trajectories of *Brachypodium* were observed when exposed to contrasted P supplies and inoculated with P solubilizing bacteria

Exposure of *Brachypodium* to soluble P limitation (P-, P-/HA, and P-/TCP) increased RMF by 55.8%, 34.9%, and 35.7% compared to the P+ treatment respectively (p < .001, Figure 3p-s). The impact of *Brachypodium* inoculation with PSB was dependent on the P environment, as there was an interaction between these two variables (p < .001). Plants inoculated with Pfl29ARP exhibited the greatest RMF under all treatments (Figure 3t) and this effect was significant for the P-, P-/TCP, and P + treatments (12.1%, 22.7%, and 23.4% increase respectively). Under P- conditions, plants inoculated with BveGB03 and Eco99B829 also had a significantly greater RMF compared to non-inoculated plants (Figure 3p-t). Mean values per treatment, standard deviation, and coefficients of ANOVAs are available in Supplemental Resource 1.

The allocation pattern between shoots and roots was further analysed using SMA regression models (Figure 4). In non-inoculated plants grown under P-/TCP and P-/HA conditions, the shoot biomass increase per unit of root biomass was greater than that of non-inoculated plants grown under P- and P+ conditions (slopes: 1.15, 1.19, 0.81, and 0.60 respectively; *p* = .021; Figure 4a). Noninoculated plants grown under P+ conditions exhibited the greatest shoot productivity, but invested the lowest amount of biomass into the shoot per unit of root production. Inoculation of plants grown under P- conditions did not induce a significant difference in slope (p = .757, Figure 4b). Differences in elevation were observed (p < .001), with non-inoculated plants and plants inoculated with BveFZB42 showing the greatest shoot productivity and plants inoculated with Pfl29ARP the lowest, for similar root biomass. Under the P-/HA treatment, slope and elevation did not vary among groups (p = .174 and 0.433, respectively; Figure 4c), even if plants inoculated with BveGB03 and Eco99B829 showed greater shoot biomass increase per unit of root biomass, when considering the graphical trends. When plants were grown in the presence of TCP, the inoculation with PSB did not affect the slope (p = .835, Figure 4d). Elevation was altered when plants were inoculated with Pfl29ARP, leading to the lowest shoot productivity for similar root biomass (lowest elevation, p < .001). Differences in slope were observed under the P+ treatment (p = .008), with the greatest production of shoot biomass per unit of root production in plants inoculated with Pfl29ARP, Eco99B829, and BveFZB42 (Figure 4e). SMA coefficients and results of covariance analysis are available in Supplemental Resource 2.



**FIGURE 3** Average shoot biomass (a–e), root biomass (f–j), total biomass (k–o), and root mass fraction (p–t) of 4-week-old *Brachypodium* plantlets exposed to contrasted P supplies and either inoculated or not inoculated with bacteria. n = 30 for the P- and P+ treatments, n = 15 for the P-/HA and P-/TCP treatments. For each P treatment, the grand mean is shown by a dashed horizontal line. For each inoculation treatment, large black-circled dots represent mean values, and shaded areas show the density distribution of each population. Individuals are displayed as small grey-circled dots in the graphs. In panels e, j, o, and t, values are means  $\pm$  95% confidence intervals calculated across P treatments

# 3.4 | *Brachypodium*'s root system morphology was impacted by P supply and inoculation with P solubilizing bacteria

Brachypodium TRL increased by 8.97% when plants were exposed to the P-/HA treatment compared to the P+ treatment (p = .023, Figure 5a-d). In comparison with the TRL measured in non-inoculated plants, the TRL of plants inoculated with BveFZB42, Eco99B829, or Pfl29ARP decreased by 9.64%, 11.61%, and 16.67% respectively, whatever the nutritional context (p < .001, Figure 5e). Mean values per treatment, standard deviation, and coefficients of ANOVAs are available in Supplemental Resource 3.

The persistent homology analysis of the root systems was performed on 1st and 2nd order roots of non-inoculated plants and plants inoculated with PfI29ARP or BveFZB42, as those strains showed a strong impact on root biomass accumulation (Figure 6). Both PSB inoculation and P treatment had an impact on root system morphology (p < .001 and =0.006 respectively). Pairwise comparisons revealed that, on average, the morphology of plant root systems inoculated with PfI29ARP was different from those of non-inoculated plants and plants



**FIGURE 4** Allometric relationship between shoot biomass and root biomass of 4-week-old *Brachypodium* plantlets exposed to contrasted P supplies and grown with or without bacterial inoculation. X and Y axes are log-scaled. Symbols represent individuals. Lines represent SMA regression lines. (a) Non-inoculated plants exposed to contrasted P supplies. Inoculated and non-inoculated plants grown under (b) P-, (c) P-/ HA, (d) P-/TCP, and (e) P+ conditions

inoculated with BveFZB42. Despite a significant impact of P treatment on root system morphology, pairwise comparisons did not highlight the P treatments that differed from one another. The coefficients of the statistical analysis are available in Supplemental Resource 4. potentially mobilizable P sources TCP or HA (Figure 7e). Mean values per treatment, standard deviation and coefficients of ANOVAs are available in Supplemental Resource 5.

### 3.5 | Low P availability induced lower shoot P concentration, even in the presence of P solubilizing bacteria

P concentration in the shoot of plants exposed to the P-, P-/HA, and P-/TCP treatments was lower than in plants exposed to P+ (-68.9%, -56.2% and -63.2% respectively; p < .001; Figure 7a-d). Plants grown under these three treatments showed P deficiency symptoms, such as necrosis starting from the apex of mature leaves (Arvalis, Institut du Végétal). Inoculation with bacteria did not help the plants to increase the shoot P concentration, even in the presence of the

# 3.6 | P supply and inoculation with Pfl29ARP impacted P use efficiency components in *Brachypodium*

Regarding the PUpE (i.e. the ratio between shoot P content and applied soluble P), plants exposed to soluble P deficiency had a greater uptake efficiency (p < .001), with the greatest values measured on plants grown in the presence of TCP and HA (883.5% and 1,128.8% increase, respectively, compared to plants exposed to P+; Figure 7f-i). *Brachypodium* acquired and accumulated a greater amount of P in shoots when TCP or HA were added to the nutrient solution, in comparison with the P- treatment and regardless of



FIGURE 5 Average total root length of 4-week-old Brachypodium plantlets exposed to contrasted P supplies and either inoculated or not inoculated with bacteria. n = 18 for the P- and P+ treatments, n = 9 for the P-/HA and P-/TCP treatments. For each P treatment, the grand mean is shown by a dashed horizontal line. For each inoculation treatment, large black-circled dots represent mean values, and shaded areas show the density distribution of each population. Individuals are displayed as small grey-circled dots in the graphs. In panel e, values are means ± 95% confidence intervals calculated across P treatments



FIGURE 6 Multidimensional scaling plots displaying morphological differences between root systems, induced by P (a) and inoculation (b) treatments. The Euclidean distance separating two branching structures (dots) on the plot is a close representation of the true dissimilarity between these structures. 95% confidence ellipses for the centroids are plotted for each treatment

the bacterial treatment. Plants inoculation with Pfl29ARP led to lower PUpE values under all P treatments compared to non-inoculated plants (average decrease of 35.8% across all P treatments, p = .011, Figure 7j).

Plants grown under soluble P deficiency were more efficient at utilizing P for biomass accumulation (PUtE, biomass produced by unit of plant P content; p < .001; Figure 7k-n). These plants accumulated more shoot biomass per unit of shoot P content compared to plants exposed to P + condition. Plants grown under the P- treatment were globally the most efficient. Inoculation of Brachypodium by any of the bacterial strains had no impact on PUtE (p = .436, Figure 7o).

The PPUE (i.e. shoot biomass divided by shoot P concentration), was higher in plants grown under P-, P-/HA and P-/TCP conditions compared to plants exposed to sufficient P supply (81.8%, 49.1%, and 80.1% increase respectively compared to P + condition, p < .001, Figure 7p-s). Plants exposed to a deficiency in soluble P produced shoot biomass more efficiently at lower shoot P concentration. The

inoculation with Pfl29ARP induced a 19.9% reduction in PPUE compared to non-inoculated plants (p = .008, Figure 7t). Mean values per treatment, standard deviation, and coefficients of ANOVAs are available in Supplemental Resource 5.

#### DISCUSSION 4 |

This study aimed to explore the impact of PSB inoculation on the response of Brachypodium distachyon Bd21 to contrasted P conditions. Brachypodium and the PSB were co-cultivated over four weeks in an in vitro gnotobiotic system and exposed to four different nutritional conditions: a low level of soluble P (P-); a low level of soluble P supplemented with poorly soluble forms of P (P-/TCP, and P-/HA); and a high level of soluble P (P+). The plant biomass production and allocation, the root system architecture and the P use efficiency were studied.



FIGURE 7 Average shoot P concentration (a-e), P uptake efficiency "PUpE" (f-j), P utilization efficiency "PUtE" (k-o), and physiological P use efficiency "PPUE" (p-t), of 4-week-old Brachypodium plants grown under contrasted P supplies and either inoculated or not inoculated with bacterial strains. n = 6 for the P- and P+ treatments, n = 3 for the P-/HA and P-/TCP treatments. For each P treatment, the grand mean is shown by a dashed horizontal line. For each inoculation treatment, large black-circled dots represent mean values, and shaded areas show the density distribution of each population. Individual data points (pool of five plantlets) are displayed as small grey-circled dots in the graphs. In panels e, j, o, and t, values are means  $\pm$  95% confidence intervals calculated across P treatments

### 4.1 | Brachypodium shows developmental plasticity in response to contrasted P conditions

Our study demonstrated that Brachypodium biomass accumulation is highly responsive to P supply, with lower shoot biomass but stable or greater root biomass accumulation under soluble P deficiency compared to high soluble P levels. The reduction in shoot biomass under soluble P deficiency was also reported in Brachypodium (Bd21-3) by Poiré et al. (2014) and Dactylis glomerata by Haling et al. (2016). Interestingly, a reduction in root biomass was observed in their studies, while our results showed no impact or even an

increase in root biomass accumulation when plants were exposed to soluble P deficiency. Brachypodium plants (Bd21-3) in the study of Poiré et al. (2014) reached higher total biomass than Brachypodium Bd21 plants in our study. The younger growth stage obtained in our confined experimental system could explain these results, as plants allocate more biomass to the roots when they are small and develop more the aboveground system as they become larger (Weiner, 2004). Similarly to our results, Giles et al. (2017) showed a decrease in shoot dry weight and an increase in root dry weight in hydroponically grown barley under P deficiency. The stimulation of root development under low P conditions is a common reported

11

response, facilitating the plant to explore the substrate and take up P (Lynch et al., 2012). Nonetheless, it seems that both the growing conditions and the plant growth stage are important factors affecting biomass accumulation in response to P deficiency.

WILEY

American Society of Plant Biologists

Brachypodium displayed different allometric trajectories under contrasted P conditions, showing responsiveness of the allocation pattern to the P supply. Plants grown in the presence of TCP or HA exhibited a higher shoot development per unit of root biomass than plants grown under the P- and P + treatments. From this we can infer that the presence of unavailable but potentially mobilizable P sources induced a reduction of investment into the development of the root system, in comparison with plants grown under P- conditions. Nevertheless, for similar root biomass, the shoot biomass was the highest in plants supplied with the P+ treatment compared to the three other treatments. We can hypothesize that stressed plants (P- conditions) maintained root development at the expense of the shoot. This is confirmed by the greater RMF observed under soluble P limitation. On the contrary, when there was no nutrient limitation, there was no need for the plants to prioritize extension of their root systems and the plants maintained the biomass accumulation into the above-ground compartment.

Regarding the root system, plants exposed to the P-/HA treatment exhibited a greater TRL. The observed root system lengthening was associated with greater root biomass and RMF for plants grown under P-conditions. These results are consistent with those of a hydroponics experiment on several barley varieties, which revealed a general trend toward root lengthening in response to P deficiency (Giles et al., 2017).

### 4.2 | Despite their ability to solubilize tricalcium phosphate and hydroxyapatite, the bacterial inoculants did not alleviate P deficiency stress in *Brachypodium* under the experimental growing conditions

All the selected bacteria were able to solubilize the poorly available forms of P (TCP and HA) in NBRIP medium (Nautiyal, 1999). HA, despite being reported as less soluble than TCP (Bashan et al., 2013; Havlin et al., 2014), was as easily solubilized as TCP. Some acidification of the medium was observed, with the best solubilizer strains acidifying the most. Medium acidification by proton release is the most straightforward P solubilization process (Bashan et al., 2013) and numerous studies have reported an acidification-associated P solubilization (Collavino et al., 2010; Fernández et al., 2012; Pereira & Castro, 2014; Yu et al., 2011). The relationship between soluble P concentration and pH variation tended toward an intensification of P solubilization activity as the pH variation became stronger. This was more pronounced for HA than for TCP solubilization. This raises the hypothesis that HA solubilization mechanisms other than acidification are involved, such as complexing or chelating reactions (Bashan et al., 2013).

The use of PSB as bio-inoculants is increasingly reported in the literature, with interesting effects of microbial P mobilization on plant development and yield (Bakhshandeh et al., 2015; Li, Liu, et al., 2017; Oteino et al., 2015; Pereira & Castro, 2014), but few results have reported the inefficiency of in vitro-selected PSB to promote plant growth in the presence of poorly soluble forms of P (Collavino et al., 2010; Yu et al., 2011). In our study, the biomass accumulated in shoots and roots was reduced when plants were grown in the presence of bacteria. The strains Pfl29ARP and BveFZB42 had the strongest impact on plant development. Despite their ability to solubilize TCP and HA in NBRIP medium, the selected strains were not able to mobilize these poorly soluble forms of P under co-cultivation conditions and by this way alleviate P-starvation stress in Brachypodium. The soluble P concentration in the Hoagland solution at the end of the cultivation was below the detection limit of our analytical method for the P-, P-/TCP, and P-/HA treatments (data not shown). A slight acidification of the nutrient solution was observed at the end of the co-cultivation in the presence of bacteria, but the pH remained within an acceptable range for plant development (data not shown). The absence of P-solubilization by bacteria during the co-cultivation experiments can be explained by a too low C supply through root exudates to sustain bacterial activity, as observed by Nico et al. (2012) in in vitro gnotobiotic conditions and Bradácová et al. (2019) in soils with low organic matter content. On the other hand, some studies have revealed a deleterious impact of inoculation with bacterial strains on plant growth under gnotobiotic conditions due to the accumulation of bacterial toxic metabolites (Rybakova et al., 2016; Timmusk et al., 2015). The efficacy of a system to test for PSB activity in the presence of a host plant appears to be highly dependent on the considered organisms, but also on the co-cultivation conditions.

# 4.3 | The plastic response of *Brachypodium* to P deficiency was modulated by inoculation with P solubilizing bacteria

Regarding the biomass allocation pattern, inoculation with PSB revealed an alteration of the plant's response to P conditions, except in the presence of HA. Under P- conditions, inoculation with PSB (except with BveFZB42) led to a reduced shoot productivity for similar root biomass. The same observation was made under the P-/TCP treatment, mainly with Pfl29ARP. The depletion in shoot growth benefited the root system, the development of which was either unaffected or less impacted than the shoot. This resulted in an increase in RMF. Under the P+ treatment, investment into the root system was reduced in inoculated plants, except with AviF0819 and BveGB03. The RMF was still increased for the same reason as before: a repression of shoot biomass but a steady root biomass accumulation. As the root system is the place where the interaction with the bacteria occurs, it appears that the plant modulated the development of this interface of interaction depending on the nutritional

context. These contrasted behaviors in *Brachypodium* should be explored more deeply.

The total root length of Brachypodium was significantly impacted by the P supply and inoculation with PSB. Regardless the P treatment, inoculation with BveFZB42, Eco99B829, and Pfl29ARP led to a reduction in TRL. These results contrast with others reported in the literature. Indeed, Talboys et al. (2014) demonstrated a root elongation promotion effect of BveFZB42 inoculation on wheat (through auxin production), in both low and high P-level soils. In a soil experiment, Pseudomonas fluorescens strains also exhibited a positive impact on wheat root elongation under contrasted P fertilization (Zabihi et al., 2011). The persistent homology analysis performed in our study revealed that inoculation with Pfl29ARP impacted the morphology of the plant root system (considering 1st and 2nd order roots) in comparison with non-inoculated plants and plants inoculated with BveFZB42. The P conditions also induced changes in root system morphology, but these were less easily characterized. According to our results, Brachypodium showed a modification of root development, triggered by contrasted P supply and inoculation with bacteria. This study did not consider root hairs, yet they constitute an important strategy for P nutrition (Lynch, 2011) and should be further investigated.

### 4.4 | Inoculation with P solubilizing bacteria did not improve *Brachypodium* P use efficiency under the experimental growth conditions

The shoot P concentration and PUE in Brachypodium were mainly affected by the P supply, but also by PSB inoculation to some extent. The shoot P concentration was the lowest in plants grown under P- conditions, confirming the P-deficient status of those plants. Despite the demonstrated ability of the bacterial strains to solubilize TCP and HA, they did not alleviate P deficiency in the plants. The soluble P concentration in the Hoagland solution at the end of the cultivation was null for the P-, P-/TCP, and P-/HA treatments (data not shown). This result reinforces the above-mentioned hypothesis that the PSB did not extensively solubilize TCP and HA in our gnotobiotic conditions. On the other hand, the P+ solution contained enough soluble P after 4 weeks for avoiding nutritional stress in the plants (data not shown). Considering the slightly higher shoot P concentration in the presence of TCP and HA regardless the inoculation treatment, we assume that Brachypodium was able to partly solubilize those poorly soluble forms of P. Indeed, plants are able to acidify the rhizosphere and release organic anions, mobilizing poorly available P sources (Hinsinger et al., 2003; Wang & Lambers, 2020). The PUpE was significantly higher in plants exposed to soluble P deficiency compared to plants grown under the P+ treatment, as the stressed plants took up all the available soluble P and partly used it to build their shoots. The highest PUpE values were obtained in the presence of TCP and HA. This observation is consistent with the higher shoot P concentration observed under these treatments and



reinforces the above-mentioned hypothesis of partial P solubilization by Brachypodium. The PUpE reduction in plants inoculated with Pfl29ARP is consistent with the observed decrease in shoot biomass accumulation, which impairs their P accumulation ability. The PUtE was significantly higher under soluble P deficiency than under the P+ treatment, with the highest efficiency under the P- treatment. Therefore, stressed plants produced the largest biomass per unit of accumulated P. The inoculation of Brachypodium with bacteria did not impact the PUtE, as expected from their poor P solubilization activity during the co-cultivation experiment. As observed for PUpE and PUtE, the PPUE values were higher under soluble P deficiency, meaning that for similar shoot P concentration the stressed plants produced more shoot biomass. The inoculation with Pfl29ARP induced a reduction in PPUE. Indeed, shoot P concentration was similar in non-inoculated plants and in plants inoculated with Pfl29ARP, but shoot biomass accumulation was reduced in inoculated plants.

### 4.5 | Allometry and persistent homology analyses are convenient tools for unravelling the impact of bioinoculants on plant plasticity in response to a variable environment

In this study, biomass allocation was explored considering RMF and allometry analyses. Our results are in accordance with the "functional equilibrium model", which states that a plant shifts allocation toward the organ involved in the acquisition of the most limiting resources (Brouwer, 1963), and reveal a true plasticity in response to P supply as well as a real modulation of this plastic response when plants are inoculated with bacteria. Contrasted results were found in previous studies about the allocation pattern in response to P nutrition. Some of these conclude in a "conservative response" of the plants adjusting their size rather than their allocation pattern (apparent plasticity; Müller et al., 2000). Others described an impact on the allocation pattern, but only under severe P stress (Rubio et al., 2013) or in interaction with nitrogen fertilization (Sims et al., 2012). Plasticity of biomass allocation was also demonstrated, with a strong impact from the nutritional context (Poorter & Nagel, 2000; Poorter et al., 2012; Shipley & Meziane, 2002). The complementarity between biomass partitioning (RMF) and allometric trajectories appears clearly here for the analysis of biomass allocation patterns under environmental variation. Both approaches should be considered when studying the impact of biostimulants on plant biomass allocation in response to environmental constraints.

Morphological plasticity and local adaptation are important processes allowing plant roots to take up soil resources that are heterogeneously distributed in space and time (Koevoets et al., 2016). The use of persistent homology to quantify differences in root system morphology (based on 1st and 2nd order roots) showed that the strain PfI29Arp had a strong impact on *Brachypodium's* root system development. As this analysis gathers geometrical and topological information, we can infer that the root system morphology is modulated in at least one of these components. The reduction in total American Society Society of Plant Biologists

14

root length (1st and 2nd order roots) observed on plants inoculated with Pfl29Arp is partly responsible for this modulation of root system morphology.

VILEY

All the methodological approaches used in this study appear to be suitable tools for the accurate characterization of above- and belowground plant responses to various P sources and inoculation with PSB. As plant plasticity is getting more and more attention in breeding programs and agricultural systems, we believe that the complementary methodological approaches used in this paper will be useful in helping us unravel the mechanisms by which bioinoculants modulate plant plasticity.

### 5 | CONCLUSION

The selected PSB efficiently solubilized TCP and HA in an in vitro liquid cultivation system. However, they did not alleviate P deficiency in Brachypodium under gnotobiotic co-cultivation conditions. Brachypodium showed developmental plasticity in response to contrasted P conditions, prioritizing the development of the root system upon P starvation. Despite their inability to alleviate P deficiency, the selected PSB modulated Brachypodium's response to P conditions by altering the plant allocation pattern (reduced shoot productivity but unaffected or less impacted root system under P-limiting conditions) and root system development (modulation of the root system morphology, partly due to a reduction in total root length). Nevertheless, this modulation did not improve PUE in Brachypodium under our experimental conditions. This study highlights the necessity to select experimental conditions as close as possible to realistic conditions in the perspective of screening PSB for the purpose of using them as plant inoculants. Co-cultivation experiments are mandatory in order to confirm a beneficial interaction and test the related hypothesis. To our knowledge, this study represents the first time that allometry and persistent homology analyses were used to assess the impact of biostimulants on plant development under nutritional deficiency. They revealed to be convenient tools to study potential plasticity in biomass allocation or change in root system morphology. The plasticity in biomass allocation could be explored more deeply by considering a temporal perspective of the biomass allocation patterns; this would allow the experiment to cover a broader range of plant sizes and clearly assess the interaction between the use of biostimulants and varying nutrient supply. As root hairs are an important trait in nutrient acquisition, they deserve consideration in addition to root system architecture parameters, providing a more precise insight into root system plasticity in response to P supply and PSB inoculation. Integrating the proposed analyses and tools in future research would provide a better understanding of the impact of biostimulants on plant plasticity in a changing environment.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was supported by internal research funds of the University of Liège (Belgium). The authors are thankful to Florence Paquet for her technical support, Dr Yves Brostaux (Gembloux Agro-Bio Tech) for his constructive advice on statistical analyses and Guillaume Lobet (Forschungszentrum Juelich, Germany) for reviewing the manuscript.

### CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare that no conflict of interest is associated with the work described in this article.

### AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Caroline Baudson, Stijn Spaepen, Patrick du Jardin, and Pierre Delaplace designed the research. Caroline Baudson performed the research, analysed the data and led the writing of the manuscript. Benjamin M. Delory contributed with analysing tools and data analysis.

### REFERENCES

- Arvalis, Institut du Végétal. Carence en phosphore (P). Retrieved from http://www.fiches.arvalis-infos.fr/fiche\_accident/fiches\_accidents. php?type\_cul=3&id\_acc=98
- Bakhshandeh, E., Rahimian, H., Pirdashti, H., & Nematzadeh, G.A. (2015). Evaluation of phosphate-solubilizing bacteria on the growth and grain yield of rice (Oryza sativa L.) cropped in northern Iran. Journal of Applied Microbiology, 119, (5), 1371–1382. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/ jam.12938
- Bashan, Y., Kamnev, A. A., & de-Bashan, L. E. (2013). Tricalcium phosphate is inappropriate as a universal selection factor for isolating and testing phosphate-solubilizing bacteria that enhance plant growth: A proposal for an alternative procedure. *Biology and Fertility of Soils*, 49(4), 465–479. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00374-012-0737-7
- Bradáčová, K., Sittinger, M., Tietz, K., Neuhäuser, B., Kandeler, E., Berger, N., Ludewig, U., & Neumann, G. (2019). Maize inoculation with microbial consortia: Contrasting effects on rhizosphere activities, nutrient acquisition and early growth in different soils. *Microorganisms*, 7(9), 329. https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms7090329
- Brouwer, R. (1963). Some aspects of the equilibrium between overground and underground plant parts. Jaarb. Van Het Inst. Voor Biol. En Scheikd. Onderz. Van Landbouwgewassen, 1963, 31–39.
- Collavino, M. M., Sansberro, P. A., Mroginski, L. A., & Aguilar, O. M. (2010). Comparison of in vitro solubilization activity of diverse phosphate-solubilizing bacteria native to acid soil and their ability to promote *Phaseolus vulgaris* growth. *Biology and Fertility of Soils*, 46(7), 727-738. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00374-010-0480-x
- Cooper, J., Lombardi, R., Boardman, D., & Carliell-Marquet, C. (2011). The future distribution and production of global phosphate rock reserves. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, *57*, 78–86. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2011.09.009
- Cordell, D., Drangert, J.-O., & White, S. (2009). The story of phosphorus: Global food security and food for thought. *Global Environmental Change*, 19(2), 292–305. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloen vcha.2008.10.009
- Cordell, D., & White, S. (2011). Peak phosphorus: Clarifying the key issues of a vigorous debate about long-term phosphorus security. *Sustainability*, 3(10), 2027–2049. https://doi.org/10.3390/su310 2027
- Delaplace, P., Delory, B. M., Baudson, C., Mendaluk-Saunier de Cazenave, M., Spaepen, S., Varin, S., Brostaux, Y., & du Jardin, P. (2015). Influence of rhizobacterial volatiles on the root system architecture and the production and allocation of biomass in the model grass *Brachypodium distachyon* (L.) P. Beauv. *BMC Plant Biology*, 15(195). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12870-015-0585-3
- Delory, B. M., Baudson, C., Brostaux, Y., Lobet, G., du Jardin, P., Pagès, L., & Delaplace, P. (2016). archiDART: An R package for the automated

computation of plant root architectural traits. *Plant and Soil*, *398*, 351–365. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-015-2673-4

- Delory B. M., Li M., Topp C. N., & Lobet, G. (2018). archiDART v3.0: A new data analysis pipeline allowing the topological analysis of plant root systems. *F1000Research*, *7*, 22. http://dx.doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.13541.1
- Delory B. M., Weidlich E. W. A., Meder L., Lütje A., van Duijnen R., Weidlich R., & Temperton V. M. (2017). Accuracy and bias of methods used for root length measurements in functional root research. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, 8, (11), 1594–1606. http://dx.doi. org/10.1111/2041-210x.12771
- du Jardin P. (2015). Plant biostimulants: Definition, concept, main categories and regulation. *Scientia Horticulturae*, *196*, 3–14. http://dx.doi. org/10.1016/j.scienta.2015.09.021
- European Commission. (2014). Communication from the Commission: On the review of the list of critical raw materials for the EU and the implementation of the Raw Materials Initiative COM(2014) 297 final. European Commission.
- European Parliament and Council of the EU, (2019). Regulation (EU) 2019/1009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 5 June 2019 laying down rules on the making available on the market of EU fertilising products and amending Regulations (EC) No 1069/2009 and (EC) No 1107/2009 and repealing Regulation (EC) No 2003/2003. Official Journal of the European Union 25.06.2019 L170/1-114.
- Fernández L., Agaras B., Zalba P., Wall L. G., & Valverde C. (2012). Pseudomonas spp. isolates with high phosphate-mobilizing potential and root colonization properties from agricultural bulk soils under no-till management. *Biology and Fertility of Soils*, 48, (7), 763–773. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00374-012-0665-6
- Giles, C. D., Brown, L. K., Adu, M. O., Mezeli, M. M., Sandral, G. A., Simpson, R. J., Wendler, R., Shand, C. A., Menezes-Blackburn, D., Darch, T., Stutter, M. I., Lumsdon, D. G., Zhang, H., Blackwell, M. S. A., Wearing, C., Cooper, P., Haygarth, P. M., & George, T. S. (2017). Response-based selection of barley cultivars and legume species for complementarity: Root morphology and exudation in relation to nutrient source. *Plant Science*, 255, 12–28. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. plantsci.2016.11.002
- Giles, C. D., Hsu, P.-C., Richardson, A. E., Hurst, M. R. H., & Hill, J. E. (2014). Plant assimilation of phosphorus from an insoluble organic form is improved by addition of an organic anion producing *Pseudomonas* sp. Soil Biology & Biochemistry, 68, 263–269. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2013.09.026
- Goh, C.-H., Veliz Vallejos, D. F., Nicotra, A. B., & Mathesius, U. (2013). The impact of beneficial plant-associated microbes on plant phenotypic plasticity. *Journal of Chemical Ecology*, *39*(7), 826–839. https:// doi.org/10.1007/s10886-013-0326-8
- Haling, R. E., Yang, Z., Shadwell, N., Culvenor, R. A., Stefanski, A., Ryan, M. H., Sandral, G. A., Kidd, D. R., Lambers, H., & Simpson, R. J. (2016). Growth and root dry matter allocation by pasture legumes and a grass with contrasting external critical phosphorus requirements. *Plant and Soil*, 407, 67–79. https://doi.org/10.1007/s1110 4-016-2808-2
- Haygarth, P. M., Jarvie, H. P., Powers, S. M., Sharpley, A. N., Elser, J. J., Shen, J., Peterson, H. M., Chan, N.-I., Howden, N. J. K., Burt, T., Worrall, F., Zhang, F., & Liu, X. (2014). Sustainable phosphorus management and the need for a long-term perspective: The legacy hypothesis. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 48, 8417–8419. https://doi.org/10.1021/es502852s
- Hinsinger, P., Plassard, C., Tang, C., & Jaillard, B. (2003). Origins of root-mediated pH changes in the rhizosphere and their responses to environmental constraints: A review. *Plant and Soil*, 248, 43–59. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1022371130939
- Hothorn T., Bretz F., & Westfall P. (2008). Simultaneous Inference in General Parametric Models. *Biometrical Journal*, 50, (3), 346–363. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/bimj.200810425

Idris E. E., Iglesias D. J., Talon M., & Borriss R. (2007). Tryptophan-Dependent Production of Indole-3-Acetic Acid (IAA) Affects Level of Plant Growth Promotion by Bacillus amyloliquefaciens FZB42. *Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions*, 20, (6), 619–626. http://dx.doi. org/10.1094/mpmi-20-6-0619

American Society of Plant Biologists

- Idriss E. E., Makarewicz O., Farouk A., Rosner K., Greiner R., Bochow H., Richter T., & Borriss R. (2002). Extracellular phytase activity of Bacillus amyloliquefaciens FZB45 contributes to its plant-growth-promoting effect. *Microbiology*, 148, (7), 2097–2109. http://dx.doi.org/10.1099/00221287-148-7-2097.
- Koevoets, I. T., Venema, J. H., Elzenga, J. T. M., & Testerink, C. (2016). Roots withstanding their environment: Exploiting root system architecture responses to abiotic stress to improve crop tolerance. Frontiers in Plant Science, 07. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2016.01335
- Li, M., Duncan, K., Topp, C. N., & Chitwood, D. H. (2017). Persistent homology and the branching topologies of plants. *American Journal of Botany*, 104(3), 349–353. https://doi.org/10.3732/ajb.1700046
- Li, M., Frank, M. H., Coneva, V., Mio, W., Chitwood, D. H., & Topp, C. N. (2018). The persistent homology mathematical framework provides enhanced genotype-to-phenotype associations for plant morphology. *Plant Physiology*, 177, 1382–1395. https://doi.org/10.1104/ pp.18.00104
- Li, Y., Liu, X., Hao, T., & Chen, S., (2017). Colonization and maize growth promotion induced by phosphate solubilizing bacterial isolates. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 18, (7), 1253. http://dx.doi. org/10.3390/ijms18071253
- Liu, Z., Li, Y. C., Zhang, S., Fu, Y., Fan, X., Patel, J. S., & Zhang, M. (2015). Characterization of phosphate-solubilizing bacteria isolated from calcareous soils. *Applied Soil Ecology*, 96, 217–224. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2015.08.003
- Lobet, G., Paez-Garcia, A., Schneider, H., Junker, A., Atkinson, J. A., & Tracy, S. (2019). Demystifying roots: A need for clarification and extended concepts in root phenotyping. *Plant Science*, 282, 11–13. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plantsci.2018.09.015
- Lobet, G., Pagès, L., & Draye, X. (2011). A novel image-analysis toolbox enabling quantitative analysis of root system architecture. *Plant Physiology*, 157(1), 29–39. https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.111.179895
- Lynch, J. P. (2011). Root phenes for enhanced soil exploration and phosphorus acquisition: Tools for future crops. *Plant Physiology*, *156*(3), 1041–1049. https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.111.175414
- Lynch, J., Marschner, P., & Rengel, Z. (2012). Effect of internal and external factors on root growth and development. Marschner's Miner. Nutr. High. Plants. Elsevier Ltd.
- Menezes-Blackburn, D., Giles, C., Darch, T., George, T. S., Blackwell, M., Stutter, M., Shand, C., Lumsdon, D., Cooper, P., Wendler, R., Brown, L., Almeida, D. S., Wearing, C., Zhang, H., & Haygarth, P. M. (2018). Opportunities for mobilizing recalcitrant phosphorus from agricultural soils: A review. *Plant and Soil*, 427, 5–16. https://doi. org/10.1007/s11104-017-3362-2
- Müller, I., Schmid, B., & Weiner, J. (2000). The effect of nutrient availability on biomass allocation patterns in 27 species of herbaceous plants. *Perspectives in Plant Ecology, Evolution and Systematics*, 3(2), 115–127. https://doi.org/10.1078/1433-8319-00007
- Murphy, J., & Riley, J. P. (1962). A modified single solution method for the determination of phosphate in natural waters. *Analytica Chimica Acta*, 27, 31–36.
- Myresiotis C. K., Vryzas Z., & Papadopoulou-Mourkidou E. (2015). Effect of specific plant-growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) on growth and uptake of neonicotinoid insecticide thiamethoxam in corn (Zea mays L.) seedlings. *Pest Management Science*, 71(9), 1258–1266. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ps.3919
- Nautiyal C. S. (1999). An efficient microbiological growth medium for screening phosphate solubilizing microorganisms. *FEMS Microbiology Letters*, 170(1), 265–270. http://dx.doi. org/10.1111/j.1574-6968.1999.tb13383.x

16 American Society of Plant Biologists

- Neto, A. P., Favarin, J. L., Hammond, J. P., Tezotto, T., & Couto, H. T. Z. (2016). Analysis of phosphorus use efficiency traits in Coffea genotypes reveals Coffea arabica and Coffea canephora have contrasting phosphorus uptake and utilization efficiencies. Frontiers in Plant Science, 7, https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2016.00408
- Nico, M., Ribaudo, C. M., Gori, J. I., Cantore, M. L., & Curá, J. A. (2012). Uptake of phosphate and promotion of vegetative growth in glucose-exuding rice plants (*Oryza sativa*) inoculated with plant growth-promoting bacteria. *Applied Soil Ecology*, 61, 190–195. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2011.10.016
- Nicotra, A. B., Atkin, O. K., Bonser, S. P., Davidson, A. M., Finnegan, E. J., Mathesius, U., Poot, P., Purugganan, M. D., Richards, C. L., Valladares, F., & van Kleunen, M. (2010). Plant phenotypic plasticity in a changing climate. *Trends in Plant Science*, 15(12), 684–692. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.tplants.2010.09.008
- Nosrati, R., Owlia, P., Saderi, H., Rasooli, I., & Malboobi, M. A. (2014). Phosphate solubilization characteristics of efficient nitrogen fixing soil Azotobacter strains. *Iranian Journal of Microbiology*, 6(4), 285-295.
- Oksanen, J., Blanchet, F. G., Kindt, R., Legendre, P., Minchin, P.R., O'Hara, R. B., Simpson, G. L., Solymos, P., Stevens, M. H. H., & Wagner, H. H. (2019). vegan: Community Ecology Package. R package version 2.5-4. https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=vegan
- Oteino N., Lally R. D., Kiwanuka S., Lloyd A., Ryan D., Germaine K. J., & Dowling D. N. (2015). Plant growth promotion induced by phosphate solubilizing endophytic Pseudomonas isolates. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 6, http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2015.00745
- Owen D., Williams A.P., Griffith G.W., & Withers P.J.A. (2015). Use of commercial bio-inoculants to increase agricultural production through improved phosphrous acquisition. *Applied Soil Ecology*, *86*, 41–54. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2014.09.012
- Pereira S. I. A., & Castro P. M. L. (2014). Phosphate-solubilizing rhizobacteria enhance Zea mays growth in agricultural P-deficient soils. *Ecological Engineering*, 73, 526–535. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j. ecoleng.2014.09.060.
- Pierret, A., Gonkhamdee, S., Jourdan, C., & Maeght, J.-L. (2013). IJ\_Rhizo: An open-source software to measure scanned images of root samples. *Plant and Soil*, 373, 531–539. https://doi.org/10.1007/s1110 4-013-1795-9
- Poiré R., Chochois V., Sirault X. R. R., Vogel J. P., Watt M., & Furbank R. T. (2014). Digital imaging approaches for phenotyping whole plant nitrogen and phosphorus response inBrachypodium distachyon. *Journal of Integrative Plant Biology*, 56, (8), 781–796. http://dx.doi. org/10.1111/jipb.12198
- Poorter H., & Nagel O. (2000). The role of biomass allocation in the growth response of plants to different levels of light, CO2, nutrients and water: a quantitative review. *Functional Plant Biology*, 27, (6), 595. http://dx.doi.org/10.1071/pp99173
- Poorter, H., Niklas, K. J., Reich, P. B., Oleksyn, J., Poot, P., & Mommer, L. (2012). Biomass allocation to leaves, stems and roots: Meta-analyses of interspecific variation and environmental control. New Phytologist, 193, 30–50. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2011.03952.x
- Poorter, H., & Sack, L. (2012). Pitfalls and possibilities in the analysis of biomass allocation patterns in plants. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 3. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2012.00259
- Richardson, A. E., Lynch, J. P., Ryan, P. R., Delhaize, E., Smith, F. A., Smith, S. E., Harvey, P. R., Ryan, M. H., Veneklaas, E. J., Lambers, H., Oberson, A., Culvenor, R. A., & Simpson, R. J. (2011). Plant and microbial strategies to improve the phosphorus efficiency of agriculture. *Plant and Soil*, 349, 121–156. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-011-0950-4
- Rowe, H., Withers, P. J. A., Baas, P., Chan, N. I., Doody, D., Holiman, J., Jacobs, B., Li, H., MacDonald, G. K., McDowell, R., Sharpley, A. N., Shen, J., Taheri, W., Wallenstein, M., & Weintraub, M. N. (2016). Integrating legacy soil phosphorus into sustainable nutrient management strategies for future food, bioenergy and water security.

Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems, 104(3), 393-412. https://doi. org/10.1007/s10705-015-9726-1

- Gerardo R., Gutierrez Boem F. H., & Fernández M. C. (2013). Severe Phosphorus Stress Affects Sunflower and Maize but Not Soybean Root to Shoot Allometry. *Agronomy Journal*, 105, (5), 1283–1288. http://dx.doi.org/10.2134/agronj2013.0123
- Havlin, J. L., Tisdale, S. L., Nelson, W. L., & Beaton, J. D. (2013). Soil fertility and Fertilizers. An introduction to nutrient management, 8th edition, Pearson.
- Rybakova, D., Schmuck, M., Wetzlinger, U., Varo-Suarez, A., Murgu, O., Müller, H., & Berg, G. (2016). Kill or cure? The interaction between endophytic *Paenibacillus* and *Serratia* strains and the host plant is shaped by plant growth conditions. *Plant and Soil*, 405, 65–79. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-015-2572-8
- Sandhu, N., Raman, K. A., Torres, R. O., Audebert, A., Dardou, A., Kumar, A., & Henry, A. (2016). Rice root architectural plasticity traits and genetic regions for adaptability to variable cultivation and stress conditions. *Plant Physiology*, 171(4), 2562–2576. https://doi.org/10.1104/ pp.16.00705
- Sattari, S. Z., Bouwman, A. F., Giller, K. E., & van Ittersum, M. K. (2012). Residual soil phosphorus as the missing piece in the global phosphorus crisis puzzle. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 109(16), 6348–6353. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1113675109
- Schmid, B. (1992). Phenotypic variation in plants. Evolutionary Trends in Plants, 6(1), 45–60.
- Schneider C. A., Rasband W. S., & Eliceiri K. W. (2012). NIH Image to ImageJ: 25 years of image analysis. *Nature Methods*, 9(7), 671–675. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2089
- Shaharoona, B., Naveed, M., Arshad, M., & Zahir, Z. A. (2008). Fertilizerdependent efficiency of Pseudomonads for improving growth, yield, and nutrient use efficiency of wheat (*Triticum aestivum L.*). *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 79(1), 147–155. https://doi. org/10.1007/s00253-008-1419-0
- Shen, J., Yuan, L., Zhang, J., Li, H., Bai, Z., Chen, X., Zhang, W., & Zhang, F. (2011). Phosphorus dynamics: from soil to plant. *Plant Physiology*, 156(3), 997–1005. https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.111.175232
- Shipley, B., & Meziane, D. (2002). The balanced-growth hypothesis and the allometry of leaf and root biomass allocation. *Functional Ecology*, 16(3), 326–331. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2435.2002.00626.x
- Sims, L., Pastor, J., Lee, T., & Dewey, B. (2012). Nitrogen, phosphorus and light effects on growth and allocation of biomass and nutrients in wild rice. *Oecologia*, 170(1), 65–76. https://doi.org/10.1007/s0044 2-012-2296-x
- Talboys, P. J., Owen, D. W., Healey, J. R., Withers, P. J. A., & Jones, D. L. (2014). Auxin secretion by *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* FZB42 both stimulates root exudation and limits phosphorus uptake in *Triticum aestivium*. *BMC Plant Biology*, 14, 51. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2229-14-51
- Taller, B. J., & Wong, T. (1989). Medium cytokinins in Azotobacter vinelandii culture medium. Applied and Environment Microbiology, 55(1), 266–268. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.55.1.266-267.1989
- Timmusk, S., Kim, S.-B., Nevo, E., Abd El Daim, I., Ek, B. O., Bergquist, J., & Behers, L. (2015). Sfp-type PPTase inactivation promotes bacterial biofilm formation and ability to enhance wheat drought tolerance. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, *6*, https://doi.org/10.3389/ fmicb.2015.00387
- Topp, C. N. (2016). Hope in change: The role of root plasticity in crop yield stability. Plant Physiology, 172(1), 5–6. https://doi.org/10.1104/ pp.16.01257
- van Dijk, K. C., Lesschen, J. P., & Oenema, O. (2016). Phosphorus flows and balances of the European Union Member States. *Science of the Total Environment*, 542, 1078–1093. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scito tenv.2015.08.048
- Wang, Y., & Lambers, H. (2020). Root-released organic anions in response to low phosphorus availability: Recent progress, challenges

and future perspectives. Plant and Soil, 447, 135–156. https://doi. org/10.1007/s11104-019-03972-8

- Warton D. I., Duursma R. A., Falster D. S., & Taskinen S. (2012). smatr 3 - an R package for estimation and inference about allometric lines. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, 3(2), 257–259. http://dx.doi. org/10.1111/j.2041-210x.2011.00153.x
- Warton, D. I., Wright, I. J., Falster, D. S., & Westoby, M. (2006). Bivariate line-fitting methods for allometry. *Biological Reviews of the Cambridge Philosophical Society*, 81(2), 259–291. https://doi.org/10.1017/S1464 793106007007
- Weiner J. (2004). Allocation, plasticity and allometry in plants. Perspectives in Plant Ecology, Evolution and Systematics, 6(4), 207–215. http://dx.doi.org/10.1078/1433-8319-00083
- Wickam, H. (2016). ggplot2: Elegant graphics for data analysis, 2nd Edition, Springer.
- Wu Y.-N., Feng Y.-L., Paré P. W., Chen Y.-L., Xu R., Wu S., Wang S.-M., Zhao Q., Li H.-R., Wang Y.-Q., & Zhang J.-L. (2016). Beneficial soil microbe promotes seed germination, plant growth and photosynthesis in herbal crop Codonopsis pilosula. *Crop and Pasture Science*, 67, (1), 91–98. http://dx.doi.org/10.1071/cp15110.
- Xie, J.-B., Xu, G.-Q., Jenerette, G. D., Bai, Y.-F., Wang, Z.-Y., & Li, Y. (2015). Apparent plasticity in functional traits determining competitive ability and spatial distribution: A case from desert. *Scientific Reports*, 5(1), https://doi.org/10.1038/srep12174
- Yu, X., Liu, X. U., Zhu, T. H., Liu, G. H., & Mao, C. (2011). Isolation and characterization of phosphate-solubilizing bacteria from walnut and their effect on growth and phosphorus mobilization. *Biology and Fertility of Soils*, 47(4), 437–446. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00374-011-0548-2
- Zabihi H. R., Savaghebi G. R., Khavazi K., Ganjali A., & Miransari M. (2011). Pseudomonas bacteria and phosphorous fertilization, affecting

American Society of Plant Biologists B-WILEY 17

wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) yield and P uptake under greenhouse and field conditions. *Acta Physiologiae Plantarum*, 33(1), 145–152. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11738-010-0531-9

- Zhang, J.-L., Aziz, M., Qiao, Y., Han, Q.-Q., Li, J., Wang, Y.-Q., Shen, X., Wang, S.-M., & Paré, P. W. (2014). Soil microbe *Bacillus subtilis* (GB03) induces biomass accumulation and salt tolerance with lower sodium accumulation in wheat. *Crop and Pasture Science*, 65(5), 423–427. https://doi.org/10.1071/CP13456
- Zhou, D., Huang, X.-F., Chaparro, J. M., Badri, D. V., Manter, D. K., Vivanco, J. M., & Guo, J. (2016). Root and bacterial secretions regulate the interaction between plants and PGPR leading to distinct plant growth promotion effects. *Plant and Soil*, 401(1–2), 259–272. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-015-2743-7

### SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional Supporting Information may be found online in the Supporting Information section.

How to cite this article: Baudson C, Delory BM, Spaepen S, du Jardin P, Delaplace P. Developmental plasticity of *Brachypodium distachyon* in response to P deficiency: Modulation by inoculation with phosphate-solubilizing bacteria. *Plant Direct*. 2020;00:1–17. <u>https://doi.</u> org/10.1002/pld3.296