

## **Human Capital Investments in Children**

Österbacka, Eva; Merz, Joachim; Österbacka, Eva

*Publication date:*  
2010

*Document Version*  
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link to publication](#)

*Citation for pulished version (APA):*

Österbacka, E., Merz, J., & Österbacka, E. (2010). *Human Capital Investments in Children: A Comparative Analysis of the Role of Parent-Child Shared Time in Selected Countries*. (ffb Discussionpaper; No. 83). Forschungsinstitut Freie Berufe.

### **General rights**

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal ?

### **Take down policy**

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

## **Human Capital Investments in Children: A Comparative Analysis of the Role of Parent-Child Shared Time in Selected Countries**

**Joachim Merz, Eva Österbacka und Cathleen D. Zick**

FFB Discussion paper No. 83  
July 2010



Fakultät II – Wirtschafts-, Verhaltens- und Rechtswissenschaften

Postanschrift:  
Forschungsinstitut Freie Berufe  
Postfach 2440  
21314 Lüneburg

[ffb@leuphana.de](mailto:ffb@leuphana.de)  
<http://leuphana.de/ffb>  
Tel.: +49 4131 677-2051  
Fax: +49 4131 677-2059

**Human Capital Investments in Children:  
A Comparative Analysis of the Role of Parent-Child Shared Time  
in Selected Countries**

**Eva Österbacka<sup>1</sup>, Joachim Merz<sup>2</sup>, and Cathleen D. Zick<sup>3</sup>**

FFB-Discussionpaper No. 83

Mai2010  
ISSN 0942-2595

---

<sup>1</sup> Academy Research Fellow, Åbo Akademi University, Senior researcher, Research Department at The Social Insurance Institution, Finland

<sup>2</sup> Professor of Economics and Econometrics, Director Research Institute on Professions (FFB) Leuphana University Lüneburg, Germany

<sup>3</sup> Professor, Department of Family and Consumer Studies and Director, Masters in Public Policy, University of Utah.

**Human Capital Investments in Children:****A Comparative Analysis of the Role of Parent-Child Shared Time in Selected Countries****Eva Österbacka, Joachim Merz, and Cathleen D. Zick**

FFB-Discussionpaper No. 83, July 2010, ISSN 0942-2595

**Abstract**

Parents invest in their children's human capital in several ways. We investigate the extent to which the levels and composition of parent-child time varies across countries with different welfare regimes: Finland, Germany and the United States. We test the hypothesis of parent-child time as a form of human capital investment in children using a propensity score treatment effects approach that accounts for the possible endogenous nature of time use and human capital investment. Result: There is considerable evidence of welfare regime effects on parent-child shared time. Our results provide mixed support for the hypothesis that non-care related parent-child time is human capital enriching. The strongest support is found in the case of leisure time and eating time.

**JEL:** D1, J24, J22, H43**Keywords:** *parent-child time, comparative research, welfare regimes, Finland, Germany, USA, treatment effects, propensity score matching***Zusammenfassung**

Eltern investieren in ihre Kinder auf unterschiedliche Weise. Wir untersuchen wie das Ausmaß und die Zusammensetzung von Eltern-Kind Zeiten in unterschiedlichen Ländern mit differenten Wohlfahrtsregimes variiert: Finnland, Deutschland und die Vereinigten Staaten von Amerika.

Komposition: Wir testen die Hypothese der Eltern-Kind Zeiten als eine Form von Humankapitalinvestitionen in Kinder mit einem ‚propensity score treatment effects‘-Ansatz für die mögliche Endogenität der Zeitverwendung und Humankapitalinvestition. Resultat: Wohlfahrtsregime haben einen signifikanten Einfluss auf die von Eltern mit ihren Kindern verbrachte Zeit. Unsere Resultate unterstützen die Hypothese, dass Eltern-Kind Zeiten, die nicht als Kinderbetreuung zu charakterisieren sind, Humankapital anreichern. Die stärkste Unterstützung wurde für die Bereiche Freizeit und gemeinsam verbrachte Essenszeiten gefunden.

**JEL:** D1, D24, J22, H43**Schlagwörter:** *Eltern-Kind Zeit, ländervergleichende Forschung parent-child time, comparative research, Wohlfahrtsregime, Finnland, Deutschland, Vereinigte Staaten von Amerika, Treatment effects, Propensity score matching*

## 1 Introduction

How is it that parents invest in their children's human capital and are their investment choices linked to the investment choices that the larger society makes in children? While an extensive literature documents the out-of-pocket investments that parents make, much less is known about their time-related investments. The few studies that link parental time to children's human capital development focus on parent-child time spent in specific activities such as shared leisure (e.g., cultural events, sporting activities), educational activities (e.g., helping with homework), and/or eating time. These studies document the positive relationship between the time parents share with children in non-care activities and developmental benefits within a single country (Buchel & Duncan 1998, Zick et al. 2001, Dubas & Gerris 2002, Crosnoe & Trinitapoli 2008).

Other scholars have undertaken comparative time use studies with the goal of assessing how different social welfare regimes affect parents' time use, particularly child care time (Sayer et al. 2004, Craig 2005). Sayer and her colleagues find support for the hypothesis that welfare regimes influence both the level and relative contributions of mothers and fathers to child care time. Craig also finds that being a parent affects the workload differently across different welfare regimes. To date, no one has examined how government supports might affect parental time spent in non-care related activities such as shared leisure, shared meals, and shared housework. Yet, the literature suggests that when parents engage children in such activities they may be undertaking important human capital investment.

The current research builds on the existing literature in three important ways. First, we describe parental time spent with children in potentially human capital enriching activities with the goal of presenting a more complete portrait of shared time – both in terms of the total amount and its composition. Second, we investigate the extent to which the levels and composition of parent-child time (excluding child care time which has been studied by Sayer et al, 2004 and Craig, 2005) varies across countries with different welfare regimes. Specifically, we compare parental time use in countries that represent the three welfare regimes laid out by Esping-Andersen (1999). Finally, we test the hypothesis of parent-child time as a form of human capital investment in children using a propensity score treatment effects approach that accounts for the possible endogenous nature of time use and human capital investment.

## 2 Human Capital Investment – Shared Time with Children

Each child inherits an initial human capital endowment from her/his parents. However, of crucial importance to a child's development are the subsequent investments that are made in her/his human capital. Both parents and the public sector act as investors during the crucial period of early childhood. Becker and Tomes (1986) argue that if parental and public investments are perfect substitutes, parental investments will be crowded out as public investments expand. If parental and public investments are not perfect substitutes, public investments might still affect parental behaviors. Regardless, the idea that parental and state investments are important inputs in their children's human capital is beyond dispute. Parents invest time, money, and emotional energy in their children.<sup>1</sup> The most directly observable

form of public investment in children is education. However, the public sector also invest considerable resources in children through the choices that the politicians make about subsidies for financial support for health care, work-related child care, and other forms of family policies.

We assume that all parents want to insure that their children acquire some optimal level of human capital. Yet, countries with different welfare regimes are different in the way family life, the labor market and the public sector are organized. These differences may alter the decisions that parents make about the time they spend with their children in potentially human capital enhancing activities. Social democratic governments generally provide the greatest resource supports to families and children, followed by conservative governments, and lastly by liberal governments (Esping-Andersen, 1999). If welfare states are viewed as a predetermined characteristic of the family environment that potentially substitutes for parental human capital investments, then we would expect that parents in social democratic countries would spend the least time investing in their children, followed by parents in conservative countries, with parents in liberal countries spending the most time investing in their children. To test the proposition that welfare regimes impact parent-child time, we examine potentially human capital enriching parent-child time in Finland, Germany, and the United States as prominent welfare regime countries.

Ideally, our analyses would make use of longitudinal data where time spent with parents in a child's early years is linked to human capital-related child outcomes at a later point in time (e.g. linking parental time spent with a child during the early years to a child's ultimate educational attainment using a panel econometric approach). Unfortunately, there are not any panel study time diary data sets currently available. Thus, we must fall back on the use of cross-sectional time diary data. The use of cross-sectional data to investigate questions of time use and human capital investment raises issues about the possibility of endogeneity of parental choices about how they spend their time and whether or not their time should be shared with a child.<sup>ii</sup>

Concern about the potential dependence between time allocation and the decision to share certain types of time with children would disappear if eligible respondents were randomly assigned to have a child present during specific activities. But, they are not. Rather, respondents self-select as to how much time they spend in certain activities and that self-selection may be related to whether or not a child is present. One approach to this self-selection issue would be to estimate a simultaneous system. This strategy is limited by the functional form that is chosen and by the reality that such methods may hide the fact that many in the "treated" sample have no counterfactual in the non-treated sample (i.e., there is a lack of common support) (Black & Smith, 2004; Gibson-Davis & Foster, 2006).

Rosenbaum and Rubin (1983, 1984) propose the use of the propensity score method which approaches the simultaneity problem by balancing a treatment group (i.e., parents participating in an activity with one or more children under age 10 present during the activity; the treatment thus is the presence of those children) with a control group (i.e., parents participating in the same activity with no children under age 10 present) with regard to their covariates. Essentially, the propensity score adjusts for the bias that may be caused by certain types of parents self-selecting into doing certain activities when children are present by creating matches between members of the treatment and control groups rather than through the random assignment that is used in true experiments (Angrist & Pischke, 2009).

The propensity score approach relies on first estimating a logit type equation where the dependent variable is the presence or absence of a child under age 10 during an activity spell ( $D = \{1,0\}$ ). The independent variables in the logit model,  $X$ , include factors that might affect whether or not the child is present as well as factors that might affect how much time is spent in the activity. The specification of the functional form and the independent variables can vary as the goal is simply to maximize the predictive capabilities of the model. However, we include content driven explanatory variables which in addition should minimize possible unobserved heterogeneity. From the logit estimates, the predicted probabilities of having a child present while participating in an activity are generated for all respondents. These predicted probabilities become the features on which treated parent-child spells are matched to control spells of parental time.

Next, a common support region is defined and only those observations that fall within this region are further analyzed. The common support region is defined by the area of overlap in propensity scores for the treated and untreated groups. Within the common support area, members of the treatment group are matched to members of the control group. A number of matching methods are used in the literature and these methods reflect the tradeoffs one must make between bias and variance when matching with small sample sizes (Gibson-Davis & Foster, 2006; Caliendo and Kopeinig, 2008). However, when sample sizes are large, the various matching approaches should produce similar results. Once the matching is complete, t-tests are conducted to ascertain if statistically significant differences exist between the treatment and the control groups with respect to spell length.<sup>iii</sup>

In our application, if the length of the spell of each activity is dependent on the presence (absence) of a child after adjusting for the propensity score, this becomes a weak test of human capital investment. That is, such a result would be consistent with the hypothesis that parents will spend more time in an activity when a child is present because they are using some of that time to invest in the child's human capital (e.g., talking with the child while eating dinner, teaching a child how to cook while making dinner). It is a weak test because differences in spell length could also reflect differences in the current consumption value of engaging in an activity with or without a child. For example, meals may simply be more (or less) enjoyable for a parent when they are eaten with a child present and this leads the parent to devote more time to eating.

In using the propensity score approach, we are estimating the population average treatment effect on the treated (ATT). This is the causal effect of treatment only on that group and not the overall treatment effect. Treatment (control) in this case is the presence (absence) of a child under age 10 during an activity spell, ( $D = \{1,0\}$ , where 1=child present and 0=child not present). The outcome is the length of the spell in minutes ( $Y = \{Y^1, Y^0\}$ ). The causal effect of treatment is defined as  $\Delta_{ATT} = Y^1 - Y^0$ . The mean of  $\Delta_{ATT}$  is defined according to:

$$\Delta_{ATT} = E(\Delta_{ATT} | D = 1) = E(Y^1 - Y^0 | D = 1) = E(Y^1 | D = 1) - E(Y^0 | D = 1) \quad (1)$$

However, as equation (1) is formulated, it cannot be estimated because we do not have both the treated and non-treated spell length for one person at the same time. Hence the last term is not determinable.

To make the estimation tractable, three conditions must hold. First, once we control for

observable covariates,  $X$ , so that the potential outcome is independent of the treatment selection. This is known as the conditional independence assumption (CIA). This assumption allows the means of  $\Delta_{ATT}$  to be estimated by using  $E(Y^0 | D = 0, X = x)$  instead of  $E(Y^0 | D = 1, X = x)$  in equation (1). The conditional independence assumption (CIA) can be formalized according to:

$$Y^0 \perp D | X \quad (2)$$

In our case, this means that the presence of a child should random after we control for  $X$ . We meet the CIA assumption by doing two things. First, we include in  $X$ , both parental and child characteristics that have been found to be associated with time spent with children (Buchel & Duncan 1998, Zick et al. 2001, Dubas & Gerris 2002, Sayer et al. 2004, Craig 2005, Crosnoe & Trinitapoli 2008). We follow the specification of past research as closely as possible across all three analyses given the limits on the information available in each of the three time diary data sets we utilize. Second, we focus on parental time-use activities that are done whether or not a child is present (i.e., eating, housework, watching television, leisure, transportation). It is arguable that often a child may be off playing with friends, at school or engaged in other leisure activities away from the parent. This allows for the possibility that the child's presence during a specific activity may be somewhat random. To the extent that spells with children may be a function of structural factors, we include among our covariates measures of structural aspects of the spell characteristics including time of day, day of week, and season of the year. We assess whether or not these actions help us meet the CIA requirement by conducting t-tests to assess if the distribution of the  $X$  is the same between the treated and untreated groups (Caliendo and Kopeinig, 2008).

The second condition that must be met is the common support assumption. That is, the estimated probabilities of participation for the treatment group must overlap with the estimated probabilities of participation for the control group and the probabilities have to be positive, irrespective of the value of  $X$  (Imbens, 2004, Smith & Todd, 2005, Caliendo & Kopeinig, 2008). To meet this condition, we drop treatment observations whose pscore is higher than the maximum or less than the minimum of the controls. Once the common support region criterion has been satisfied, we use nearest neighbor matching with replacement to pair spells in the treated group (i.e., child present for the specified activity) with spells in the non-treated group (i.e., child not present for the specified activity). Our sample sizes are relatively large and thus nearest neighbor matching with replacement should produce unbiased results that are quite similar to other matching methods although the variance may be increased (Caliendo and Kopeinig, 2008).<sup>iv</sup> As such, this matching technique provides a conservative test.

The final condition that must be met in order to estimate the ATT is the stable unit treatment value assumption (SUTVA). SUTVA requires that the outcome of a unit depends on the own participation only and not on the treatment of the other units. Satisfying SUTVA would be a problem if we pooled mothers and fathers from the same family in our analyses. To avoid violating this assumption, we estimate propensity scores separately for mothers and fathers. This approach also insures perfect matching on gender (Heckman, Ichimura, Smith, and Todd, 1998).



### 3 Data Sets

We construct compatible time diary data sets for Finland, Germany, and the United States given the limitations that are inherent in each data set's design. Specifically, we restrict our samples to respondents with complete time diaries, who are between the ages of 20 to 60, who are married or cohabiting, and who have one or more minor children under the age 10 present in the home.

The *Finnish Time Use Survey* (FTUS) was conducted in 1999-2000 by Statistics Finland. The FTUS design follows EUROSTAT's Guidelines on Harmonised European Time Use Surveys (HETUS). The survey is a representative sample covering persons aged 10 and above. The data included 5,300 individuals from 2,600 households. Participants were asked a series of questions regarding their personal characteristics and one household member was asked about the household characteristics. Some register information regarding their income was added to the survey. All respondents were asked to fill in a time use diary based on 10-minute intervals for two days, one weekday and one weekend. For each 10-minute spell, respondents filled in their primary activity and what else they were doing at the same time. They were also asked to fill in with whom they spent their time, the location and mode of transportation. For this data set, the information on with whom respondents spent their time was not available for those respondents interviewed in January and February. Hence, observations from those two months are missing (Niemi and Pääkkönen, 2001). Our present sample consists of 329 fathers and 363 mothers.

The *German Time Use Survey* (GTUS) of 2001/02 provided by the German Federal Statistical Office consists of about 5,400 households and approximately 37,700 diary days. The GTUS design also follows EUROSTAT's Guidelines on Harmonised European Time Use Surveys (HETUS). All household members aged 10 years and older were asked to fill out diaries based on 10-minute intervals on three days – two days during the week from Monday to Friday, one day on the weekend. Data were collected on primary and secondary activities, persons involved or present, the location and mode of transport. Household and individual data (i.e., socio-demographic/economic variables and other background variables) were collected in additional questionnaires. A comprehensive *GTUS-Compass* about the broad range of GTUS 2001/02 information and its usage is provided by the German Federal Statistical Office (Statistisches Bundesamt, 2006). There are 890 fathers and 890 mothers in the sample used for the current analysis.

The third time diary data set is the 2003 *American Time Use Survey* (ATUS). The 2003 ATUS is the first annual American time-diary survey conducted by the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics and thus the closest ATUS survey to the Finnish and German data. Each year a sample is drawn from those households that have completed the final interview for the Current Population Survey. The ATUS respondent is randomly selected from among each household's members who are age 15 or older. Respondents are asked a series of questions that focus on household composition, employment status, etc. They are also asked to complete one 24-hour time diary using retrospective recording methods. Half of the respondents complete a diary for a weekday and half of the respondents complete a diary for a weekend day. For each activity the respondent reports doing over the 24 hours, s/he is also asked who else was present when doing the activity. For the current analyses our sample consists of 2,416 mothers and 2,136 fathers, who had no missing data on the "who with" question.

Both the FTUS and GTUS are part of the Harmonized European Time Use Survey, where activities are comparable by design. We use the ATUS survey coding lexicons to create comparable activity categories with the FTUS and GTUS.

In all the time use surveys, one diary day consists of information on activities during a 24 hour period. We do not use all information on the performed activities; the activities of interest in our analyses are spells of eating, housework (where child care is not included), leisure (where television and video viewing is not included), and television and video viewing. These activities may be considered child care in the broadest sense (Klevmarken, 1999) but they are not seen as traditional child care when coding the parent's time. Thus, for each type of activity we examine whether or not a child was present during a spell and how long the spell lasted.

Individuals in the surveys can have multiple spells of each activity during the 24-hour diary period and in two of the three surveys, each individual has more than one 24-hour diary. Thus, all analyses correct for the correlation of error terms caused by having multiple spells from the same individual included in the analyses. In addition, all descriptive information is weighted using the weights provided in each data set. The multivariate analyses are not weighted as these analyses control for those factors used to construct the sampling weights (DuMouchel and Duncan, 1983).

## 4 Results – Human Capital Investments in Children

We focus on primary time in eating, housework leisure, and TV/video time because we believe they are the most common non-care related activities that offer the potential for parents to engage in child-related human capital investment. Parents may talk to a child about his/her day or about current events, etc. over a meal, or even while engaging in leisure activities. Likewise, life skills may be taught by a parent while doing housework with a child or engaging in active leisure (e.g., playing a sport) with a child. Admittedly, it is less likely that human capital investment occurs when a parent watches television or a video with a child. But, even television/video viewing may provide a parent with some “teachable moments”.

In Table 1, mean daily times spent in the selected activities are presented for the samples in order to give some background to our analyses. On average, German parents spend the most time in eating while the parents in the United States spend the least time in eating. Mothers clearly spend more time in housework than fathers in all three countries, and German parents are the most diligent in devoting time to housework. Parents in the United States spend the least time in housework, and Finnish parents are in between. Parents in the United States spend less than two hours per day on average in leisure activities, while parents in Finland and Germany spend around two and a half hours per day. At the same time, parents in the United States generally spend somewhat more time watching TV than their counterparts in Finland and Germany. Though the overall picture across the three countries is heterogeneous, differences with regard to the amount of activity time can be recorded.<sup>v</sup>

When it comes to shared time, German parents also spend the most time eating with children under 10 years old on average, while they share relatively smaller amounts TV viewing time. Parents in the United States, share more TV watching and generally share less eating and less housework time than their counterparts in Finland and German. Finnish parents on the other

hand, share housework for longer periods with children under 10 years old on average than other parents but their shared time spent eating with children is shorter.<sup>vi</sup>

Times spent in the four selected activities are not spent consecutively; rather they are spent in several spells over the course of the day. Table 2 shows the mean times for spells in the four different activities by whether or not a child less than age 10 was present.

Table 2 also provides preliminary evidence regarding the effect of welfare regimes on parent-child shared time. Focus on the rows that report spells spent with one or more children under age 10. These rows reveal that shared parent-child spells for eating, housework, leisure, and TV viewing are all longest for mothers and fathers in the United States, and the differences are statistically significant. German parents' average spell length for eating and leisure time is in the middle and Finnish parents' average spell lengths are the shortest. Spell length for housework and TV watching are not statistically different between Finnish and German parents.<sup>vii</sup> However, if parental time spent in these four activities involves some human capital investment on the part of their children, then these differences are consistent with what is predicted by Esping-Andersen's (1999) welfare regime typology.

Comparing the spells with children present to the spells without children present reported in Table 2, we observe that spell length for the four activities in question is generally shorter for Finnish mothers and fathers when one or more children under age 10 is present compared to when no children are present, the only non significant difference is eating time. In contrast, in Germany, the eating and leisure spells for mothers and fathers are longer when children are present relative to when they are not present, and the opposite holds for housework and TV watching. Finally, in the United States, the spells are relatively longer when one or more children under age 10 are present, with the exception of housework for fathers where the difference is not significantly different.<sup>viii</sup> This pattern across countries is again consistent with the prediction that government supports may substitute for some parental human capital investments in social democrat countries like Finland.

To more confidently assess whether or not shared parent-child time in non-care activities involves human capital investment, we must move beyond the bivariate comparisons in Table 2 for two reasons. First, the observed bivariate relationships could be spurious if family socio-demographic characteristics also vary across these three countries. Second, parents may self-select into shared versus non-shared time use spells. To address these two potential shortcomings, we contrast the above findings with the results obtained using a treatment effects approach by propensity score methods where similar parents are matched and their time use is compared.

In Table 3, the results for a nearest neighbor matching propensity scores are presented.<sup>ix</sup> With regard to the matching quality, the common support assumption is met as there is a broad overlapping score region for all activities in each country.<sup>x</sup> There are generally more treated relative to the untreated respondents when the probability of time shared with a child is higher which is in some favor of our maintained hypothesis. We also test the resemblance of the covariates in the treated and control groups in all activities. After matching, the respective means of the covariates for each country are very close which empirically supports the CIA. The significant bias reduction of the matched covariates and the valid null hypotheses of no differences of the matched covariate means of the treated and the control group supports the argument of a successful matching procedure with important and central explanatory variables by the selection on observables in the logit estimates behind.<sup>xi</sup>

Turning to the propensity score results presented in Table 3, focus first on **eating** time. Time spent eating is thought to be enriching if it is done with family members in part because of the nutritional and eating habits it can convey and because it provides parents with an opportunity to engage their child(ren) in conversation. Family members relate events of the day, plan and coordinate future activities, discuss their accomplishments and frustrations, etc. When family members eat together, they typically also eat a more balanced and nutritious meal (Neumark-Sztainer, et al., 2003; Eizenberg, et al., 2004; Traveras, et al., 2005; Spear, 2006). As our results in Table 3 suggest, fathers in all three countries spend significantly more time in eating spells if a child less than 10 years old is present. The largest increases in shared eating time are for fathers in the U.S. followed by German fathers and then by fathers in Finland. The results for mothers are more mixed with only German mothers spending significantly more time. The rank ordering for the fathers is in keeping with the hypothesized impact of the different welfare regimes.

**Housework** may be a form of human capital investment if the child is well supervised. The parent can teach the child specific tasks, the child learns cooperative behavior, and it fosters responsibility. At the same time, the child also learns gender-specific behaviors and gains an awareness of the family's socioeconomic status (see Goodnow, 1988 for an overview). Yet, Table 3 reveals that Finnish and German mothers and fathers, along with American fathers, all spend less time in housework if one or more children under age 10 are present (although the estimates for Finnish mothers, German fathers, and American fathers do not reach conventional levels of statistical significance). Only American mothers spend more time in housework spells when a young child is present, suggesting that they may be the only parents who view such time to be human capital enriching.

It is important to note that we cannot tell from these data whether or not the children are helping with the chores. We only know that they are present. Thus, a number of stories are consistent with our findings. It may be that children in Finland and Germany are more helpful in doing the chores (allowing their parents to finish more quickly), while the presence of children in the United States, dampen their mothers' housework productivity. Alternatively, it may be that mothers in the United States are simultaneously teaching their children how to do the tasks which may decrease their productivity in the short run but enhance their children's human capital in the long run. In any case, the marginal differences in spell length are small. More confident conclusions regarding these cross-country differences can only be ascertained with data (either qualitative or quantitative) that examines not only the time inputs but also the household production outputs.

**Leisure** activities can also be a form of human capital investment. Play can promote positive development, including cognitive, linguistic, social and emotional development. Structured activities like sports, arts, music, hobbies, and organizations offer high challenge, concentration, and motivation (Larson, 2001). The coefficients for Finnish parents are negative, however only statistically significant for mothers (-7 minutes). On the other hand, both German and American mothers and fathers spend significantly more time in leisure activities if one or more children under age 10 are present. The sizes of the estimated time differences are larger for the American parents. The differences we observe across the three countries are consistent with the differences we would expect across the welfare regimes if leisure time includes an element of human capital investment.

**TV/video watching** is not typically associated with positive developmental experiences for children. Unsupervised and for long hours, it is associated with among other things obesity, lower school grades and aggressive behavior (Larson, 2001). But, if a parent watches

TV/video together with a young child it may be a more positive activity. Both Finnish and German parents spend significantly less time watching TV/videos if a child less than 10 years old is present, and the magnitude of these differences is fairly large (Finns 7-12 minutes and Germans 25-39 minutes less time). In contrast, parents in the United States watch 5-11 minutes more TV if a child is present (although the estimate for mothers not significant). The negative estimates associated with shared television viewing time in Finland and Germany are consistent with the general view that television/video viewing does not promote positive developmental outcomes. In the case of the American parents, the positive difference might be interpreted as a human capital investment if the program they watch with their children is educational or generates parent-child discussion. But, more likely, the change in signs simply reflects American adults' greater relative preference for television viewing over other leisure activities.

## 5 Summary and Conclusions

The aim of our study is to analyze the impact of government welfare regimes on parental human capital investments in children. We use time diary data from Finland to represent a social democratic welfare regime, Germany to represent a conservative welfare regime, and the United States to represent a liberal welfare regime. We assess non-care related human capital investment time by focusing on the time parents share with their children in four potentially enriching time use categories: eating, housework, leisure (excluding TV), and television/video viewing. In the multivariate analyses we control for other possible confounding socio-demographic factors and we adjust for possible endogeneity using propensity score techniques. We compare the impacts on time spent in selected activities for treatment (child present) and non-treatment groups (child not present) by nearest neighbor matching. In both the bivariate and the multivariate analyses, we find considerable evidence of welfare regime effects on parent-child shared time.

Our results provide mixed support for the hypothesis that non-care related parent-child time is human capital enriching. The strongest support is found in the case of leisure time (both parents in Germany and the U.S.) and eating time (fathers only in all three countries). For these two categories we see that the presence of children is typically associated with longer spells and this result is consistent with the human capital investment hypothesis. Our results for housework and television/video viewing time provide no support for the human capital enrichment argument. In the case of television/video viewing time, the result is not surprising. The absence of support for shared housework as human capital enriching may reflect the more general trend away from investing in domestic skills. In recent years, advances in household technology and the growing availability of paid housekeepers have increasingly substituted for family members' housework time in many countries thus reducing the need for individual family members to possess high levels of household production related human capital.

Our hypothesis that parents and government may serve as substitutes with respect to children's human capital investment also finds mixed support in our analyses. Focus only on eating and leisure time where we have support for the human capital investment hypothesis. If government programs substitute for parental investments, then we would expect to see Finnish parents spending the least amount of time investing in their children's human capital, followed by German parents, and finally by U.S. parents. Yet, the descriptive results suggest that, on average, German parents spend the most time eating with their children with the Finns and Americans spending similar amounts of time in this activity. In the case of leisure

time, the Americans do average more shared leisure than the Germans and Finns which is consistent with our hypothesis. But, there is little difference between German and Finnish parents. The propensity score analyses show that in the case of fathers' eating time, the relative magnitude of the shared time effects across the three countries are as we predicted although the absolute effect sizes are small. The strongest support for the regime effect hypotheses can be seen in the shared leisure time propensity score analyses where the incremental amount spent in shared leisure is largest for mothers and fathers in the U.S., followed by the German parents, and then the Finnish parents. Thus, if welfare regimes influence parents' choices about time spent investing in their children's human capital, they appear to do so only through parental choices about shared leisure activities.

Our findings and interpretations must be circumscribed by several considerations. First, while our analyses control for a number of individual and household factors, we are unable to control for all socio-cultural and political differences that might influence parental time use in Finland, Germany, and the United States. We are also unable to control for more localized welfare regime effects (i.e., state or local differences in government sponsored social support programs). Thus, differences in the welfare regimes across these three countries are only one possible interpretation of our findings.

Second, data constraints also limit our analyses. Specifically, the relatively small Finnish sample may contribute to the lack of statistical significance in some analyses. In addition, we restrict shared parent-child time to those spells where one or more children under age 10 are present because the Finnish data set did not include information on shared time with older children. Consequently, we do not know if our results generalize to situations where older children are present.

Finally, we interpret the positive differences in shared eating and leisure activities to be an indication of parental investment in children's human capital. Another interpretation of these findings would be that parents simply place a higher value on the consumption aspects of shared time spent eating and engaging in leisure. Clearly, a more definitive test of parental investment in children's human capital would involve linking such time to specific child outcome measures. Future research should address these shortcomings as new comparative data from countries operating with different social welfare regimes becomes available.

## Appendix

**Table 1: Weighted Mean daily duration (in minutes) in selected activities in Finland, Germany, and the United States.**

	Finland		Germany		United States	
Activity	Fathers	Mothers	Fathers	Mothers	Fathers	Mothers
<b>Eating</b>	78	78	96	106	58	59
Eating with children < 10	36	50	61	78	39	46
<b>Housework</b>	114	218	161	283	93	179
Housework with children < 10	40	112	36	96	28	76
<b>Leisure</b>	152	145	165	166	99	95
Leisure with children < 10	55	71	54	68	48	54
<b>TV</b>	110	92	104	82	123	104
TV with children < 10	38	45	15	15	54	55
<b>N diary days</b>	623	695	2666	2668	2256	2583
<b>N observations</b>	329	363	890	890	2256	2583

Sources: FTUS 1999-2000. GTUS 2001/02, ATUS 2003.

**Table 2: Weighted Mean Times for Spells Spent in Various Activities by Presence/Absence on One or More Children under Age 10.**

	Finland						Germany						United States					
	Fathers			Mothers			Fathers			Mothers			Fathers			Mothers		
	Mean	N Spells	N Respon- dents	Mean	N Spells	N Respon- dents	Mean	N Spells	N Respon- dents	Mean	N Spells	N Respo- n- dents	Mean	N Spells	N Respon- dents	Mean	N Spells	N Respon- dents
<b>All Spells</b>																		
Eating	22.85	2173	326	21.13	2574	363	31.32	8203	890	30.53	9333	890	32.98	3787	2000	33.41	4557	2355
Housework	31.63	2364	310	29.58	5105	363	31.15	13721	888	31.94	23791	890	49.50	3898	1521	38.00	10950	2374
Leisure	45.26	2200	320	37.00	2819	361	50.95	8665	887	44.81	10010	888	69.11	3071	1519	61.65	4010	1833
TV	53.32	1393	302	43.44	1474	334	73.93	3748	823	64.04	3335	807	98.88	2914	1702	77.86	3365	1885
<b>Spells with Children &lt; 10</b>																		
Eating	23.70	1037	280	21.33	1677	340	33.77	4838	870	31.71	6611	882	35.89	2497	1613	34.39	3581	2100
Housework	28.69	923	233	28.02	2777	341	29.06	3211	737	29.12	8322	867	50.31	1237	752	40.09	4493	1780
Leisure	42.10	911	253	34.55	1501	323	56.16	2595	760	47.64	3603	816	84.93	1418	905	73.05	2099	1259
TV	44.12	602	217	39.22	793	272	42.98	910	467	42.54	903	450	104.24	1303	955	82.26	1728	1176
<b>Spells without Children &lt; 10</b>																		
Eating	22.15	1136	304	20.77	897	297	27.86	3365	835	27.71	2722	767	28.68	1290	963	30.41	976	771
Housework	33.49	1441	290	31.44	2328	338	31.82	10510	886	33.62	15469	890	49.17	2661	1264	36.55	6457	1985
Leisure	47.30	1289	298	39.74	1318	316	49.14	6070	879	43.02	6407	872	58.18	1653	1006	50.62	1911	1167
TV	59.84	791	274	48.43	681	273	83.91	2838	807	72.29	2432	783	94.88	1611	1164	73.21	1637	1215

Sources: FTUS 1999-2000, GTUS 2001/02, ATUS 2003



**Table 3. Difference in Time Use (in minutes) by Presence/Absence of a Child under Age 10 Using Nearest Neighbor Matching (standard error in parentheses)<sup>a</sup>**

		Finland		Germany		United States	
		Difference	Nb	Difference	Nb	Difference	Nb
<b>Fat her s</b>	Eating	2.70 (1.07)**	2158	4.17 (0.91)***	8202	5.18 (1.65)***	3781
	Housework	-8.85 (-3.45)***	2362	-1.82 (1.22)	13721	-4.93 (4.05)	3896
	Leisure (no TV)	-1.56 (3.84)	2198	5.34 (2.38)**	8662	20.56 (4.09)***	3071
	Television	-10.54 (3.15)***	1389	-38.82 (3.34)***	3694	11.29 (5.05)**	2903
<b>Mo the rs</b>	Eating	0.82 (1.14)	2558	2.10 (0.94)**	9332	0.40 (1.82)	4534
	Housework	-0.69 (1.86)	5105	-3.37 (0.99)***	23791	2.54 (1.45)*	10949
	Leisure (no TV)	-6.79 (2.82)**	2817	7.30 (1.73)***	10008	18.18 (3.63)***	4006
	Television	-7.40 (2.58)***	1473	-25.41 (2.64)***	3317	4.62 (4.62)	3362

Sources: FTUS 1999-2000, GTUS 2001/02, ATUS 2003, unweighted

\*\*\*p<.01 \*\*p<.05 \*p<.10

<sup>a</sup>Standard errors are obtained using bootstrapping methods, where the estimates are replicated 100 times and correct for the clustering of multiple observations from the same individual.

<sup>b</sup>The reported sample size for each analysis is based on the number of person-spells within the common support region. The actual degrees of freedom in each analysis are much smaller as the t-tests correct for the clustering of multiple observations from the same individual.

**Table A1. Means for Covariates**

	Finland		Germany		United States	
Variables	Fathers	Mothers	Fathers	Mothers	Fathers	Mothers
Age	36.76	34.6	39.14	36.43	38.07	35.94
Proportion Female Children in the Home	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	0.49	0.5
Number of Children < Age 5 (US) < 6 (FI)	1.09	1.08	n.a.	n.a.	0.91	0.89
Number of Children Age 6-17 (US) 7-17 (FI)	0.98	1.03	n.a.	n.a.	1.23	1.24
Number of Children in Household Age 0-17	---	---	2.11	2.11	---	---
Employed (1=yes)	0.9	0.64	0.94	0.64	0.91	0.60
Weekend Diary (1=yes)	0.5	0.5	0.35	0.35	0.53	0.49
Fall Diary (1=yes)	0.31	0.32	0.25	0.25	0.24	0.24
Spring Diary (1=yes)	0.33	0.32	0.29	0.29	0.25	0.25
Winter Diary (1=yes)	0.07	0.08	0.23	0.23	0.25	0.25
Years of Schooling	---	---	---	---	14.56	14.45
Elementary Schooling (9 years) (1=yes)	---	---	0.25	0.13	---	---
Intermediate Schooling (10 years (DE) 12 (FI)) (1=yes)	0.46	0.46	0.3	0.44	---	---
Supper Schooling (13 years) (1=yes)	---	---	0.44	0.42	---	---
University diploma (DE) University degree (FI) (1=yes)	0.34	0.39	0.19	0.11	---	---
Hispanic (1=yes)	---	---	---	---	0.13	0.14
Asian (1=yes)	---	---	---	---	0.04	0.03
Black (1=yes)	---	---	---	---	0.06	0.04
Other Race/Ethnicity (1=yes)	---	---	---	---	0.01	0.01
German (1=yes)	---	---	0.98	0.98	---	---
East Germany (1=yes)	---	---	0.12	0.12	---	---
Cohabiting (1=yes)	---	---	---	---	0.05	0.05

Married (1=yes)	0.78	0.78	0.95	0.95	---	---
Spell Occurred 12am-6am (1=yes)	0.03	0.02	0.04	0.01	0.04	0.02
Spell Occurred 6am-12pm (1=yes)	0.25	0.27	0.28	0.31	0.28	0.29
Spell Occurred 12pm-6pm (1=yes)	0.37	0.38	0.32	0.37	0.37	0.4
Number of Respondents	329	363	890	890	2256	2583
Total Number of Spells	10070	14045	42869	56396	22805	34998

Sources: FTUS 1999-2000, GTUS 2001/02, ATUS 2003, not weighted data

NOTE: Omitted category for schooling in Finland is Compulsory Schooling, in Germany No Schooling. Omitted category for race/ethnicity is White/Non-Hispanic in the United States. Omitted category for spell time is 6pm-12am, and omitted category for season is diary was in spring in all countries.

**Table A2a. Marginal Effects Associated with the Logistic Regressions Used to Generate Propensity Scores: Finnish fathers (p values in parentheses)**

Independent Variables	Eating		Housework		Leisure		Television	
	Marginal Effect	P Value	Marginal Effect	P Value	Marginal Effect	P Value	Marginal Effect	P Value
Respondent's Age	0.059	0.024	0.076	0.020	0.064	0.021	0.078	0.005
Respondent's Age squared	-0.001	0.019	-0.001	0.011	-0.001	0.012	-0.001	0.005
Number of children age 0-6	0.091	0.000	0.043	0.061	0.052	0.040	-0.010	0.731
Number of children age 7-17	-0.031	0.116	-0.022	0.249	-0.027	0.169	-0.020	0.504
Respondent is employed (1=yes)	-0.003	0.953	0.010	0.858	0.022	0.666	-0.033	0.590
Weekend diary (1=yes)	0.124	0.000	0.055	0.015	0.064	0.012	0.028	0.301
Fall diary day (1=yes)	-0.024	0.571	-0.067	0.129	-0.063	0.123	-0.033	0.533
Spring diary day (1=yes)	-0.023	0.570	-0.063	0.116	-0.013	0.719	-0.107	0.033
Winter diary day (1=yes)	0.036	0.587	-0.069	0.297	0.134	0.066	0.049	0.503
Secondary education (1=yes)	-0.046	0.292	-0.029	0.505	0.022	0.606	-0.030	0.553
University degree (1=yes)	-0.009	0.849	0.016	0.735	0.085	0.058	-0.006	0.906
Spell occurred between 12am and 6am	-0.453	0.000	-0.319	0.000	-0.280	0.000	-0.349	0.000
Spell occurred between 6am and 12pm	-0.188	0.000	-0.018	0.479	-0.033	0.275	0.059	0.194
Spell occurred between 12pm and 6pm	-0.032	0.241	0.023	0.346	0.037	0.187	0.052	0.192
Married (1=yes)	0.045	0.289	-0.020	0.653	-0.002	0.970	-0.038	0.429

**Table A2b. Marginal Effects Associated with the Logistic Regressions Used to Generate Propensity Scores: Finnish mothers (p values in parentheses)**

Independent Variables	Eating		Housework		Leisure		Television	
	Marginal Effect	P Value	Marginal Effect	P Value	Marginal Effect	P Value	Marginal Effect	P Value
Respondent's Age	-0.011	0.618	-0.006	0.806	-0.007	0.796	0.004	0.900
Respondent's Age squared	0.000	0.781	0.000	0.933	0.000	0.907	0.000	0.758
Number of children age 0-6	0.104	0.000	0.085	0.001	0.075	0.004	0.056	0.056
Number of children age 7-17	0.007	0.647	-0.021	0.204	-0.040	0.018	0.003	0.870
Respondent is employed (1=yes)	-0.014	0.684	-0.019	0.561	0.024	0.481	-0.038	0.368
Weekend diary (1=yes)	0.036	0.102	-0.005	0.764	0.028	0.231	-0.034	0.189
Fall diary day (1=yes)	-0.024	0.528	0.010	0.780	-0.054	0.171	0.003	0.943
Spring diary day (1=yes)	-0.002	0.960	-0.013	0.735	-0.030	0.487	-0.020	0.676
Winter diary day (1=yes)	0.002	0.970	-0.025	0.700	-0.002	0.097	0.153	0.025
Secondary education (1=yes)	0.061	0.213	0.047	0.344	0.008	0.876	-0.028	0.607
University degree (1=yes)	0.034	0.499	0.086	0.089	0.002	0.965	0.001	0.985
Spell occurred between 12am and 6am	-0.530	0.000	-0.411	0.000	-0.308	0.000	-0.451	0.000
Spell occurred between 6am and 12pm	-0.094	0.000	0.014	0.489	0.006	0.816	0.163	0.000
Spell occurred between 12pm and 6pm	0.020	0.391	0.015	0.425	0.078	0.000	0.148	0.000
Married (1=yes)	0.049	0.226	0.059	0.112	0.024	0.544	0.056	0.236

**Table A2c. Marginal Effects Associated with the Logistic Regressions Used to Generate Propensity Scores: German fathers (p values in parentheses)**

Independent Variables	Eating		Housework		Leisure		Television	
	Marginal Effect	P Value	Marginal Effect	P Value	Marginal Effect	P Value	Marginal Effect	P Value
Respondent's Age	0.017	0.187	-0.010	0.340	0.001	0.909	0.025	0.105
Respondent's Age squared	0.000	0.147	0.000	0.631	0.000	0.592	0.000	0.092
Number of children age 0-17	-0.003	0.805	-0.003	0.623	-0.009	0.209	0.001	0.912
Respondent is employed (1=yes)	-0.091	0.010	0.008	0.737	-0.005	0.859	0.040	0.240
Weekend diary (1=yes)	0.210	0.000	0.049	0.777	0.160	0.000	0.064	0.000
Fall diary day (1=yes)	0.003	0.887	-0.051	0.001	-0.039	0.033	0.020	0.436
Spring diary day (1=yes)	0.003	0.889	-0.018	0.255	-0.047	0.010	0.003	0.899
Winter diary day (1=yes)	0.018	0.441	-0.033	0.029	-0.046	0.012	0.034	0.187
Elementary Schooling (1=yes)	-0.024	0.713	-0.004	0.932	-0.057	0.172	-0.002	0.970
Intermediate Schooling (1=yes)	-0.004	0.950	-0.008	0.855	-0.051	0.247	-0.039	0.489
Supper Schooling (1=yes)	0.046	0.469	0.006	0.892	-0.046	0.318	-0.046	0.425
University diploma (1=yes)	-0.003	0.902	0.011	0.499	-0.007	0.729	0.021	0.454
Spell occurred between 12am and 6am	-0.590	0.000	-0.182	0.000	-0.211	0.000	---	---
Spell occurred between 6am and 12pm	-0.166	0.000	0.080	0.000	-0.002	0.895	0.228	0.000
Spell occurred between 12pm and 6pm	-0.094	0.000	0.144	0.000	0.117	0.000	0.175	0.000
Married (1=yes)	-0.033	0.363	0.027	0.338	0.009	0.759	-0.076	0.130
German (1=yes)	0.012	0.779	0.059	0.086	0.020	0.923	0.006	0.918
East German (1=yes)	0.010	0.694	-0.018	0.349	-0.013	0.555	0.002	0.953

**Table A2d. Marginal Effects Associated with the Logistic Regressions Used to Generate Propensity Scores: German mothers (p values in parentheses)**

Independent Variables	Eating		Housework		Leisure		Television	
	Marginal Effect	P Value	Marginal Effect	P Value	Marginal Effect	P Value	Marginal Effect	P Value
Respondent's Age	0.004	0.811	-0.014	0.266	-0.045	0.003	-0.016	0.376
Respondent's Age squared	0.000	0.597	0.000	0.794	0.000	0.035	0.000	0.361
Number of children age 0-17	0.005	0.613	0.012	0.104	-0.002	0.786	-0.002	0.889
Respondent is employed (1=yes)	-0.042	0.004	-0.039	0.003	-0.050	0.001	-0.012	0.530
Weekend diary (1=yes)	0.072	0.000	0.002	0.783	0.105	0.000	0.031	0.034
Fall diary day (1=yes)	0.025	0.196	-0.025	0.152	-0.038	0.060	-0.001	0.985
Spring diary day (1=yes)	0.008	0.690	-0.002	0.896	-0.021	0.302	0.062	0.025
Winter diary day (1=yes)	0.038	0.060	0.006	0.734	-0.013	0.528	0.053	0.069
Elementary Schooling (1=yes)	0.133	0.100	0.046	0.623	0.079	0.269	0.102	0.371
Intermediate Schooling (1=yes)	0.174	0.006	0.075	0.397	0.067	0.307	0.098	0.322
Supper Schooling (1=yes)	0.183	0.003	0.096	0.282	0.078	0.236	0.104	0.312
University diploma (1=yes)	0.004	0.878	0.029	0.169	-0.004	0.856	-0.017	0.601
Spell occurred between 12am and 6am	-0.605	0.000	-0.204	0.000	-0.292	0.000	---	---
Spell occurred between 6am and 12pm	-0.088	0.000	0.110	0.000	0.054	0.001	0.353	0.000
Spell occurred between 12pm and 6pm	-0.023	0.068	0.165	0.000	0.188	0.000	0.296	0.000
Married (1=yes)	-0.011	0.700	0.010	0.772	-0.019	0.624	0.010	0.820
German (1=yes)	-0.045	0.439	-0.017	0.788	-0.062	0.495	-0.123	0.130
East German (1=yes)	-0.062	0.012	-0.062	0.001	-0.055	0.011	-0.044	0.170

**Table A2e. Marginal Effects Associated with the Logistic Regressions Used to Generate Propensity Scores: U.S. fathers (p values in parentheses)**

Independent Variables	Eating		Housework		Leisure		Television	
	Marginal Effect	P Value	Marginal Effect	P Value	Marginal Effect	P Value	Marginal Effect	P Value
Respondent's Age	-0.004	0.002	-0.001	0.522	-0.003	0.069	-0.003	0.077
% children who are female	-0.042	0.072	-0.006	0.814	-0.030	0.308	-0.091	0.001
Number of children < age 6	0.064	0.000	0.070	0.000	0.049	0.002	0.041	0.008
Number of children age 6-17	0.015	0.243	0.012	0.186	-0.002	0.854	0.026	0.017
Respondent is employed (1=yes)	-0.016	0.612	0.008	0.794	0.055	0.165	-0.034	0.333
Weekend diary (1=yes)	0.231	0.000	0.041	0.027	0.192	0.000	0.074	0.000
Fall diary day (1=yes)	0.006	0.822	-0.021	0.433	-0.062	0.041	-0.048	0.102
Spring diary day (1=yes)	0.037	0.137	0.000	0.997	-0.009	0.752	-0.030	0.318
Winter diary day (1=yes)	0.060	0.013	-0.007	0.771	0.009	0.774	0.031	0.277
Respondent's years of schooling	0.003	0.501	0.001	0.732	-0.004	0.458	-0.016	0.001
Hispanic (1=yes)	-0.060	0.038	0.018	0.581	0.043	0.270	0.057	0.058
Asian (1=yes)	-0.053	0.250	-0.003	0.943	0.037	0.485	0.074	0.207
Black (1=yes)	-0.103	0.017	-0.029	0.430	-0.076	0.111	-0.072	0.071
Other (1=yes)	-0.061	0.602	0.221	0.092	0.046	0.707	-0.074	0.392
Cohabiting (1=yes)	-0.160	0.002	-0.067	0.153	-0.046	0.348	-0.101	0.022
Spell occurred between 12am and 6am	-0.637	0.000	-0.209	0.000	-0.386	0.000	-0.347	0.000
Spell occurred between 6am and 12pm	-0.203	0.000	0.054	0.022	0.011	0.677	0.114	0.000
Spell occurred between 12pm and 6pm	-0.131	0.000	0.075	0.000	0.161	0.000	0.118	0.000



**Table A2f. Marginal Effects Associated with the Logistic Regressions Used to Generate Propensity Scores: U.S. mothers (p values in parentheses)**

Independent Variables	Eating		Housework		Leisure		Television	
	Marginal Effect	P Value	Marginal Effect	P Value	Marginal Effect	P Value	Marginal Effect	P Value
Respondent's Age	-0.005	0.000	-0.005	0.000	-0.007	0.000	-0.020	0.002
% children who are female	0.034	0.045	0.045	0.014	0.027	0.317	0.020	0.847
Number of children < age 6	0.067	0.000	0.082	0.000	0.064	0.000	0.209	0.000
Number of children age 6-17	0.013	0.900	0.004	0.603	0.001	0.955	-0.031	0.494
Respondent is employed (1=yes)	-0.048	0.000	-0.005	0.742	-0.021	0.312	-0.100	0.242
Weekend diary (1=yes)	0.111	0.000	-0.008	0.567	0.131	0.000	0.289	0.000
Fall diary day (1=yes)	-0.020	0.265	-0.001	0.960	-0.039	0.169	-0.046	0.684
Spring diary day (1=yes)	0.023	0.180	-0.007	0.717	0.003	0.907	-0.095	0.650
Winter diary day (1=yes)	0.011	0.552	0.015	0.426	-0.047	0.088	-0.050	0.137
Respondent's years of schooling	0.005	0.130	0.006	0.041	0.006	0.163	-0.028	0.012
Hispanic (1=yes)	0.013	0.511	-0.082	0.000	0.042	0.197	0.301	0.496
Asian (1=yes)	-0.017	0.628	-0.070	0.057	0.002	0.975	0.192	0.995
Black (1=yes)	-0.137	0.002	-0.017	0.639	-0.114	0.022	-0.001	0.379
Other (1=yes)	0.003	0.959	0.002	0.975	0.054	0.433	0.377	0.206
Cohabitating (1=yes)	-0.042	0.197	-0.014	0.651	-0.059	0.130	-0.210	0.000
Spell occurred between 12am and 6am	-0.674	0.000	-0.237	0.000	-0.388	0.000	-1.579	0.000
Spell occurred between 6am and 12pm	-0.089	0.000	0.125	0.000	0.055	0.018	0.764	0.000
Spell occurred between 12pm and 6pm	-0.011	0.468	0.128	0.000	0.229	0.000	0.724	0.081

## Appendix B

**Table B1. Matching results: P-values of T-tests for the differences in the covariates after matching; mothers in Finland, Germany, USA**

Independent Variables	Eating			Housework			Leisure			Television		
	Finland	Germany	USA	Finland	Germany	USA	Finland	Germany	USA	Finland	Germany	USA
Age	0.499	0.663	0.979	0.945	0.789	0.330	0.581	0.500	0.838	0.315	0.653	0.774
Age Squared	0.405	0.795	-	0.892	0.809	-	0.505	0.467	-	0.350	0.608	-
Number of children age 0-17	-	0.937	-	-	0.540	-	-	0.904	-	-	0.269	-

Österbacka, Merz, and Zick: Human Capital Investments in Children

24/41

Proportion Female Children in the Home	-	-	0.392	-	-	0.369	-	-	0.056	-	-	0.902
Number of children age 0-6	0.149	-	-	0.958	-	-	0.663	-	-	0.097	-	-
Number of children age 7-17	0.476	-	-	0.708	-	-	0.798	-	-	0.043	-	-
Number of Children < Age 6	-	-	0.033	-	-	0.484	-	-	0.438	-	-	0.550
Number of children age 7-17	-	-	0.339	-	-	0.118	-	-	0.523	-	-	0.489
Employed	0.101	0.022	0.414	0.466	0.119	0.421	0.020	0.774	0.950	0.920	0.086	0.946
Weekend Diary	0.702	0.306	0.374	0.707	0.753	0.321	0.883	0.062	0.949	0.616	0.634	0.411
Fall Diary	0.010	0.305	0.889	0.040	0.757	0.013	0.008	0.589	0.021	0.625	0.419	0.248
Spring Diary	0.547	0.089	0.805	0.749	0.391	0.349	0.085	0.756	0.465	0.027	0.414	0.385
Winter Diary	0.394	0.279	0.451	0.768	0.185	0.016	0.487	0.140	0.347	0.001	0.755	0.173
Years of Schooling	-	-	0.215	-	-	0.993	-	-	0.068	-	-	0.708
Elementary Schooling (9 years)	-	0.479	-	-	0.479	-	-	0.883	-	-	0.646	-
Intermediate Schooling (10 years (DE) 12 (FI))	0.444	0.958	-	0.830	0.742	-	0.535	0.635	-	0.840	0.571	-
Supper Schooling (13 years)	0.181	0.370	-	0.532	0.827	-	0.941	0.585	-	0.324	0.467	-
University diploma (DE) / degree (FI)	-	0.146	-	-	0.828	-	-	0.473	-	-	0.636	-
Hispanic	-	-	0.429	-	-	0.663	-	-	0.351	-	-	0.138
Asian	-	-	0.668	-	-	0.687	-	-	0.007	-	-	0.569
Black	-	-	1.000	-	-	0.005	-	-	0.528	-	-	0.324
Other Race/Ethnicity	-	-	0.734	-	-	0.026	-	-	0.036	-	-	0.653
Cohabiting	-	-	0.578	-	-	0.497	-	-	0.400	-	-	0.418
Spell Occurred 12am-6am	1.000	1.000	0.818	0.796	1.000	1.000	0.722	1.000	0.827	1.000	-	0.808
Spell Occurred 6am-12pm	0.136	0.175	0.000	0.931	0.415	0.006	0.036	0.011	0.029	0.023	0.928	0.572
Spell Occurred 12pm-6pm	0.832	0.229	0.016	0.311	0.394	0.225	0.606	0.321	0.599	0.750	0.911	0.510
Married	0.105	0.004	-	0.188	0.051	-	0.031	0.957	-	0.674	0.918	-
German	-	0.014	-	-	0.956	-	-	0.009	-	-	0.070	-
East Germany	-	0.097	-	-	0.287	-	-	0.044	-	-	0.565	-

H<sub>0</sub>: no differences of the matched logit covariate means of the treated and the control group.

**Table B2. Matching results: P-values of T-tests for the differences in the covariates after matching; fathers in Finland, Germany, USA**

Independent Variables	Eating			Housework			Leisure			Television		
	Finland	Germany	USA	Finland	Germany	USA	Finland	Germany	USA	Finland	Germany	USA
Age	0.798	0.192	0.969	0.086	0.969	0.896	0.494	0.566	0.992	0.513	0.555	0.582
Age Squared	0.827	0.274	-	0.138	0.982	-	0.461	0.485	-	0.614	0.572	-
Number of children age 0-17	-	0.716	-	-	0.920	-	-	0.611	-	-	0.892	-
Proportion Female Children in the Home	-	-	0.918	-	-	0.049	-	-	0.659	-	-	0.824
Number of children age 0-6	0.817	-	-	0.206	-	-	0.522	-	-	0.490	-	-
Number of children age 7-17	0.717	-	-	0.833	-	-	0.580	-	-	0.662	-	-
Number of Children < Age 6	-	-	0.005	-	-	0.643	-	-	0.894	-	-	0.825
Number of children age 7-17	-	-	0.151	-	-	0.986	-	-	0.505	-	-	0.577
Employed	0.533	0.030	0.767	0.093	0.526	0.838	0.222	0.878	0.207	0.621	1.000	0.427
Weekend Diary	0.195	0.555	0.053	0.962	0.500	0.386	0.467	0.636	0.290	0.766	0.925	0.105
Fall Diary	0.962	0.109	0.645	0.758	0.433	1.000	0.518	0.602	0.301	0.853	0.403	0.403
Spring Diary	0.117	0.789	0.766	0.439	0.829	0.240	0.378	0.645	0.050	0.802	0.324	0.344
Winter Diary	0.683	0.275	0.081	0.424	0.294	0.708	0.933	0.332	0.186	0.357	0.520	0.005
Years of Schooling	-	-	0.345	-	-	0.727	-	-	0.004	-	-	0.974
Elementary Schooling (9 years)	-	0.320	-	-	0.906	-	-	0.741	-	-	0.686	-
Intermediate Schooling (10 years (DE) 12 (FI))	0.505	0.806	-	0.632	0.658	-	0.739	0.759	-	0.907	0.917	-
Supper Schooling (13 years)	0.715	0.415	-	0.575	0.881	-	0.236	0.676	-	0.542	0.495	-
University diploma (DE) / degree (FI)	-	0.225	-	-	0.502	-	-	0.678	-	-	0.567	-
Hispanic	-	-	0.215	-	-	0.947	-	-	0.377	-	-	0.765
Asian	-	-	0.240	-	-	0.496	-	-	0.668	-	-	1.000
Black	-	-	0.017	-	-	0.717	-	-	0.854	-	-	0.699
Other Race/Ethnicity	-	-	0.886	-	-	0.547	-	-	0.237	-	-	0.694
Cohabiting	-	-	0.763	-	-	0.918	-	-	0.389	-	-	0.654
Spell Occurred 12am-6am	1.000	1.000	0.834	0.705	1.000	0.713	0.561	1.000	1.000	1.000	-	1.000
Spell Occurred 6am-12pm	0.091	0.209	0.532	0.753	0.938	0.801	0.305	0.085	0.719	0.145	0.179	0.959
Spell Occurred 12pm-6pm	0.349	0.736	0.593	0.260	0.876	0.186	0.886	0.359	0.139	0.049	0.457	0.661
Married	1.000	0.928	-	0.910	0.951	-	0.148	0.743	-	0.744	0.844	-
German	-	0.305	-	-	0.553	-	-	0.619	-	-	0.189	-
East Germany	-	0.231	-	-	0.736	-	-	0.089	-	-	0.733	-

H<sub>0</sub>: no differences of the matched logit covariate means of the treated and the control group.

<sup>1</sup> See Klevmarken (1999) for a discussion of the broad variety of direct and indirect human capital investments in children.

<sup>2</sup> We control for the possible endogeneity of fertility by restricting our analyses to those couples who have one or more children under age 10 in the home.

<sup>3</sup> We also used a second method to compare the outcome of the treated and control groups, namely a linear regression specification with all of the observations in the common support area (Gibson-Davis and Foster, 2006). The dependent variable is the duration of the spell of the activity  $(Y)$ . Independent variables in the regression are the respondent's propensity score  $(prob(X))$  and a dummy variable indicating whether or not a child under the age of 10 was present during the activity  $(D)$ .

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 prob(X_i) + \beta_3 D_i + \varepsilon_i$$

If the coefficient associated with the dummy variable  $(\beta_3)$  is statistically significant, then this is an indication that there are treatment effect differences. These results are close to the matching results, and to save space not shown here. However, the results are available upon request.

<sup>4</sup> Matching is done using the STATA psmatch2 procedure (Leuven and Sianesi, 2003).

<sup>5</sup> All mentioned differences are statistically significant, except that the fathers in the United States watch more TV than the fathers in Finland but the difference is not statistically significant. The t-tests are available upon request.

<sup>6</sup> All mentioned differences are statistically significant, except the difference between fathers' housework time in Finland and Germany are not statistically significant. Furthermore, fathers in Finland and the United States spend equally long amount of time eating with their children. The t-tests are available upon request.

<sup>7</sup> The t-tests are available upon request.

<sup>8</sup> The t-tests are available upon request.

<sup>9</sup> The means for the covariates as well as the marginal effects for the logistic regression are presented in the Appendix A.

<sup>10</sup> The common support graphs are available upon request.

<sup>11</sup> The results of the t-tests for the differences in the covariates before and after matching are presented in the Appendix B.

## References

- Angrist, Joshua D. and Jörn-Steffen Pischke. 2009. *Mostly Harmless Econometrics – An Empiricist’s Companion*. Princeton University Press. Princeton and Oxford.
- Becker, S. Gary and Nigel Tomes. 1986. Human Capital and the Rise and Fall of Families. *Journal of Labor Economics* 4(3):S1-S39.
- Bianchi, Suzanne M. 2000. Maternal Employment and Time with Children: Dramatic Change or Surprising Continuity? *Demography* 37(4):401-414.
- Black, Dan A. and J.A. Jeffery Smith. 2004. How Robust is the Evidence on the Effects of College Quality? Evidence from Matching. *Journal of Econometrics*, 121(1-2): 99-124.
- Bryant, W. Keith, and Cathleen D. Zick. 1996. Are We Investing Less in the Next Generation? Historical Trends in Time Spent Caring for Children. *Journal of Family and Economic Issues* 17(3/4):365-392.
- Buchel, Felix, and Greg J. Duncan. 1998. Do Parents' Social Activities Promote Children's School Attainments? Evidence from the German Socioeconomic Panel. *Journal of Marriage & Family* 60(1):95-108.
- Caliendo, Marco, and Sabine Kopeinig. 2008. Some Practical Guidance for the Implementation of Propensity Score Matching. *Journal of Economic Surveys*, 22(1): 31-72.
- Chalasani, Satvika 2007. The Changing Relationship between Parents’ Education and their Time with Children. *electronic International Journal of Time Use Research*, Vol. 4, No. 1, 93-117.
- Craig, Lyn. 2005. Cross-national comparison of the impact of children on adult time. *SPRC Discussion Paper No. 137*. Social Policy Research Centre.
- Crosnoe, Robert, and Jenny Trinitapoli. 2008. Shared Family Activities and the Transition From Childhood Into Adolescence. *Journal of Research on Adolescence* (Blackwell Publishing Limited) 18(1):23-48.
- Dubas, Judith Semon, and Jan R. M. Gerris. 2002. Longitudinal Changes in the Time Parents Spend in Activities With Their Adolescent Children as a Function of Child Age, Pubertal Status and Gender. *Journal of Family Psychology* 16(4):415-427.
- DuMouchel William, H, and Duncan Greg J. 1983. Using Sample Survey Weights in Multiple Regression Analyses of Stratified Samples. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*. 78(383):535-43.
- Eizenberg Marla E., Rachel E. Olson, Dianne Neumark-Sztainer, Mary Story, and Linda H. Bearinger. 2004. Correlations between Family Meals and Psychosocial Well-being among Adolescents. *Archives of Pediatrics and Adolescent Medicine*. 158: 792-796.
- Esping-Andersen, Gøsta. 1999. *Social Foundations of Postindustrial Economies*. Oxford University Press. Oxford.
- Gauthier, Anne H., Timothy M. Smeeding, and Frank F. Furstenberg Jr. 2004. Are Parents Investing Less Time in Children? Trends in Selected Industrialized Countries. *Population & Development Review* 30(4):647-671.
- Gibson-Davis, Christina M. and E. Michael Foster. 2006. A Cautionary Tale: Using Propensity Scores to Estimate the Effect of Food Stamps on Food Insecurity. *Social Science Review*, 93-126.
- Goodnow, J. Jacqueline, 1988, “Children's Household Work: Its Nature and Functions” *Psychological Bulletin*. 103(1):5-26.
- Heckman, James, Hidehiko Ichimura, Jeffery Smith, and Petra Todd. 1998. Characterizing Selection Bias Using Experimental Data. *Econometrica*. 66:1017-1098.

- Imbens, Guido W. 2004. Nonparametric Estimation of Average Treatment Effects under Exogeneity: A Review. *Review of Economics and Statistics*, 86(1): 4-29.
- Klevmarken, Anders. 1999. Microeconomic Analysis of Time Use Data: Did We Reach the Promised Land? in Merz, J. and M. Ehling (Eds.). *Time Use – Research, Data and Policy*. Publications of the Research Institute on Professions (Forschungsinstitut Freie Berufe, FFB) Vol. 10, NOMOS Publisher: 423-456
- Larson W. Reed, 2001. How U.S. Children and Adolescents Spend Time: What It Does (and Doesn't) Tell Us About Their Development. *Current Directions in Psychological Science* 10(5):160-164.
- Leuven, Edwin and Barbara Sianesi. 2003. PSMATCH2: Stata Module to Perform Full Mahalanobis and Propensity Score Matching, Common Support Graphing, and Covariate Imbalance Testing.
- Niemi, Iiris and Pääkkönen, Hannu. 2001. *Ajankäytön muutokset 1990-luvulla*. Helsinki: Tilastokeskus.
- Neumark-Sztainer, Diane, Peter J. Hannan, Mary Story, Jillian Croll, and Cheryl Perry. 2003. Family Meal Patterns: Associations with Sociodemographic Characteristics and Improved Dietary Intake among Adolescents. *Journal of the American Dietetic Association*. 103:317-322.
- Rosenbaum, Paul R. and Donald B. Rubin. 1983. The Central Role of the Propensity Score in Observational Studies for Causal Effects. *Biometrika*, 70(1): 41-55.
- Rosenbaum, Paul R. and Donald B. Rubin. 1984. Reducing Bias in Observational Studies Using Subclassification on the Propensity Score. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 79(387): 516.
- Sandberg, John F., and Sandra L. Hofferth. 2001. Changes in Children's Time with Parents: United States, 1981-1997. *Demography* 38(3):423-436.
- Sayer, Liana C., Anne H. Gauthier, and Frank F. Furstenberg. 2004. Educational Differences in Parents' Time with Children: Cross-national Variations. *Journal of Marriage & Family* 66(5):1152-1169.
- Smith, Jeffery A., & Todd, Petra E. 2005. Does Matching Overcome LaLonde's Critique of Nonexperimental Estimators? *Journal of Econometrics*, 125(1-2): 305-353.
- Spear, Bonnie A. 2006. The Need for Family Meals. *Journal of the American Dietetic Association*. 106(2): 218-219.
- Statistisches Bundesamt (Ed.) 2006, *Compass 2001/02 Time Use Survey: Publications, Links and Prints incl. Data Files and Research Facilities*, Federal Statistical Office Germany, Wiesbaden.
- Traveras Elise M., Sheryl L. Rifas-Shmin, Catherine S. Berkey, Helaine R.H. Rocket, Alison E. Field, A. Lindsay Frazier, Graham A. Colditz, and Matthew W. Gillman. 2005. Family Dinner and Adolescent Overweight. *Obesity Research*. 13:900-906.
- Zick, Cathleen D., W. Keith Bryant, and Eva Österbacka. 2001. Mother's Employment, Parental Involvement, and the Implications for Intermediate Child Outcomes. *Social Science Research* 30(1):25-49.
- Andreß, H.-J. und G. Lipsmeier (1995), Was gehört zum notwendigen Lebensstandard und wer kann ihn sich leisten? Ein neues Konzept der Armutsmessung, in: *Aus Politik und Zeitgeschehen*, B31-32, 35-49.

## 1 FFB-Jahresberichte

FFB-Jahresbericht 2007/08, FFB-Jahresbericht 2005/06, FFB-Jahresbericht 2003/04, FFB-Jahresbericht 2001/02, FFB-Jahresbericht 1999/00, FFB-Jahresbericht 1997/98, FFB-Jahresbericht 1996, FFB-Jahresbericht 1995, FFB-Jahresbericht 1994, FFB-Jahresbericht 1993, FFB-Jahresbericht 1992, FFB-Jahresbericht 1991, FFB: 10 Jahre Forschung und Lehre 1989-1999, FFB-Forschung und Lehre 1989-1998, FFB-Forschung und Lehre 1989-1997, FFB-Forschung und Lehre 1989-1996.

## 2 FFB-Bücher in der FFB-Schriftenreihe

Stolze, H., 2010, Das Nachfrageverhalten von Versicherten in der gesetzlichen Krankenversicherung, FFB-Schriften Nr. 18, 327 Seiten, ISBN 978-3-8329-5538-0, NOMOS Verlagsgesellschaft, Baden-Baden.

Preis: €59,-

Paic, P., 2009, Gründungsaktivität und Gründungserfolg von Freiberuflern - Eine empirische Mikroanalyse mit dem Sozio-ökonomischen Panel, FFB-Schriften Nr. 17, 381 Seiten, ISBN 978-3-8329-4112-3, NOMOS Verlagsgesellschaft, Baden-Baden.

Preis: €69,-

Merz, J. (Hrg.), 2008, Freie Berufe – Einkommen und Steuern (FB€St), FFB-Schriften Nr. 16, 301 Seiten, ISBN 978-3-8329-3210-7, NOMOS Verlagsgesellschaft, Baden-Baden.

Preis: €54,-

Ackermann, D., 2006, Erfolgsfaktoren für Existenzgründung und Existenzsicherung freiberuflich tätiger Ärzte, FFB-Schriften Nr. 15, 399 Seiten, ISBN 3-8329-2060-9, NOMOS Verlagsgesellschaft, Baden-Baden.

Preis: €69,-

Ehling, M. und J. Merz, 2003, Neue Technologien in der Umfrageforschung, FFB-Schriften Nr. 14, 181 Seiten, ISBN 3-7890-8241-4, NOMOS Verlagsgesellschaft, Baden-Baden.

Preis: €31,-

Merz, J., 2003, Freie Berufe im Wandel der Märkte, FFB-Schriften Nr. 13, 168 Seiten, ISBN 3-7890-8107-8, NOMOS Verlagsgesellschaft, Baden-Baden.

Preis: €29,-

Merz, J., 2001, Existenzgründung 2 – Erfolgsfaktoren und Rahmenbedingungen, FFB-Schriften Nr. 12, 232 Seiten, ISBN 3-7890-7462-4, NOMOS Verlagsgesellschaft, Baden-Baden.

Preis: €40,- / €71,- (i. Vb. mit Band 1)

Merz, J., 2001, Existenzgründung 1 – Tips, Training und Erfahrung, FFB-Schriften Nr. 11, 246 Seiten, ISBN 3-7890-7461-6, NOMOS Verlagsgesellschaft, Baden-Baden.

Preis: €40,- / €71,- (i. Vb. mit Band 2)

Merz, J. und M. Ehling, 1999, Time Use – Research, Data and Policy, FFB-Schriften Nr. 10, 571 Seiten, ISBN 3-7890-6244-8, NOMOS Verlagsgesellschaft, Baden-Baden.

Preis: €49,-

Herrmann, H. und J. Backhaus, 1998, Staatlich gebundene Freiberufe im Wandel, FFB-Schriften Nr. 9, 234 Seiten, ISBN 3-7890-5319-8, NOMOS Verlagsgesellschaft, Baden-Baden.

Preis: €34,-

Herrmann, H., 1996, Recht der Kammern und Verbände Freier Berufe, Europäischer Ländervergleich und USA, FFB-Schriften Nr. 8, 596 Seiten, ISBN 3-7890-4545-4, NOMOS Verlagsgesellschaft, Baden-Baden.

Preis: €56,-

Merz, J., Rauberger, T. K. und A. Rönnau, 1994, Freie Berufe in Rheinland-Pfalz und in der Bundesrepublik Deutschland – Struktur, Entwicklung und wirtschaftliche Bedeutung, FFB-Schriften Nr. 7, 948 Seiten, ISBN 3-927816-27-2, Lüneburg.

Preis: €95,-

Forschungsinstitut Freie Berufe (FFB) (Hrsg.), erstellt vom Zentrum zur Dokumentation für Naturheilverfahren e.V. (ZDN), 1992, 1993, Dokumentation der besonderen Therapierichtungen und natürlichen Heilweisen in Europa, Bd. I, 1. Halbband, 842 Seiten, Bd. I, 2. Halbband, 399 Seiten, Bd. II, 590 Seiten, Bd. III, 272 Seiten, Bd. IV, 419 Seiten, Bd. V, 1. Halbband, 706 Seiten, Bd. V, 2. Halbband, 620 Seiten, ISBN 3-88699-025-7, Lüneburg (nur zu beziehen über das Zentrum zur Dokumentation für Naturheilverfahren e.V. ZDN, Hufelandstraße 56, 45147 Essen, Tel.: 0201-74551).

Preis: €385,-

Sahner, H. und A. Rönnau, 1991, Freie Heilberufe und Gesundheitsberufe in Deutschland, FFB-Schriften Nr. 6, 653 Seiten, ISBN 3-927816-11-6, Lüneburg.

Preis: €58,-

Burmester, B., 1991, Ausbildungsvergleich von Sprachtherapeuten, FFB-Schriften Nr. 5, 54 Seiten, ISBN 3-927816-10-8, Lüneburg.

Preis: €9,-

- Sahner, H., 1991, Freie Berufe in der DDR und in den neuen Bundesländern, FFB-Schriften Nr. 4, 177 Seiten, ISBN 3-927816-09-4, Lüneburg. Preis: €25,-
- Trautwein, H.-M., Donner, H., Semler, V. und J. Richter, 1991, Zur tariflichen Berücksichtigung der Ausbildung, der Bereitstellung von Spitzenlastreserven und der Absicherung von Beschäftigungsrisiken bei Seelotsen, mit dem Anhang Steuerliche Aspekte des tariflichen Normaleinkommens der Seelotsen, FFB-Schriften Nr. 3, 183 Seiten, ISBN 3-927816-07-8, Lüneburg. Preis: €19,-
- Sahner, H. und F. Thiemann, 1990, Zukunft der Naturheilmittel in Europa in Gefahr? FFB-Schriften Nr. 2, 81 Seiten, ISBN 3-927816-06-X, Lüneburg. Preis: €6,-
- Sahner, H., Herrmann, H., Rönna, A. und H.-M. Trautwein, 1989, Zur Lage der Freien Berufe 1989, Teil III, FFB-Schriften Nr. 1, 167 Seiten, ISBN 3-927816-04-3, Lüneburg. Preis: €25,-
- Sahner, H., Herrmann, H., Rönna, A. und H.-M. Trautwein, 1989, Zur Lage der Freien Berufe 1989, Teil II, FFB-Schriften Nr. 1, 955 Seiten, ISBN 3-927816-02-7, Lüneburg. Preis: €20,- / €35,- (i. Vb. mit Teil I)
- Sahner, H., Herrmann, H., Rönna, A. und H.-M. Trautwein, 1989, Zur Lage der Freien Berufe 1989, Teil I, FFB-Schriften Nr. 1, 426 Seiten, ISBN 3-927816-01-9, Lüneburg. Preis: €20,- / €35,- (i. Vb. mit Teil II)

### 3 FFB-Bücher

- Merz, J. und R. Schulte (Hrg.), 2008, Neue Ansätze der MittelstandsForschung, Merz, J., Schulte, R. and J. Wagner (Series Eds.), Entrepreneurship, Professions, Small Business Economics, CREPS-Schriftenreihe Vol. 4, 418 Seiten, Lit Verlag, ISBN 978-3-8258-1148-8, Münster. Preis: €39,90
- Merz, J. (Hrg.), 2007, Fortschritte der MittelstandsForschung, Merz, J., Schulte, R. and J. Wagner (Series Eds.), Entrepreneurship, Professions, Small Business Economics, CREPS-Schriftenreihe Vol. 3, 520 Seiten, Lit Verlag, ISBN 3-8258-0265-3, Münster. Preis: €34,90
- Zwick, M., 2007, Alternative Modelle zur Ausgestaltung von Gemeindesteuern, Statistisches Bundesamt - Statistik und Wissenschaft - Band 8, 307 Seiten, ISBN 978-3-8246-0791-4, Preis: €24,80
- Zwick, M., J. Merz u.a., 2007, MITAX - Mikroanalysen und Steuerpolitik, Statistisches Bundesamt - Statistik und Wissenschaft - Band 7, 261 Seiten, ISBN 978-3-8246-0790-7, Preis: €24,80
- Schulte, R. (Hrg.), 2005, Ergebnisse der MittelstandsForschung, Merz, J., Schulte, R. and J. Wagner (Series Eds.), Entrepreneurship, Professions, Small Business Economics, CREPS-Schriftenreihe Vol. 2, 432 Seiten, Lit Verlag, ISBN 3-8258-8916-5, Münster. Preis: €34,90
- Merz, J., D. Hirschel und M. Zwick, 2005, Struktur und Verteilung hoher Einkommen - Mikroanalysen auf der Basis der Einkommensteuerstatistik, Lebenslagen in Deutschland, Der zweite Armuts- und Reichtumsbericht der Bundesregierung, Bundesministerium für Gesundheit und Soziale Sicherung, Berlin (<http://www.bmgs.bund.de/download/broschueren/A341.pdf>)
- Merz, J. und J. Wagner (Hrg.), 2004, Perspektiven der MittelstandsForschung – Ökonomische Analysen zu Selbständigkeit, Freien Berufen und KMU, Merz, J., Schulte, R. and J. Wagner (Series Eds.), Entrepreneurship, Professions, Small Business Economics, CREPS-Schriftenreihe Vol. 1, 520 Seiten, Lit Verlag, ISBN 3-8258-8179-2, Münster. Preis: €39,90
- Merz, J. und M. Zwick (Hrg.), 2004, MIKAS – Mikroanalysen und amtliche Statistik, Statistisches Bundesamt (Serie Editor), Statistik und Wissenschaft, Vol. 1, 318 Seiten, ISBN 3-8246-0725-5, Wiesbaden. Preis: €24,80
- Hirschel, D., 2004, Einkommensreichtum und seine Ursachen – Die Bestimmungsfaktoren hoher Arbeitseinkommen, Hochschulschriften Band 82, 416 Seiten, Metropolis-Verlag Marburg, ISBN 3-89518-441-1.
- Merz, J., 2001, Hohe Einkommen, ihre Struktur und Verteilung, Lebenslagen in Deutschland, Der erste Armuts- und Reichtumsbericht der Bundesregierung, Bundesministerium für Arbeit und Sozialordnung, 128 Seiten, Bonn, zu beziehen über: Bundesanzeiger Verlagsgesellschaft mbH, Südstraße 119, 53175 Bonn.
- Ehling, M. und J. Merz u. a., 2001, Zeitbudget in Deutschland – Erfahrungsberichte der Wissenschaft, Band 17 der Schriftenreihe Spektrum Bundesstatistik, 248 Seiten, Metzler-Poeschel Verlag, ISBN 3-8246-0645-3, Stuttgart. Preis: €16,-



- Krickhahn, T., 1995, Die Verbände des wirtschaftlichen Mittelstands in Deutschland, 351 Seiten, DUV Deutscher Universitäts Verlag, ISBN 3-8244-0245-9, Wiesbaden. Preis: €62,-
- Spahn, P. B., Galler, H. P., Kaiser, H., Kassella, T. und J. Merz, 1992, Mikrosimulation in der Steuerpolitik, 279 Seiten, Springer Verlag, ISBN 3-7908-0611-0, Berlin. Preis: €45,-

#### 4 FFB-Reprints

- Merz, J., Kumulation von Mikrodaten – Konzeptionelle Grundlagen und ein Vorschlag zur Realisierung,; in: Allgemeines Statistisches Archiv, Issue 88, S. 451-472, 2004 FFB-Reprint Nr. 35
- Merz, J., Einkommens-Reichtum in Deutschland - Mikroanalytische Ergebnisse der Einkommensteuerstatistik für Selbständige und abhängig Beschäftigte, in: Perspektiven der Wirtschaftspolitik, Vol. 5, Issue 2, S. 105-126, 2004 FFB-Reprint Nr. 34
- Merz, J., Vorgrimler, D. und M. Zwick, Faktisch anonymisiertes Mikrodatenfile der Lohn- und Einkommensteuerstatistik 1998, in: Wirtschaft und Statistik, Issue 10, S. 1079-1091, 2004 FFB-Reprint Nr. 33
- Ackermann, D., Merz, J. and H. Stolze, Erfolg und Erfolgsfaktoren freiberuflich tätiger Ärzte – Ergebnisse der FFB-Ärzteumfrage für Niedersachsen, in: Merz, J. and J. Wagner (Eds.), Perspektiven der MittelstandsForschung – Ökonomische Analysen zu Selbständigkeit, Freien Berufen und KMU, Merz, J., Schulte, R. and J. Wagner (Series Eds.), Entrepreneurship, Professions, Small Business Economics, CREPS-Schriftenreihe Vol. 1, Lit Verlag, Münster, pp. 165-190, 2004 FFB-Reprint Nr. 32
- Hirschel, D. und J. Merz, Was erklärt hohe Arbeitseinkommen Selbständiger – Eine Mikroanalyse mit Daten des Sozioökonomischen Panels, in: Merz, J. and J. Wagner (Eds.), Perspektiven der MittelstandsForschung – Ökonomische Analysen zu Selbständigkeit, Freien Berufen und KMU, Merz, J., Schulte, R. and J. Wagner (Series Eds.), Entrepreneurship, Professions, Small Business Economics, CREPS-Schriftenreihe Vol. 1, Lit Verlag, Münster, pp. 265-285, 2004 FFB-Reprint Nr. 31
- Burgert, D. und J. Merz, Wer arbeitet wann? – Arbeitszeitarrangements von Selbständigen und abhängig Beschäftigten: Eine mikroökonomische Analyse deutscher Zeitbudgetdaten, in: Merz, J. and J. Wagner (Eds.), Perspektiven der MittelstandsForschung – Ökonomische Analysen zu Selbständigkeit, Freien Berufen und KMU, Merz, J., Schulte, R. and J. Wagner (Series Eds.), Entrepreneurship, Professions, Small Business Economics, CREPS-Schriftenreihe Vol. 1, Lit Verlag, Münster, pp. 303-330, 2004 FFB-Reprint Nr. 30
- Merz, J. und M. Zwick, Hohe Einkommen – Eine Verteilungsanalyse für Freie Berufe, Unternehmer und abhängige Beschäftigte, in: Merz, J. and M. Zwick (Eds.), MIKAS – Mikroanalysen und amtliche Statistik, Statistik und Wissenschaft, Vol. 1, pp. 167-193, 2004 FFB-Reprint Nr. 29
- Merz, J., Schatz, C. and K. Kortmann, Mikrosimulation mit Verwaltungs- und Befragungsdaten am Beispiel ‚Altersvorsorge in Deutschland 1996‘ (AVID ,96), in: Merz, J. und M. Zwick (Hg.), MIKAS – Mikroanalysen und amtliche Statistik, Statistik und Wissenschaft, Vol. 1, S. 231-248, 2004 FFB-Reprint Nr. 28
- Merz, J., Was fehlt in der EVS ? – Eine Verteilungsanalyse hoher Einkommen mit der verknüpften Einkommensteuerstatistik für Selbständige und abhängig Beschäftigte, in: Jahrbücher für Nationalökonomie und Statistik, Vol. 223/1, p. 58-90, 2003 FFB-Reprint Nr. 27
- Merz, J. und M. Zwick, Verteilungswirkungen der Steuerreform 2000/2005 im Vergleich zum ‚Karlsruher Entwurf‘ – Auswirkungen auf die Einkommensverteilung bei Selbständigen (Freie Berufe, Unternehmer) und abhängig Beschäftigte, in: Wirtschaft und Statistik, 8/2002, p. 729-740, 2002 FFB-Reprint Nr. 26
- Merz, J. und M. Zwick, Verteilungswirkungen der Steuerreform 2000/2005 im Vergleich zum ‚Karlsruher Entwurf‘ – Auswirkungen auf die Einkommensverteilung bei Selbständigen (Freie Berufe, Unternehmer) und abhängig Beschäftigte, in: Wirtschaft und Statistik, 8/2002, S. 729-740, 2002, FFB-Reprint Nr. 25, Fachbereich Wirtschafts- und Sozialwissenschaften, Universität Lüneburg, Lüneburg.FFB-Reprint Nr. 25
- Merz, J., 2002, Time and Economic Well-Being – A Panel Analysis of Desired versus Actual Working Hours, in: Review of Income and Wealth, Series 48, No. 3, p. 317-346, FFB-Reprint Nr. 24, Department of Economics and Social Sciences, University of Lüneburg, Lüneburg. FFB-Reprint Nr. 24

- Schatz, Ch., Kortmann, K. und J. Merz, 2002, Künftige Alterseinkommen - Eine Mikrosimulationsstudie zur Entwicklung der Renten und Altersvorsorge in Deutschland (AVID'96), in: Schmollers Jahrbuch, Journal of Applied Social Sciences, Zeitschrift für Wirtschafts- und Sozialwissenschaften, 122. Jahrgang, Heft 2, S. 227-260, FFB-Reprint Nr. 23, Fachbereich Wirtschafts- und Sozialwissenschaften, Universität Lüneburg, Lüneburg. FFB-Reprint Nr. 23
- Merz, J. und M. Zwick, 2001, Über die Analyse hoher Einkommen mit der Einkommensteuerstatistik – Eine methodische Erläuterung zum Gutachten „Hohe Einkommen, ihre Struktur und Verteilung“ zum ersten Armuts- und Reichtumsbericht der Bundesregierung, in: Wirtschaft und Statistik 7/2001, S. 513-523, FFB-Reprint Nr. 22, Fachbereich Wirtschafts- und Sozialwissenschaften, Universität Lüneburg, Lüneburg. FFB-Reprint Nr. 22
- Merz, J., 2001, Was fehlt in der EVS? Eine Verknüpfung mit der Einkommensteuerstatistik für die Verteilungsanalyse hoher Einkommen, in: Becker, I., Ott, N. und G. Rolf (Hrsg.), Soziale Sicherung in einer dynamischen Gesellschaft, S. 278-300, Campus Verlag, Frankfurt/New York, FFB-Reprint Nr. 21, Fachbereich Wirtschafts- und Sozialwissenschaften, Universität Lüneburg, Lüneburg. FFB-Reprint Nr. 21
- Merz, J., 2001, 10 Jahre Forschungsinstitut Freie Berufe (FFB) der Universität Lüneburg – Forschungsschwerpunkte und Perspektiven, in: Bundesverband der Freien Berufe (Hrsg.), Jahrbuch 2000/2001, der freie beruf, S. 158-174, Bonn, FFB-Reprint Nr. 20, Fachbereich Wirtschafts- und Sozialwissenschaften, Universität Lüneburg, Lüneburg. FFB-Reprint Nr. 20
- Merz, J., 2000, The Distribution of Income of Self-employed, Entrepreneurs and Professions as Revealed from Micro Income Tax Statistics in Germany, in: Hauser, R. and I. Becker (eds.), The Personal Distribution of Income in an International Perspective, S. 99-128, Springer Verlag, Heidelberg, FFB-Reprint Nr.19, Department of Economics and Social Sciences, University of Lüneburg, Lüneburg. FFB-Reprint Nr. 19
- Merz, J., 1998, Privatisierung, Deregulierung und staatlich gebundene Freie Berufe – Einige ökonomische Aspekte, in: Herrmann, H. und J. Backhaus (Hrsg.), Staatlich gebundene Freiberufe im Wandel, S. 67-114, FFB-Schriften Nr. 9, NOMOS Verlagsgesellschaft, Baden-Baden, FFB-Reprint Nr. 18, Fachbereich Wirtschafts- und Sozialwissenschaften, Universität Lüneburg, Lüneburg. FFB-Reprint Nr. 18
- Merz, J., 1997, Die Freien Berufe – Laudatio zur Verleihung der Ehrendoktorwürde des Fachbereiches Wirtschafts- und Sozialwissenschaften der Universität Lüneburg an Prof. J. F. Volrad Deneke, in: Bundesverband der Freien Berufe (Hrsg.), Jahrbuch 1997, der freie beruf, S. 133-151, Bonn, FFB-Reprint Nr. 17, Fachbereich Wirtschafts- und Sozialwissenschaften, Universität Lüneburg, Lüneburg. FFB-Reprint Nr. 17
- de Kam, C. A., de Haan, J., Giles, C., Manresa, A., Berenguer, E., Calonge, S., Merz, J. and K. Venkatarama, 1996, Who pays the taxes? The Distribution of Effective Tax Burdens in Four EU Countries, in: ec Tax Review, p. 175-188, FFB-Reprint No. 16, Department of Economics and Social Sciences, University of Lüneburg, Lüneburg. FFB-Reprint Nr. 16
- Merz, J., 1996, MICSIM – A PC Microsimulation Model for Research and Teaching: A Brief View on its' Concept and Program Developments, SoftStat '95 – Advances in Statistical Software 5, in: Faulbaum, F. and W. Bandilla (eds.), Stuttgart, p. 433-442, FFB-Reprint No. 15, Department of Economics and Social Sciences, University of Lüneburg, Lüneburg. FFB-Reprint Nr. 15
- Burkhauser, R. V., Smeeding, T. M. and J. Merz, 1996, Relative Inequality and Poverty in Germany and the United States using Alternative Equivalence Scales, in: Review of Income and Wealth, Series 42, No. 4, p. 381-400, FFB-Reprint No. 14, Department of Economics and Social Sciences, University of Lüneburg, Lüneburg. FFB-Reprint Nr. 14
- Merz, J. 1996, Schattenwirtschaft und Arbeitsplatzbeschaffung, in: Sadowski, D. und K. Pull (Hrsg.), Vorschläge jenseits der Lohnpolitik, S. 266-294, Frankfurt/New York, FFB-Reprint Nr. 13, Fachbereich Wirtschafts- und Sozialwissenschaften, Universität Lüneburg, Lüneburg. FFB-Reprint Nr. 13
- Merz, J., 1996, MICSIM – Concept, Developments and Applications of a PC Microsimulation Model for Research and Teaching, in: Troitzsch, K. G., Mueller, U. Gilbert, G. N. and J. E. Doran (eds.), Social Science Microsimulation, p. 33-65, Berlin/New York, FFB-Reprint No. 12, Department of Economics and Social Sciences, University of Lüneburg, Lüneburg. FFB-Reprint Nr. 12

- Merz, J., 1996, Market and Non-Market Labour Supply and the Impact of the Recent German Tax Reform – Incorporating Behavioural Response, in: Harding, A. (ed.), *Microsimulation and Public Policy*, p. 177-202, Amsterdam/Tokyo, FFB-Reprint No. 11, Department of Economics and Social Sciences, University of Lüneburg, Lüneburg. FFB-Reprint Nr. 11
- Merz, J. und R. Lang, 1996, Alles eine Frage der Zeit!? – Bericht über ein FFB-Forschungsprojekt zum Thema 'Zeitverwendung in Erwerbstätigkeit und Haushaltsproduktion – Dynamische Mikroanalysen mit Paneldaten', in: *Uni Lüneburg – 50 Jahre Hochschule in Lüneburg, Jubiläums-Sonderausgabe, Heft 19/Mai 1996*, S. 51-55, FFB-Reprint Nr. 10, Fachbereich Wirtschafts- und Sozialwissenschaften, Universität Lüneburg, Lüneburg. FFB-Reprint Nr. 10
- Merz, J. und D. Kirsten, 1996, Freie Berufe im Mikrozensus – Struktur, Einkommen und Einkommensverteilung in den neuen und alten Bundesländern, in: *BFB Bundesverband der Freien Berufe (Hrsg.), Jahrbuch 1996*, S. 40-79, Bonn, FFB-Reprint Nr. 9, Fachbereich Wirtschafts- und Sozialwissenschaften, Universität Lüneburg, Lüneburg. FFB-Reprint Nr. 9
- Deneke, J. F. V., 1995, Freie Berufe – Gestern, Heute, Morgen, in: *BFB Bundesverband der Freien Berufe (Hrsg.), Jahrbuch 1995*, S. 57-72, Bonn, FFB-Reprint Nr. 8, Fachbereich Wirtschafts- und Sozialwissenschaften, Universität Lüneburg, Lüneburg. FFB-Reprint Nr. 8
- Merz, J. and J. Faik, 1995, Equivalence Scales Based on Revealed Preference Consumption Expenditures – The Case of Germany, in: *Jahrbücher für Nationalökonomie und Statistik*, Vol. 214, No. 4, p. 425-447, Stuttgart, FFB-Reprint No. 7, Department of Economics and Social Sciences, University of Lüneburg, Lüneburg. FFB-Reprint Nr. 7
- Merz, J., 1993, Statistik und Freie Berufe im Rahmen einer empirischen Wirtschafts- und Sozialforschung, in: *BFB Bundesverband der Freien Berufe (Hrsg.), Jahrbuch 1993*, S. 31-78, Bonn, FFB-Reprint Nr. 6, Fachbereich Wirtschafts- und Sozialwissenschaften, Universität Lüneburg, Lüneburg. FFB-Reprint Nr. 6
- Merz, J., 1993, Wandel in den Freien Berufen – Zum Forschungsbeitrag des Forschungsinstituts Freie Berufe (FFB) der Universität Lüneburg, in: *BFB Bundesverband der Freien Berufe (Hrsg.), Jahrbuch 1993*, S. 164-173, Bonn, FFB-Reprint Nr. 5, Fachbereich Wirtschafts- und Sozialwissenschaften, Universität Lüneburg, Lüneburg. FFB-Reprint Nr. 5
- Merz, J. and K. G. Wolff, 1993, The Shadow Economy: Illicit Work and Household Production: A Microanalysis of West Germany, in: *Review of Income and Wealth*, Vol. 39, No. 2, p. 177-194, FFB-Reprint No. 4, Department of Economics and Social Sciences, University of Lüneburg, Lüneburg. FFB-Reprint Nr. 4
- Trautwein, H.-M. und A. Rönna, 1993, Self-Regulation of the Medical Profession in Germany: A Survey, in: *Faure, M., Finsinger, J., Siegers, J. und R. van den Bergh (eds.), Regulation of Profession*, p. 249-305, ISBN 90-6215-334-8, MAKLU, Antwerpen, FFB-Reprint No. 3, Department of Economics and Social Sciences, University of Lüneburg, Lüneburg. FFB-Reprint Nr. 3
- Herrmann, H., 1993, Regulation of Attorneys in Germany: Legal Framework and Actual Tendencies of Deregulation, in: *Faure, M., Finsinger, J., Siegers, J. und R. van den Bergh (eds.), Regulation of Profession*, p. 225-245, ISBN 90-6215-334-8, MAKLU, Antwerpen, FFB-Reprint No. 2, Department of Economics and Social Sciences, University of Lüneburg, Lüneburg. FFB-Reprint Nr. 2
- Merz, J., 1991, Microsimulation – A Survey of Principles, Developments and Applications, in: *International Journal of Forecasting* 7, p. 77-104, ISBN 0169-2070-91, North-Holland, Amsterdam, FFB-Reprint Nr. 1, Department of Economics and Social Sciences, University of Lüneburg, Lüneburg. FFB-Reprint Nr. 1

## 5 FFB-Diskussionspapiere, ISSN 0942-2595

- Merz, J., Rathjen, T. 2010, Sind Selbständige zeit- und einkommensarm? Eine Mikroanalyse der Dynamik interdependenter multidimensionaler Armut mit dem Sozio-ökonomischen Panel und den deutschen Zeitbudgeterhebungen, FFB-Diskussionspapier Nr. 82, Fakultät II, Wirtschafts-, Verhaltens- und Rechtswissenschaften, Leuphana Universität Lüneburg, Lüneburg. FFB-DP Nr. 82
- Rucha, R., 2010, Effekte einer freiwilligen Mitgliedschaft in Berufsverbänden auf das Einkommen - Eine Analyse der Einkommensdynamik bei Freiberuflern in Deutschland, FFB-Diskussionspapier Nr. 81,

- Fakultät II, Wirtschafts-, Verhaltens- und Rechtswissenschaften, Leuphana Universität Lüneburg, Lüneburg. FFB-DP Nr. 81
- Hanglberger, D., 2010, Arbeitszufriedenheit und flexible Arbeitszeiten – Empirische Analyse mit Daten des Sozio-oekonomischen Panels, FFB-Diskussionspapier Nr. 80, Fakultät II, Wirtschafts-, Verhaltens- und Rechtswissenschaften, Leuphana Universität Lüneburg, Lüneburg. FFB-DP Nr. 80
- Merz, J., Rathjen, T., 2009, Time and Income Poverty – An Interdependent Multidimensional Poverty Approach with German Time Use Diary Data, FFB-Discussionpaper No. 79, Fakultät II, Wirtschafts-, Verhaltens- und Rechtswissenschaften, Leuphana Universität Lüneburg, Lüneburg. FFB-DP Nr. 79
- Merz, J., 2009, Time Use and Time Budgets – Improvements, Future Challenges and Recommendations, FFB-Discussionpaper, No. 78, Fakultät II, Wirtschafts-, Verhaltens- und Rechtswissenschaften, Leuphana Universität Lüneburg, Lüneburg. FFB-DP Nr. 78
- Merz, J., Hanglberger, D., Rucha, R., 2009, The Timing of Daily Demand for Goods and Services – Multivariate Probit Estimates and Microsimulation Results for an Aged Population with German Time Use Diary Data, FFB-Discussionpaper No. 77, Fakultät II, Wirtschafts-, Verhaltens- und Rechtswissenschaften, Leuphana Universität Lüneburg, Lüneburg. FFB-DP Nr. 77
- Merz, J., 2009, Zeitverwendungsforschung und Mediennutzung, FFB-Diskussionspapier Nr. 75, Fakultät II, Wirtschafts-, Verhaltens- und Rechtswissenschaften, Universität Lüneburg, Lüneburg. FFB-DP Nr. 76
- Böhm, P. und J. Merz, 2008, Reichtum in Niedersachsen und anderen Bundesländern – Ergebnisse aus der Steuer-Geschäftsstatistik 2003 für Selbständige (Freie Berufe und Unternehmer) und abhängig Beschäftigte, FFB-Diskussionspapier Nr. 75, Fakultät II, Wirtschafts-, Verhaltens- und Rechtswissenschaften, Universität Lüneburg, Lüneburg. FFB-DP Nr. 75
- Merz, J. und M. Zwick, 2008, Einkommens- und Verteilungsanalysen mit dem Taxpayer-Panel – Neue Möglichkeiten und erste Ergebnisse für Selbständige als Freiberufler und Unternehmer und abhängig Beschäftigte sowie für hohe Einkommen, FFB-Diskussionspapier Nr. 74, Fakultät II, Wirtschafts-, Verhaltens- und Rechtswissenschaften, Universität Lüneburg, Lüneburg. FFB-DP Nr. 74
- Böhm, P. und J. Merz, 2008, Zum Einkommensreichtum Älterer in Deutschland – Neue Reichtumskennzahlen und Ergebnisse aus der Lohn- und Einkommenssteuerstatistik (FAST 2001), FFB-Diskussionspapier Nr. 73, Fakultät II, Wirtschafts-, Verhaltens- und Rechtswissenschaften, Universität Lüneburg, Lüneburg. FFB-DP Nr. 73
- Herrmann, H., 2007, Freie Berufe – Europäische Entwicklungen, FFB-Diskussionspapier Nr. 72, Fakultät II, Wirtschafts-, Verhaltens- und Rechtswissenschaften, Universität Lüneburg, Lüneburg. FFB-DP Nr. 72
- Deneke, J.F.V., 2007, Freie Berufe – Herausforderungen an die Wissenschaft, FFB-Diskussionspapier Nr. 71, Fakultät II, Wirtschafts-, Verhaltens- und Rechtswissenschaften, Universität Lüneburg, Lüneburg. FFB-DP Nr. 71
- Merz, J., Böhm, P., Hanglberger, D., Stolze, H. und R. Rucha, 2007, Wann werden Serviceleistungen nachgefragt? Eine Wirkungsanalyse anhand des neuen FFB-Mikrosimulationsmodells ServSim, FFB-Diskussionspapier Nr. 70, Fakultät II, Wirtschafts-, Verhaltens- und Rechtswissenschaften, Universität Lüneburg, Lüneburg. FFB-DP Nr. 70
- Stolze H., 2007, Die Nachfrage nach Gesundheitsleistungen - Ergebnisse der FFB-Patientenumfrage, FFB-Diskussionspapier Nr. 69, Fakultät II, Wirtschafts-, Verhaltens- und Rechtswissenschaften, Universität Lüneburg, Lüneburg. FFB-DP Nr. 69
- Burgert, D., 2006, Betriebliche Weiterbildung und Verbleib Älterer im Betrieb, FFB-Diskussionspapier Nr. 68, Fakultät II, Wirtschafts-, Verhaltens- und Rechtswissenschaften, Universität Lüneburg, Lüneburg. FFB-DP Nr. 68
- Merz, J., 2006, Polarisierung der Einkommen von Selbständigen? – Zur Dynamik der Einkommensverteilung von Freiberuflern und Unternehmern, FFB-Diskussionspapier Nr. 67, Fakultät II, Wirtschafts-, Verhaltens- und Rechtswissenschaften, Universität Lüneburg, Lüneburg. FFB-DP Nr. 67

- Paic, P., 2006, Informationelle Zugänge für die empirische Untersuchung freiberuflicher Existenzgründungen, FFB-Diskussionspapier Nr. 66, Fakultät II, Wirtschafts-, Verhaltens- und Rechtswissenschaften, Universität Lüneburg, Lüneburg. FFB-DP Nr. 66
- Merz, J. und M. Zwick, 2006, Einkommensanalysen mit Steuerdaten – Mikroanalysen zu hohen Einkommen und Selbständigkeit und Mikrosimulation zu Politikalternativen der Einkommen-, Körperschaft- und Gewerbesteuer, FFB-Diskussionspapier Nr. 65, Fakultät II, Wirtschafts-, Verhaltens- und Rechtswissenschaften, Universität Lüneburg, Lüneburg. FFB-DP Nr. 65
- Merz, J., Stolze, H. und M. Zwick, 2006, Wirkungen alternativer Steuerreformmodelle auf die Einkommensverteilung von Freien und anderen Berufen, FFB-Diskussionspapier Nr. 64, Fakultät II, Wirtschafts-, Verhaltens- und Rechtswissenschaften, Universität Lüneburg, Lüneburg. FFB-DP Nr. 64
- Zwick, M., 2006, Gemeindefinanzreform und Freie Berufe, FFB-Diskussionspapier Nr. 63, Fakultät II, Wirtschafts-, Verhaltens- und Rechtswissenschaften, Universität Lüneburg, Lüneburg. FFB-DP Nr. 63
- Burgert, D., 2006, Kündigungsschutz – Ein Hindernis für das Beschäftigungswachstum? FFB-Diskussionspapier Nr. 62, Fakultät II, Wirtschafts-, Verhaltens- und Rechtswissenschaften, Universität Lüneburg, Lüneburg. FFB-DP Nr. 62
- Paic, P., 2006, Existenzgründung und Einkommen Freier Berufe – Ergebnisse aus dem Sozio-ökonomischen Panel und der FFB-Onlineumfrage Freie Berufe, FFB-Diskussionspapier Nr. 61, Fakultät II, Wirtschafts-, Verhaltens- und Rechtswissenschaften, Universität Lüneburg, Lüneburg. FFB-DP Nr. 61
- Merz, J. und P. Böhm, 2006, Arbeitszeit und Einkommen – Neue Ergebnisse aus der deutschen Zeitbudgeterhebung, FFB-Diskussionspapier Nr. 60, Fakultät II, Wirtschafts-, Verhaltens- und Rechtswissenschaften, Universität Lüneburg, Lüneburg. FFB-DP Nr. 60
- Merz, J., 2006, Hohe und niedrige Einkommen – Neue Ergebnisse zu Freien und anderen Berufen aus dem Armuts- und Reichtumsbericht der Bundesregierung, FFB-Diskussionspapier Nr. 59, Fakultät II, Wirtschafts-, Verhaltens- und Rechtswissenschaften, Universität Lüneburg, Lüneburg. FFB-DP Nr. 59
- Merz, J., Daniel Vorgrimler und Markus Zwick, 2006, De facto Anonymised Microdata File on Income Tax Statistics 1998, FFB-Diskussionspapier Nr. 58, Fakultät II, Wirtschafts-, Verhaltens- und Rechtswissenschaften, Universität Lüneburg, Lüneburg. FFB-DP Nr. 58
- Merz, J. and L. Osberg, 2006, Keeping in Touch – A Benefit of Public Holidays. FFB-Diskussionspapier Nr. 57, Fakultät II, Wirtschafts-, Verhaltens- und Rechtswissenschaften, Universität Lüneburg, Lüneburg. FFB-DP Nr. 57
- Merz, J. und P. Paic, 2006, Start-up success of freelancers – New microeconomic evidence from the German Socio-Economic Panel. FFB-Diskussionspapier Nr. 56, Fakultät II, Wirtschafts-, Verhaltens- und Rechtswissenschaften, Universität Lüneburg, Lüneburg. FFB-DP Nr. 56
- Merz, J. und P. Paic, 2006, Erfolgsfaktoren freiberuflicher Existenzgründung – Neue mikroökonomische Ergebnisse mit Daten des Sozio-ökonomischen Panels, FFB-Diskussionspapier Nr. 55, Fakultät II, Wirtschafts-, Verhaltens- und Rechtswissenschaften, Universität Lüneburg, Lüneburg. FFB-DP Nr. 55
- Merz, J. und H., Stolze, 2006, Representative Time Use Data and Calibration of the American Time Use Studies 1965-1999, FFB-Diskussionspapier Nr. 54, Fakultät II, Wirtschafts-, Verhaltens- und Rechtswissenschaften, Universität Lüneburg, Lüneburg. FFB-DP Nr. 54
- Paic, P. und H. Brand, 2005, Die Freien Berufe im Sozio-ökonomischen Panel - Systematische Berichtigung der kritischen Wechsel innerhalb der Selbständigengruppe, FFB-Diskussionspapier Nr. 53, Fachbereich Wirtschafts- und Sozialwissenschaften, Universität Lüneburg, Lüneburg. FFB-DP Nr. 53
- Merz, J. und P. Paic, 2005, Zum Einkommen der Freien Berufe – Eine Ordered Probit-Analyse ihrer Determinanten auf Basis der FFB-Onlineumfrage, FFB-Diskussionspapier Nr. 52, Fachbereich Wirtschafts- und Sozialwissenschaften, Universität Lüneburg, Lüneburg. FFB-DP Nr. 52
- Burgert, D., 2005, Schwellenwerte im deutschen Kündigungsschutzrecht – Ein Beschäftigungshindernis für kleine Unternehmen?, FFB-Diskussionspapier Nr. 51, Fachbereich Wirtschafts- und Sozialwissenschaften, Universität Lüneburg, Lüneburg. FFB-DP Nr. 51

- Merz, J., Böhm, P. und D. Burgert, 2005, Arbeitszeitarrangements und Einkommensverteilung – Ein Treatment Effects Ansatz der Einkommensschätzung für Unternehmer, Freiberufler und abhängig Beschäftigte, FFB-Diskussionspapier Nr. 50, Fachbereich Wirtschafts- und Sozialwissenschaften, Universität Lüneburg, Lüneburg. FFB-DP Nr. 50
- Burgert, D., 2005, The Impact of German Job Protection Legislation on Job Creation in Small Establishments – An Application of the Regression Discontinuity Design, FFB-Diskussionspapier Nr. 49, Fachbereich Wirtschafts- und Sozialwissenschaften, Universität Lüneburg, Lüneburg. FFB-DP Nr. 49
- Merz, J. und D. Burgert, 2005, Arbeitszeitarrangements – Neue Ergebnisse aus der nationalen Zeitbudgeterhebung 2001/02 im Zeitvergleich, FFB-Diskussionspapier Nr. 48, Fachbereich Wirtschafts- und Sozialwissenschaften, Universität Lüneburg, Lüneburg. FFB-DP Nr. 48
- Merz, J., Böhm, P. und D. Burgert, 2005, Timing, Fragmentation of Work and Income Inequality – An Earnings Treatment Effects Approach, FFB-Diskussionspapier Nr. 47, Fachbereich Wirtschafts- und Sozialwissenschaften, Universität Lüneburg, Lüneburg. FFB-DP Nr. 47
- Merz, J. und P. Paic, 2004, Existenzgründungen von Freiberuflern und Unternehmer – Eine Mikroanalyse mit dem Sozio-ökonomischen Panel FFB-Diskussionspapier Nr. 46, Fachbereich Wirtschafts- und Sozialwissenschaften, Universität Lüneburg, Lüneburg. FFB-DP Nr. 46
- Merz, J. und D. Burgert, 2004, Wer arbeitet wann? Arbeitszeitarrangements von Selbständigen und abhängig Beschäftigten – Eine mikroökonomische Analyse deutscher Zeitbudgetdaten, FFB-Diskussionspapier Nr. 45, Fachbereich Wirtschafts- und Sozialwissenschaften, Universität Lüneburg, Lüneburg. FFB-DP Nr. 45
- Hirschel, D. und J. Merz, 2004, Was erklärt hohe Arbeitseinkommen der Selbständigen – Eine Mikroanalyse mit Daten des Sozio-ökonomischen Panels, FFB-Diskussionspapier Nr. 44, Fachbereich Wirtschafts- und Sozialwissenschaften, Universität Lüneburg, Lüneburg. FFB-DP Nr. 44
- Ackermann, D., Merz, J. und H. Stolze, 2004, Erfolg und Erfolgsfaktoren freiberuflich tätiger Ärzte – Ergebnisse der FFB-Ärztebefragung für Niedersachsen, FFB-Diskussionspapier Nr. 43, Fachbereich Wirtschafts- und Sozialwissenschaften, Universität Lüneburg, Lüneburg. FFB-DP Nr. 43
- Hirschel, D. 2003, Do high incomes reflect individual performance? The determinants of high incomes in Germany, FFB-Diskussionspapier Nr. 42, Department of Economics and Social Sciences, University of Lüneburg, Lüneburg. FFB-DP Nr. 42
- Merz, J., and D. Burgert, 2003, Working Hour Arrangements and Working Hours – A Microeconomic Analysis Based on German Time Diary Data, FFB-Diskussionspapier Nr. 41, Department of Economics and Social Sciences, University of Lüneburg, Lüneburg. FFB-DP Nr. 41
- Merz, J. und M. Zwick, 2002, Hohe Einkommen: Eine Verteilungsanalyse für Freie Berufe, Unternehmer und abhängig Beschäftigte, Eine Mikroanalyse auf der Basis der Einkommensteuerstatistik, FFB-Diskussionspapier Nr. 40, Fachbereich Wirtschafts- und Sozialwissenschaften, Universität Lüneburg, Lüneburg. FFB-DP Nr. 40
- Merz, J. and D. Hirschel, 2003, The distribution and re-distribution of income of self-employed as freelancers and entrepreneurs in Europe, FFB-Diskussionspapier Nr. 39, Department of Economics and Social Sciences, University of Lüneburg, Lüneburg. FFB-DP Nr. 39
- Stolze, H. 2002, Datenbankbankgestützte Internetpräsenzen – Entwicklung und Realisation am Beispiel der Homepage des Forschungsinstituts Freie Berufe (FFB) der Universität Lüneburg <http://ffb.uni-lueneburg.de>, FFB-Diskussionspapier Nr. 38, Fachbereich Wirtschafts- und Sozialwissenschaften, Universität Lüneburg, Lüneburg. FFB-DP Nr. 38
- Merz, J., 2002, Zur Kumulation von Haushaltsstichproben, FFB-Diskussionspapier Nr. 37, Fachbereich Wirtschafts- und Sozialwissenschaften, Universität Lüneburg, Lüneburg. FFB-DP Nr. 37
- Merz, J., 2002, Reichtum in Deutschland: Hohe Einkommen, ihre Struktur und Verteilung – Eine Mikroanalyse mit der Einkommensteuerstatistik für Selbständige und abhängig Beschäftigte, FFB-Diskussionspapier Nr. 36, Fachbereich Wirtschafts- und Sozialwissenschaften, Universität Lüneburg, Lüneburg. FFB-DP Nr. 36

- Merz, J. und M. Zwick, 2002, Verteilungswirkungen der Steuerreform 2000/2005 im Vergleich zum ,Karlsruher Entwurf Auswirkungen auf die Einkommensverteilung bei Selbständigen (Freie Berufe, Unternehmer und abhängig Beschäftigte), FFB-Diskussionspapier Nr. 35, Fachbereich Wirtschafts- und Sozialwissenschaften, Universität Lüneburg, Lüneburg. FFB-DP Nr. 35
- Merz, J., Stolze, H. und M. Zwick, 2002, Professions, entrepreneurs, employees and the new German tax (cut) reform 2000 – A MICSIM microsimulation analysis of distributional impacts, FFB-Diskussionspapier Nr. 34, Department of Economics and Social Sciences, University of Lüneburg, Lüneburg. FFB-DP Nr. 34
- Forschungsinstitut Freie Berufe, 2002, Freie Berufe im Wandel der Märkte - 10 Jahre Forschungsinstitut Freie Berufe (FFB) der Universität Lüneburg, Empfang am 4. November 1999 im Rathaus zu Lüneburg, FFB-Diskussionspapier Nr. 33, Fachbereich Wirtschafts- und Sozialwissenschaften, Universität Lüneburg, Lüneburg. FFB-DP Nr. 33
- Merz, J., 2002, Time Use Research and Time Use Data – Actual Topics and New Frontiers, FFB-Discussion Paper No. 32, Department of Economics and Social Sciences, University of Lüneburg, Lüneburg. FFB-DP Nr. 32
- Merz, J., 2001, Freie Berufe im Wandel der Arbeitsmärkte, FFB-Diskussionspapier Nr. 31, Fachbereich Wirtschafts- und Sozialwissenschaften, Universität Lüneburg, Lüneburg. FFB-DP Nr. 31
- Merz, J., 2001, Was fehlt in der EVS? Eine Verteilungsanalyse hoher Einkommen mit der verknüpften Einkommensteuerstatistik für Selbständige und abhängig Beschäftigte, FFB-Diskussionspapier Nr. 30, Fachbereich Wirtschafts- und Sozialwissenschaften, Universität Lüneburg, Lüneburg. FFB-DP Nr. 30
- Merz, J., 2001, Informationsfeld Zeitverwendung – Expertise für die Kommission zur Verbesserung der informationellen Infrastruktur zwischen Wissenschaft und Statistik, FFB-Diskussionspapier Nr. 29, Fachbereich Wirtschafts- und Sozialwissenschaften, Universität Lüneburg, Lüneburg. FFB-DP Nr. 29
- Schatz, C. und J. Merz, 2000, Die Rentenreform in der Diskussion – Ein Mikrosimulationsmodell für die Altersvorsorge in Deutschland (AVID-PTO), FFB-Diskussionspapier Nr. 28, Fachbereich Wirtschafts- und Sozialwissenschaften, Universität Lüneburg, Lüneburg. FFB-DP Nr. 28
- Merz, J., 2000, The Distribution of Income of Self-employed, Entrepreneurs and Professions as Revealed from Micro Income Tax Statistics in Germany, FFB-Discussion Paper No. 27, Department of Economics and Social Sciences, University of Lüneburg, Lüneburg. FFB-DP Nr. 27
- Merz, J., Loest, O. und A. Simon, 1999, Existenzgründung – Wie werde ich selbständig, wie werde ich Freiberufler? Ein Leitfaden, FFB-Diskussionspapier Nr. 26, Fachbereich Wirtschafts- und Sozialwissenschaften, Universität Lüneburg, Lüneburg. FFB-DP Nr. 26
- Merz, J. und D. Kirsten, 1998, Extended Income Inequality and Poverty Dynamics of Labour Market and Valued Household Activities – A Ten Years Panelanalysis for Professions, Entrepreneurs and Employees in Germany, FFB-Discussion Paper No. 25, Department of Economics and Social Sciences, University of Lüneburg, Lüneburg. FFB-DP Nr. 25
- Merz, J., Quiel, T. und K. Venkatarama, 1998, Wer bezahlt die Steuern? – Eine Untersuchung der Steuerbelastung und der Einkommenssituation für Freie und andere Berufe, FFB-Diskussionspapier Nr. 24, Fachbereich Wirtschafts- und Sozialwissenschaften, Universität Lüneburg, Lüneburg. FFB-DP Nr. 24
- Merz, J. und R. Lang, 1997, Preferred vs. Actual Working Hours – A Ten Paneleconometric Analysis for Professions, Entrepreneurs and Employees in Germany, FFB-Discussion Paper No. 23, Department of Economics and Social Sciences, University of Lüneburg, Lüneburg. FFB-DP Nr. 23
- Merz, J., 1997, Privatisierung und Deregulierung und Freie und staatlich gebundene Freie Berufe – Einige ökonomische Aspekte, FFB-Diskussionspapier Nr. 22, Fachbereich Wirtschafts- und Sozialwissenschaften, Universität Lüneburg, Lüneburg. FFB-DP Nr. 22
- de Kam, C. A., de Haan, J., Giles, C., Manresa, A., Berenguer, E., Calonge, S., Merz, J. and K. Venkatarama, 1996, The Distribution of Effective Tax Burdens in Four EU Countries, FFB-Discussion Paper No. 21, Department of Economics and Social Sciences, University of Lüneburg, Lüneburg. FFB-DP Nr. 21

- Deneke, J. F. V., 1996, Freie Berufe und Mittelstand – Festrede zur Verleihung der Ehrendoktorwürde, FFB-Diskussionspapier Nr. 20, Fachbereich Wirtschafts- und Sozialwissenschaften, Universität Lüneburg, Lüneburg. FFB-DP Nr. 20
- Merz, J., 1996, Die Freien Berufe – Laudatio zur Verleihung der Ehrendoktorwürde des Fachbereiches Wirtschafts- und Sozialwissenschaften der Universität Lüneburg an Prof. J. F. Volrad Deneke, FFB-Diskussionspapier Nr. 19, Fachbereich Wirtschafts- und Sozialwissenschaften, Universität Lüneburg, Lüneburg. FFB-DP Nr. 19
- de Kam, C. A., de Haan, J., Giles, C., Manresa, A., Berenguer, E., Calonge, S. and J. Merz, 1996, Who pays the taxes?, FFB-Discussion Paper No. 18, Department of Economics and Social Sciences, University of Lüneburg, Lüneburg. FFB-DP Nr. 18
- Merz, J., 1996, Schattenwirtschaft und ihre Bedeutung für den Arbeitsmarkt, FFB-Diskussionspapier Nr. 17, Fachbereich Wirtschafts- und Sozialwissenschaften, Universität Lüneburg, Lüneburg. FFB-DP Nr. 17
- Merz, J. und D. Kirsten, 1995, Freie Berufe im Mikrozensus II – Einkommen und Einkommensverteilung anhand der ersten Ergebnisse für die neuen und alten Bundesländer 1991, FFB-Diskussionspapier Nr. 16, Fachbereich Wirtschafts- und Sozialwissenschaften, Universität Lüneburg, Lüneburg. FFB-DP Nr. 16
- Merz, J. und D. Kirsten, 1995, Freie Berufe im Mikrozensus I – Struktur und quantitative Bedeutung anhand der ersten Ergebnisse für die neuen und alten Bundesländer 1991, FFB-Diskussionspapier Nr. 15, Fachbereich Wirtschafts- und Sozialwissenschaften, Universität Lüneburg, Lüneburg. FFB-DP Nr. 15
- Merz, J., 1995, MICSIM – Concept, Developments and Applications of a PC-Microsimulation Model for Research and Teaching, FFB-Discussion Paper No. 14, Department of Economics and Social Sciences, University of Lüneburg, Lüneburg. FFB-DP Nr. 14
- Rönnau, A., 1995, Freie Berufe in der DDR, der Bundesrepublik Deutschland und im wiedervereinten Deutschland: Auswertungen von Berufstätigenerhebung und Arbeitsstättenzählung, FFB-Diskussionspapier Nr. 13, Fachbereich Wirtschafts- und Sozialwissenschaften, Universität Lüneburg, Lüneburg. FFB-DP Nr. 13
- Burkhauser, R. V., Smeeding, T. M. and J. Merz, 1994, Relative Inequality and Poverty in Germany and the United States Using Alternative Equivalence Scales, FFB-Discussion Paper No. 12, Department of Economics and Social Sciences, University of Lüneburg, Lüneburg. FFB-DP Nr. 12
- Widmaier, U., Niggemann, H. and J. Merz, 1994, What makes the Difference between Unsuccessful and Successful Firms in the German Mechanical Engineering Industry? A Microsimulation Approach Using Data from the NIFA-Panel, FFB-Discussion Paper No. 11, Department of Economics and Social Sciences, University of Lüneburg, Lüneburg. FFB-DP Nr. 11
- Merz, J., 1994, Microdata Adjustment by the Minimum Information Loss Principle, FFB-Discussion Paper No. 10, Department of Economics and Social Sciences, University of Lüneburg, Lüneburg. FFB-DP Nr. 10
- Merz, J., 1994, Microsimulation – A Survey of Methods and Applications for Analyzing Economic and Social Policy, FFB-Discussion Paper No. 9, Department of Economics and Social Sciences, University of Lüneburg, Lüneburg. FFB-DP Nr. 9
- Merz, J., Garner, T., Smeeding, T. M., Faik, J. and D. Johnson, 1994, Two Scales, One Methodology – Expenditure Based Equivalence Scales for the United States and Germany, FFB-Discussion Paper No. 8, Department of Economics and Social Sciences, University of Lüneburg, Lüneburg. FFB-DP Nr. 8
- Krickhahn, T., 1993, Lobbyismus und Mittelstand: Zur Identifikation der Interessenverbände des Mittelstands in der Bundesrepublik Deutschland, FFB-Diskussionspapier Nr. 7, Fachbereich Wirtschafts- und Sozialwissenschaften, Universität Lüneburg, Lüneburg. FFB-DP Nr. 7
- Merz, J., 1993, Market and Non-Market Labor Supply and Recent German Tax Reform Impacts – Behavioral Response in a Combined Dynamic and Static Microsimulation Model, FFB-Discussion Paper No. 6, Department of Economics and Social Sciences, University of Lüneburg, Lüneburg. FFB-DP Nr. 6
- Merz, J., 1993, Microsimulation as an Instrument to Evaluate Economic and Social Programmes, FFB-Discussion Paper No. 5, Department of Economics and Social Sciences, University of Lüneburg, Lüneburg. FFB-DP Nr. 5



- Merz, J., 1993, Statistik und Freie Berufe im Rahmen einer empirischen Wirtschafts- und Sozialforschung, Antrittsvorlesung im Fachbereich Wirtschafts- und Sozialwissenschaften der Universität Lüneburg, FFB-Diskussionspapier Nr. 4, Fachbereich Wirtschafts- und Sozialwissenschaften, Universität Lüneburg, Lüneburg. FFB-DP Nr. 4
- Merz, J. and J. Faik, 1992, Equivalence Scales Based on Revealed Preference Consumption Expenditure Microdata – The Case of West Germany, FFB-Discussion Paper No. 3, Department of Economics and Social Sciences, University of Lüneburg, Lüneburg. FFB-DP Nr. 3
- Merz, J., 1992, Time Use Dynamics in Paid Work and Household Activities of Married Women – A Panel Analysis with Household Information and Regional Labour Demand, FFB-Discussion Paper No. 2, Department of Economics and Social Sciences, University of Lüneburg, Lüneburg. FFB-DP Nr. 2
- Forschungsinstitut Freie Berufe, 1992, Festliche Einweihung des Forschungsinstituts Freie Berufe am 16. Dezember 1991 im Rathaus zu Lüneburg, FFB-Diskussionspapier Nr. 1, Fachbereich Wirtschafts- und Sozialwissenschaften, Universität Lüneburg, Lüneburg. FFB-DP Nr. 1

## 6 FFB-Dokumentationen, ISSN 1615-0376

- Merz, J., Hillebrecht, H., Rucha, R., Schlüter, H. und H. Stolze, 2006, e-learning für das Fach Statistik – Ein Pilotprojekt an der Universität Lüneburg, FFB-Dokumentation Nr. 14, Fachbereich Wirtschafts- und Sozialwissenschaften, Universität Lüneburg, Lüneburg. FFB-Dok. Nr. 14
- Merz, J. und H. Stolze, 2006, Adjust for Windows Version 1.1 – A Software Package to Achieve Representative Microdata by the Minimum Information Loss Principle, Program Manual, FFB-Dokumentation Nr. 13, Fakultät Wirtschafts-, Verhaltens- und Rechtswissenschaften, Universität Lüneburg, Lüneburg. FFB-Dok. Nr. 13
- Merz, J. und P. Paic, 2005, Die FFB-Onlineumfrage Freie Berufe – Beschreibung und Hochrechnung, FFB-Dokumentation Nr. 12, Fakultät Wirtschafts-, Verhaltens- und Rechtswissenschaften, Universität Lüneburg, Lüneburg. FFB-Dok. Nr. 12
- Stolze, H., 2004, Der FFB-Server mit Microsoft Windows Server 2003, FFB-Dokumentation Nr. 11, Fachbereich Wirtschafts- und Sozialwissenschaften, Universität Lüneburg, Lüneburg. FFB-Dok. Nr. 11
- Stolze, H., 2004, Zur Repräsentativität der FFB-Ärzteumfrage – Neue Hochrechnungen für Niedersachsen, Nordrhein und Deutschland, FFB-Dokumentation Nr. 10, Fachbereich Wirtschafts- und Sozialwissenschaften, Universität Lüneburg, Lüneburg. FFB-Dok. Nr. 10
- Merz, J., Stolze, H. und S. Imme, 2001, ADJUST FOR WINDOWS – A Program Package to Adjust Microdata by the Minimum Information Loss Principle, Program-Manual, FFB-Dokumentation No. 9, Department of Economics and Social Sciences, University of Lüneburg, Lüneburg. FFB-Dok. Nr. 9
- Merz, J., Fink, F., Plönnigs, F. und T. Seewald, 1999, Forschungsnetz Zeitverwendung – Research Network on Time Use (RNTU), FFB-Dokumentation Nr. 8, Fachbereich Wirtschafts- und Sozialwissenschaften, Universität Lüneburg, Lüneburg. FFB-Dok. Nr. 8
- Merz, J., 1997, Zeitverwendung in Erwerbstätigkeit und Haushaltsproduktion – Dynamische Mikroanalysen mit Paneldaten, DFG-Endbericht, FFB-Dokumentation Nr. 7, Fachbereich Wirtschafts- und Sozialwissenschaften, Universität Lüneburg, Lüneburg. FFB-Dok. Nr. 7
- Merz, J. und F. Plönnigs, 1997, DISTRI/MICSIM – A Softwaretool for Microsimulation Models and Analyses of Distribution, FFB-Dokumentation No. 6, Department of Economics and Social Sciences, University of Lüneburg, Lüneburg. FFB-Dok. Nr. 6
- Merz, J. und R. Lang, 1997, Neue Hochrechnung der Freien Berufe und Selbständigen im Sozio-ökonomischen Panel, FFB-Dokumentation Nr. 5, Fachbereich Wirtschafts- und Sozialwissenschaften, Universität Lüneburg, Lüneburg. FFB-Dok. Nr. 5
- Merz, J. und F. Plönnigs, 1995, Forschungsinstitut Freie Berufe – Datenschutz und Datensicherung, FFB-Dokumentation Nr. 4, Fachbereich Wirtschafts- und Sozialwissenschaften, Universität Lüneburg, Lüneburg. FFB-Dok. Nr. 4

Merz, J., Hecker, M., Matusall, V. und H. Wiese, 1994, Forschungsinstitut Freie Berufe – EDV-Handbuch, FFB-Dokumentation Nr. 3, Fachbereich Wirtschafts- und Sozialwissenschaften, Universität Lüneburg, Lüneburg. FFB-Dok. Nr. 3

Merz, J., 1993, Zeitverwendung in Erwerbstätigkeit und Haushaltsproduktion – Dynamische Mikroanalysen mit Paneldaten, DFG-Zwischenbericht für die erste Phase (1992-1993), FFB-Dokumentation Nr. 2, Fachbereich Wirtschafts- und Sozialwissenschaften, Universität Lüneburg, Lüneburg. FFB-Dok. Nr. 2

Merz, J., 1993, ADJUST – Ein Programmpaket zur Hochrechnung von Mikrodaten nach dem Prinzip des minimalen Informationsverlustes, Programm-Handbuch, FFB-Dokumentation Nr. 1, Fachbereich Wirtschafts- und Sozialwissenschaften, Universität Lüneburg, Lüneburg. FFB-Dok. Nr. 1

Available also in English as:

Merz, J., 1994, ADJUST – A Program Package to Adjust Microdata by the Minimum Information Loss Principle, Program-Manual, FFB-Dokumentation No. 1e, Department of Economics and Social Sciences, University of Lüneburg, Lüneburg. FFB-Dok. Nr. 1e

## 7 Sonstige Arbeitsberichte, ISSN 0175-7275

Matusall, V., Kremers, H. und G. Behling, 1992, Umweltdatenbanken – vom Konzept zum Schema, Arbeitsbericht Nr. 112, Universität Lüneburg, Lüneburg. Mikrosimulation in der Steuerpolitik, 279 Seiten, Springer Verlag, ISBN 3-7908-0611-0, Berlin. Preis: €6,-

Rönnau, A., 1989, Freie Berufe in Niedersachsen – Numerische und wirtschaftliche Entwicklung; Bedeutung als Arbeitgeber, Arbeitsbericht Nr. 60, Universität Lüneburg, Lüneburg. Mikrosimulation in der Steuerpolitik, 279 Seiten, Springer Verlag Berlin, ISBN 3-7908-0611-0, Berlin. Preis: €6,-

Sahner, H., 1989, Freie Berufe im Wandel, Arbeitsbericht Nr. 59, Universität Lüneburg, Lüneburg. Mikrosimulation in der Steuerpolitik, 279 Seiten, Springer Verlag, ISBN 3-7908-0611-0, Berlin. Preis: €6,-

Sahner, H., 1988, Die Interessenverbände in der Bundesrepublik Deutschland – Ein Klassifikationssystem zu ihrer Erfassung, Arbeitsbericht Nr. 41, Universität Lüneburg, Lüneburg. Mikrosimulation in der Steuerpolitik, 279 Seiten, Springer Verlag, ISBN 3-7908-0611-0, Berlin. Preis: €6,-

## 8 Artikel in wissenschaftlichen Zeitschriften und Büchern

Die jeweiligen Publikationen sind online oder über die Verlage erhältlich.

FFB-Jahresberichte und FFB-Reprints werden auf Anfrage kostenlos zugesandt.

FFB-Diskussionspapiere und FFB-Dokumentationen können über unsere FFB-Homepage kostenlos heruntergeladen werden.

Die FFB-Bücher können sowohl bei uns als auch beim NOMOS Verlag bestellt werden. Sonstige Arbeitsberichte und Sonstige Bücher sind über den jeweiligen Autor zu beziehen.

<http://ffb.uni-lueneburg.de>

<sup>i</sup> See Klevmarken (1999) for a discussion of the broad variety of direct and indirect human capital investments in children.

<sup>ii</sup> We control for the possible endogeneity of fertility by restricting our analyses to those couples who have one or more children under age 10 in the home.

<sup>iii</sup> We also used a second method to compare the outcome of the treated and control groups, namely a linear regression specification with all of the observations in the common support area (Gibson-Davis and Foster, 2006). The dependent variable is the duration of the spell of the activity ( $Y$ ). Independent variables in the regression are the respondent's propensity score ( $prob(X)$ ) and a dummy variable indicating whether or not a child under the age of 10 was present during the activity ( $D$ ).

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 prob(X_i) + \beta_3 D_i + \varepsilon_i$$

If the coefficient associated with the dummy variable  $(\beta_3)$  is statistically significant, then this is an indication that there are treatment effect differences. These results are close to the matching results, and to save space not shown here. However, the results are available upon request.

<sup>iv</sup> Matching is done using the STATA psmatch2 procedure (Leuven and Sianesi, 2003).

<sup>v</sup> All mentioned differences are statistically significant, except that the fathers in the United States watch more TV than the fathers in Finland but the difference is not statistically significant. The t-tests are available upon request.

<sup>vi</sup> All mentioned differences are statistically significant, except the difference between fathers' housework time in Finland and Germany are not statistically significant. Furthermore, fathers in Finland and the United States spend equally long amount of time eating with their children. The t-tests are available upon request.

<sup>vii</sup> The t-tests are available upon request.

<sup>viii</sup> The t-tests are available upon request.

<sup>ix</sup> The means for the covariates as well as the marginal effects for the logistic regression are presented in the Appendix A.

<sup>x</sup> The common support graphs are available upon request.

<sup>xi</sup> The results of the t-tests for the differences in the covariates before and after matching are presented in the Appendix B.