



Are low-productive exporters marginal exporters? Evidence from Germany

Wagner, Joachim

Publication date:
2013

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link to publication](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Wagner, J. (2013). *Are low-productive exporters marginal exporters? Evidence from Germany*. (University of Lüneburg Working Paper Series in Economics; No. 263). Institut für Volkswirtschaftslehre der Universität Lüneburg.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal ?

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

**Are low-productive exporters marginal exporters?
Evidence from Germany**

by
Joachim Wagner

University of Lüneburg
Working Paper Series in Economics

No. 263

February 2013

www.leuphana.de/institute/ivwl/publikationen/working-papers.html

ISSN 1860 - 5508

Are low-productive exporters marginal exporters? Evidence from Germany*

Joachim Wagner

Leuphana University Lueneburg and CESIS, Stockholm

[This version: January 30, 2013]

Abstract:

A stylized fact from the emerging literature on the micro-econometrics of international trade and a central implication of the heterogeneous firm models from the new new trade theory is that exporters are more productive than non-exporters. It is argued that this exporter-productivity premium is due to extra cost of exporting that can be covered profitably by more productive firms only. Germany is a case in point - exporting firms from manufacturing industries are more productive than non-exporting firms from the same 4-digit industry both on average and over the whole productivity distribution. However, many firms from the lower end of this distribution are exporters. This paper reports that these low-productivity exporters are not marginal exporters defined according to the share of exports in total sales, or export participation over time, or the number of goods exported, or the number of countries exported to.

Keywords: Exports, productivity, low-productive exporters

JEL Classification: F14

* All computations were done at the Research Data Centres of the Federal Statistical Office in Wiesbaden and of the Statistical Office of Berlin-Brandenburg in Berlin. The firm-level data used are strictly confidential but not exclusive; see <http://www.forschungsdatenzentrum.de/datenzugang.asp> for information on how to access the data.

Prof. Dr. Joachim Wagner
Leuphana University Lueneburg
Institute of Economics
PO Box 2440
D-21314 Lueneburg, Germany

e-mail: wagner@leuphana.de
www: <http://www.leuphana.de/joachim-wagner.html>

1. Motivation

Ever since Bernard and Jensen (1995) started the literature on what is now labelled the *Micro-econometrics of International Firm Activities* some twenty years ago empirical studies that compare exporting and non-exporting firms report that exporters are more productive than non-exporters of the same size and from the same narrowly defined industry. This positive exporter productivity premium has been found in hundreds of studies for countries from all over the world, and it is considered as a stylized fact today (see the surveys by Greenaway and Kneller (2007), Bernard et al. (2012) and Wagner (2007a, 2012a)). Germany, one of the leading actors on the world market for goods and services, is a case in point (see Bernard and Wagner (1997) for manufacturing firms from one federal state, Lower Saxony, and Wagner (2007b) for German manufacturing as a whole).

The empirical finding of a positive exporter productivity premium motivated Melitz (2003) to develop a dynamic industry model with heterogeneous firms in which a firm that exports has to have a productivity value that lies beyond some threshold, while firms with a lower productivity serve the home market only (and the least productive firms exit the market). The reason for this productivity threshold that divides exporters from non-exporters is that exporters have to cover extra-costs to serve a foreign market (including cost for finding foreign customers, transportation costs, distribution or marketing costs, costs for personnel with skill to manage foreign networks, or costs to modify products for foreign customers), and only the more productive firms can cover these export-related costs while still being profitable.

The Melitz (2003) model has become the workhorse model of a large and growing theoretical literature labeled the *New New Trade Theory* (reviewed in Helpman (2006, 2011), Redding (2011) and Melitz and Redding (2012)). Recently,

the core ideas made its way into undergraduate classes on International Economics (see Krugman, Obstfeld and Melitz (2012), ch. 8). A graph showing productivity thresholds that divide firms into three groups – exits, non-exporters and exporters – like figure 5.1 in Helpman (2011, p. 103) or figure 2 in Melitz and Redding (2012, p. 20) will soon be as familiar to students of international trade all over the world as a graph showing the consequences of a tariff on production and consumption in a small open economy.

That said, there is empirical evidence that does not fit well into the picture sketched so far: There are exporting firms which are located at the lower end of the productivity distribution and high-productive non-exporting firms. Powell and Wagner (2011) document that in Germany exporters and non-exporters are highly heterogeneous with regard to productivity. Neither low-productivity exporters nor high-productivity non-exporters are a rare species. Hallak and Sivadasan (2010) document similar evidence for India, the U.S., Chile, and Columbia. There is no such thing as a single cut-off point in the productivity distribution that separates non-exporters and exporters.

This paper discusses whether this evidence for low-productive exporters casts doubts on the validity of the stylized fact that exporters tend to be more productive than non-exporters and on the usefulness of theoretical models of the Melitz (2003) type that assume a productivity threshold that exporters have to cross. To do so it uses firm-level data from German manufacturing industries to look at the characteristics of these low-productive exporters for the first time. To anticipate the contribution of this paper to the literature we find that low-productivity exporters are not marginal exporters defined according to the share of exports in total sales, or export participation over time, or the number of goods exported, or the number of

countries exported to. The hypothesis that the lack of an observed productivity threshold between exporters and non-exporters in German manufacturing industries is due to the fact that low-productive exporters are marginal exporters for which the extra costs of exporting compared to selling on the home market might be considered as negligible, therefore, is not supported by the data.

2. Low-productive exporters in German manufacturing industries

2.1 Data and measurement issues

The empirical investigation uses data from two sources. The first source is the regular survey of establishments from manufacturing industries by the Statistical Offices of the German federal states. The survey covers all establishments from manufacturing industries that employ at least twenty persons in the local production unit or in the company that owns the unit. Participation of firms in the survey is mandated in official statistics (see Malchin and Voshage (2009) for details). For this study establishment data were aggregated to the enterprise level to match the unit of observation in the second data source (described below). The survey has information on the number of employees in the firm, total turnover, total exports and detailed industry affiliation.

These data do not cover any information about the goods exported and the countries of destination of the goods. In other words, we know from these data *who* trades *how much*, but not *what* and *with whom*. Information on the goods traded internationally and on the countries with which these goods are traded is available from the statistic on foreign trade (*Außenhandelsstatistik*). This statistic is based on two sources. One source is the reports by German firms on transactions with firms from countries that are members of the European Union (EU); these reports are used

to compile the so-called *Intrahandelsstatistik* on intra-EU trade. The other source is transaction-level data collected by the customs on trade with countries outside the EU (the so-called *Extrahandelsstatistik*).¹ Data in the statistic of foreign trade are transaction-level data, i.e. they relate to one transaction of a German firm with a firm located outside Germany at a time.

For the reporting year 2009 these transaction-level data have been aggregated at the level of the exporting firm for the first time. For each exporting firm that reported either to the statistic on intra-EU trade, or to the statistic on trade with countries outside the EU, we know from these data, among others, the number of goods exported and the number of countries exported to.² Using the firms' registration number for turnover tax statistics these data were matched with the enterprise register system (*Unternehmensregister-System*). For enterprises from manufacturing industries this matching made it possible to add information (that is taken from the regular survey of manufacturing firms discussed above) on industry affiliation, total turnover and the number of employees (see Wagner (2012b)). These newly available data are the second source of data used in this paper. With these data it is possible to investigate the relationship between productivity on the one hand and the number of goods exported and the number of countries traded with on the other hand.

¹ Note that firms with a value of exports to and imports from EU-countries that does not exceed 400,000 Euro in 2009 do not have to report to the statistic on intra-EU trade. For trade with firms from non-member countries all transactions that exceed 1,000 Euro are registered. For details see Statistisches Bundesamt, Qualitätsbericht Außenhandel, Januar 2011.

² Note that information for firms with a value of exports to and imports from EU-countries that does not exceed 400,000 Euro in 2009 is not covered in the data (see footnote 1). Small exporters and importers that trade with EU-countries only are therefore underrepresented in the sample. Presumably, many of these are firms that trade only one good (or a very small number of goods) with one country (or a very small number of countries).

In this section of the paper cross-section data for 2009 are used. The reason is that as of today the newly available firm level data that are based on transaction level data are only available for this year. Given that the East German economy still differs in many respects from the West German economy, especially with regard to exporting (see Wagner (2008)), this study looks at West German and East German manufacturing enterprises separately.

Productivity is measured as labor productivity because information on the capital stock of a firm is not available, so more elaborate measures of total factor productivity cannot be used in this study. However, Bartelsman and Doms (2000, p. 575) point to the fact that heterogeneity in labor productivity has been found to be accompanied by similar heterogeneity in total factor productivity in the reviewed research where both concepts are measured. In a recent comprehensive survey Syverson (2011) argues that high-productivity producers will tend to look efficient regardless of the specific way that their productivity is measured. Furthermore, Foster, Haltiwanger and Syverson (2008) show that productivity measures that use sales (i.e. quantities multiplied by prices) and measures that use quantities only are highly positively correlated. Labor productivity is expressed in percentage of the mean value of labour productivity in the 4digit industry to take care of productivity differences across industries due to differences in capital intensity, demand conditions, regulation and trade barriers, among others.

2.2 Empirical findings

The empirical investigation starts with reproducing one empirical finding that has been reported for Germany (and for many other countries) in numerous studies before: Exporters are more productive than non-exporters

Table 1 documents this finding for enterprises from manufacturing industries in both parts of Germany in 2009. Controlling for 4-digit level industries productivity is much higher in exporting firms compared to non-exporting firms both at the mean and at any other point of the productivity distribution. Statistical tests (t-tests for comparison of mean values and Kolmogorov-Smirnov tests for comparison of distributions) reject the null-hypothesis of equality and point to higher productivity of exporting compared to non-exporting firms (with p-values reported to be $p = 0.000$).

Furthermore, Table 1 indicates that both exporters and non-exporters are highly heterogeneous – low-productive and high-productive exporters and low-productive and high-productive non-exporters coexist. Table 2 reports the share of exporters and non-exporters in the deciles of the productivity distribution in manufacturing enterprises in Germany in 2009. While the share of exporting firms in all firms increases over the deciles, there are high shares of exporters at the lower end of the productivity distribution (and many non-exporting firms at the upper end). This is the second finding: Low productive exporters abound

This finding is at odds with a core implication of the dynamic industry model with heterogeneous firms by Melitz (2003) in which a firm that exports has to have a productivity value that lies beyond some threshold, while firms with a lower productivity serve the home market only (and the least productive firms exit the market). There is no productivity threshold that divides non-exporters and exporters in German manufacturing industries. This lack of a productivity threshold between exporters and non-exporters has been pointed out before by Hallak and Sivadasan (2010) for India, the U.S., Chile, and Columbia, and by Powell and Wagner (2011) for German manufacturing industries based on firm-level data for earlier years.

The literature on heterogeneous firms and exports argues that the reason for a productivity threshold that divides exporters from non-exporters is that exporters have to cover extra-costs to serve a foreign market (including cost for finding foreign customers, transportation costs, distribution or marketing costs, costs for personnel with skill to manage foreign networks, or costs to modify products for foreign customers), and only the more productive firms can cover these export-related costs while still being profitable. How can this be reconciled with the finding reported here?

An explanation for the lack of a productivity threshold between exporters and non-exporters observed in the data here might be that the extra-costs to serve a foreign market are (close to) zero for some exporters. This could be the case if low-productive exporters are marginal exporters. When talking to owners or managers of firms about the way they (started to) export one often hears answers like “There was this guy from Denmark I met at the trade fair, and he ordered some units of our good X”, or “I received a mail from company Y in Switzerland in which they asked for one of our new machines”. In situations like this, or in similar situations where firms export only a tiny share of their total product, export only one single good, or export to one single foreign country only, the extra costs of exporting compared to selling on the home market mentioned above might well be negligible. To see whether this is the case in Germany we will next take a closer look at the low-productive exporters.

One way to test whether low-productive exporters are marginal exporters, and whether high-productive exporters are not, is to look at the share of exports in total sales for exporting manufacturing enterprises in the deciles of the productivity distribution. Table 3 reports empirical evidence for Germany in 2009. On average, the share of exports in total sales is higher at the top than at the bottom of the productivity distribution, but marginal exporters are found in each decile (and firms

with very large shares of exports to total sales, too), and the average value of the exports to sales ratio is far from small at the bottom end of the productivity distribution. This is the third empirical finding: Low productive exporters are not marginal exporters with a low share of exports in total sales.

A second way to define a marginal exporter is to consider firms that export occasionally only (presumably when they receive an unsolicited export order) as marginally engaged in exporting, and firms that export continuously as non-marginal exporters. In general, participation in exporting tends to be highly persistent among firms from manufacturing industries in Germany. Among firms from manufacturing industries that exported in at least one year over the period from 2008 to 2010 in West Germany 90.2 percent exported in each year; the corresponding figure for East Germany is 86.3 percent. Table 4 reports the share of continuous exporters over the period 2008 – 2010 in all exporting firms in the deciles of the productivity distribution. While this share increases along the productivity distribution, it is only slightly below average at the lower end. This is the fourth empirical finding: Low productive exporters are not marginal exporters that export only occasionally.

Another way to define a marginal exporter is to consider the number of different goods exported or the number of countries traded with (or both). We know that in Germany productivity increases with both the number of goods traded and the number of countries traded with (see Wagner (2012b, 2012c)). Table 5 reports the number of goods exported by manufacturing enterprises in the deciles of the productivity distribution.³ The share of firms that exported only one good (or a small

³ A good is an eight-digit number from the official nomenclature for the statistics of foreign trade. Note that the results reported in Table 5 – Table 8 are based on the figures reported in the statistic on foreign trade (discussed in detail in the introductory section), so exports to EU-countries with a value

number of goods) declines over the productivity distribution, but the fraction of firms which export only few goods is not extremely large at the lower end of this distribution, and many firms with a low productivity export many goods. This is the fifth empirical finding: Low productive exporters are not marginal exporters that export only one good or few different goods.

Table 6 reports a similar finding for the number of countries exported to. The share of firms that exported to one country (or to a small number of countries) only declines over the productivity distribution, but many firms with a low productivity export to many countries. This is the sixth empirical finding: Low productive exporters are not marginal exporters that export only to one country or to a few countries.

Firms that export one good only might be non-marginal exporters because they export this good to a large number of countries (which might cause high extra cost for, inter alia, doing market research, finding trading partners and adapt the good to special requirements in each country). Similarly, firms that export to one country only might be non-marginal exporters because they export many goods to this country (which might cause high extra costs for, inter alia, adapt each good to special requirements in that country). A different way to define a marginal exporter, therefore, is to consider firms that export one good to one country only, maybe dealing only with one customer who placed an order with the German company. Table 7 reports the share of exporting manufacturing enterprises of this “one-good-one-target-country-only” – type in the deciles of the productivity distribution. The share of these marginal exporters declines over the productivity distribution, but by far not all exporters from the lower end of the distribution are marginal exporters of

of up to 400,000 Euro are not included (see footnotes 1 and 2 above). Firms that export only to the EU with an export value of less than 400,00 Euro are, therefore, not included in the sample analyzed here.

this type. This is the seventh empirical finding: Low productive exporters are not marginal exporters that export only one good to one country.

3. Concluding remarks

The bottom line, then, is that low-productivity exporters are not marginal exporters defined according to the share of exports in total sales, or export participation over time, or the number of goods exported, or the number of countries exported to. The hypothesis that the lack of an observed productivity threshold between exporters and non-exporters in German manufacturing industries is due to the fact that low-productive exporters are marginal exporters for which the extra costs of exporting compared to selling on the home market might be considered as negligible, therefore, is not supported by the data.

To put these findings into perspective, it seems appropriate to quote at some length from a recent paper⁴ by two of the most important theoreticians in this area, Melitz and Redding (2012, p.5): “Naturally, the model is an abstraction and does not capture all of the features of the data. ... (M)uch of our analysis concentrates on heterogeneity in productivity ..., and hence does not capture the rich range of dimensions along which trading and non-trading firms can differ. Additionally, the baseline version of the model yields sharp predictions such as a single productivity threshold above which all firms export Although these sharp predictions are unlikely to be literally satisfied in the data, they capture systematic relationships or average tendencies in the data, as the higher average productivity of exporters”

We demonstrate that, indeed, the sharp prediction from the baseline version of the Melitz (2003) model, namely that there is a single productivity threshold above

⁴ This paper is forthcoming in Vol. 4 of the highly prestigious Handbook of International Economics.

which all firms export, is at odds with the data from German manufacturing enterprises. While this does not at all devalue the Melitz (2003) model as a tool for theoretical analyses, it points to the need for a closer look at “the rich range of dimensions along which trading and non-trading firms can differ” mentioned by Melitz and Redding (2012, p. 20). Unfortunately, however, the data used here are not rich enough to proceed in this direction.

References

- Bartelsman, Erik J. and Mark Doms (2000): Understanding Productivity: Lessons from Longitudinal Micro Data. *Journal of Economic Literature* 38 (3), 569-594.
- Bernard, Andrew B. and J. Bradford Jensen (1995): Exporters, Jobs and Wages in U.S. Manufacturing: 1976-1987. *Brookings Papers on Economic Activity, Microeconomics* 1, 67-119.
- Bernard, Andrew B., J. Bradford Jensen, Stephen J. Redding and Peter K. Schott (2012): The Empirics of Firm Heterogeneity and International Trade. *Annual Review of Economics* 4, 283-313.
- Bernard, Andrew B. and Joachim Wagner (1997): Exports and Success in German Manufacturing. *Review of World Economics* 133 (1), 134-157.
- Foster, Lucia, John Haltiwanger and Chad Syverson (2008): Reallocation, Firm Turnover, and Efficiency: Selection on Productivity or Profitability? *American Economic Review* 98 (1), 394-425.
- Greenaway, David and Richard Kneller (2007): Firm Heterogeneity, Exporting and Foreign Direct Investment. *Economic Journal* 117 (February), F134-F161.

- Hallak, Juan Carlos and Jagadeesh Sivadasan (2010): Firms' exporting behavior under quality constraints. Working Paper 99, Universidad de San Andres, Departamento de Economia.
- Helpman, Elhanan (2006): Trade, FDI, and the Organization of Firms. *Journal of Economic Literature* 44 (3), 589-630.
- Helpman, Elhanan (2011): Understanding Global Trade. Cambridge, MA and London, England: Harvard University Press.
- Krugman, Paul R., Maurice Obstfeld and Marc J. Melitz (2012): International Economics, Theory and Policy. Ninth Edition. Boston etc.: Pearson.
- Malchin, Anja and Ramona Voshage (2009): Official Firm Data for Germany. *Schmollers Jahrbuch / Journal of Applied Social Science Studies* 129 (3), 501-513.
- Melitz, Marc J. (2003): The Impact of Trade on Intra-Industry Reallocations and Aggregate Industry Productivity. *Econometrica* 71 (6), 1695-1725.
- Melitz, Marc J. and Stephen Redding (2012): Heterogeneous Firms and Trade. NBER Working Paper Series 18652 (forthcoming, Handbook of International Economics, Vol. 4).
- Powell, David and Joachim Wagner (2011): The Exporter Productivity Premium along the Productivity Distribution. Evidence from Unconditional Quantile Regression with Firm Fixed Effects. RAND Working Paper WR-837.
- Redding, Stephen J. (2011): Theories of Heterogeneous Firms and Trade. *Annual Review of Economics* 3, 77-105.
- Syverson, Chad (2011): What determines productivity? *Journal of Economic Literature* 49 (2), 326-365.

- Wagner, Joachim (2007a): Exports and Productivity: A Survey of the Evidence from Firm Level Data. *The World Economy* 30 (1), 60-82.
- Wagner, Joachim (2007b): Exports and Productivity in Germany. *Applied Economics Quarterly* 53 (4), 354-373.
- Wagner, Joachim (2008): A note why more West than East German firms export. *International Economics and Economic Policy* 5 (4), 363-370.
- Wagner, Joachim (2012a): International trade and firm performance: a survey of empirical studies since 2006. *Review of World Economics* 148 (2), 235-267.
- Wagner, Joachim (2012b): Trading many goods with many countries: Exporters and importers from German manufacturing industries. *Jahrbuch für Wirtschaftswissenschaft / Review of Economics* 63 (2), 170-186.
- Wagner, Joachim (2012c): Productivity and the extensive margins of trade in German manufacturing firms: Evidence from a non-parametric test. *Economics Bulletin* 32 (4), 3061-3070.

Table 1: Productivity distribution of exporters and non-exporters in manufacturing industries in Germany, 2009

		Mean	Std.Dev.	p1	p25	p50	p75	p99
<u>West Germany</u>								
Exporters	(N = 22,286)	105.60	85.13	19.25	61.48	87.23	126.01	384.58
Non-Exporters	(N = 8,380)	86.45	105.70	7.09	44.98	66.13	101.35	353.04
<u>East Germany</u>								
Exporters	(N = 3,974)	109.76	113.55	14.54	59.41	88.06	131.02	454.71
Non-Exporters	(N = 2,893)	87.56	82.67	10.34	49.27	69.60	104.77	341.91

Note: Productivity is total sales / employees, measured as a percentage of the average value of the 4-digit level industry. Columns labeled p1 – p99 refer to percentiles of the productivity distribution.

Table 2: Share of exporters and non-exporters in the deciles of the productivity distribution in manufacturing enterprises in Germany, 2009

Deciles	West Germany		East Germany	
	Exporters (%)	Non-exporters (%)	Exporters (%)	Non-exporters (%)
1	49.85	50.15	48.79	51.21
2	59.94	40.06	46.01	53.99
3	67.71	32.29	48.12	51.88
4	70.86	29.14	49.78	50.22
5	76.90	23.10	56.81	43.19
6	78.49	21.51	61.10	38.90
7	81.35	18.65	63.62	36.38
8	81.25	18.75	62.99	37.01
9	80.86	19.14	69.42	30.58
10	78.68	21.32	71.70	28.30

Note: Productivity is total sales / employees, measured as a percentage of the average value of the 4-digit level industry.

Table 3: Share of exports in total sales for exporting manufacturing enterprises in the deciles of the productivity distribution in Germany, 2009

Deciles	West Germany			East Germany		
	Mean	p1	p99	Mean	p1	p99
1	23.22	0.04	97.77	24.35	0.02	100.0
2	23.47	0.06	90.83	19.47	0.02	87.27
3	24.66	0.05	92.37	18.76	0.03	92.00
4	25.47	0.06	88.42	20.31	0.06	95.74
5	26.74	0.04	91.83	20.58	0.07	80.96
6	28.53	0.07	91.28	22.11	0.02	93.53
7	30.69	0.07	94.52	22.88	0.03	93.01
8	32.72	0.06	94.04	23.87	0.03	89.11
9	35.73	0.13	94.19	28.56	0.03	99.42
10	38.37	0.06	98.65	34.41	0.01	99.99

Note: Productivity is total sales / employees, measured as a percentage of the average value of the 4-digit level industry. Columns labeled p1 and p99 refer to percentiles of the distribution of the share of exports in total sales

Table 4: Share of continuous exporters over the period 2008 – 2010 in all exporting firms in the deciles of the productivity distribution in manufacturing industries in Germany

	West Germany	East Germany
Deciles (% of firms)		
1	79.89	79.44
2	84.96	80.14
3	88.55	84.12
4	90.66	86.67
5	91.83	87.03
6	90.56	86.51
7	92.34	86.84
8	92.26	88.12
9	92.24	88.84
10	92.73	90.43

Note: Productivity is total sales / employees in 2009, measured as a percentage of the average value of the 4-digit level industry. The entries in the table are the percentage shares of firms that exported in each year between 2008 and 2010 in all firms that exported in at least one year between 2008 and 2010.

Table 5: Number of goods exported by manufacturing enterprises in the deciles of the productivity distribution in Germany, 2009

No. of goods	West Germany				East Germany			
	1	2-5	6-9	>= 10	1	2-5	6-9	>= 10
Deciles (share of firms; %)								
1	22.4	34.6	14.5	28.5	27.1	35.4	16.7	20.8
2	17.7	35.3	14.0	33.0	27.1	39.1	17.7	16.1
3	16.1	31.9	13.6	38.4	21.7	39.1	15.9	23.3
4	14.4	30.5	13.9	41.2	21.8	39.9	14.5	23.8
5	12.5	29.0	14.2	44.3	16.5	35.6	13.8	34.0
6	12.8	26.1	14.8	46.2	11.3	40.2	16.5	32.0
7	11.1	25.1	13.1	50.7	19.2	36.3	15.5	29.0
8	11.0	24.7	13.0	51.2	13.4	39.7	11.9	35.0
9	9.9	22.7	12.1	55.4	16.1	30.0	16.6	37.3
10	8.1	23.6	10.9	57.5	11.5	26.6	14.6	47.4

Note: Productivity is total sales / employees, measured as a percentage of the average value of the 4-digit level industry. The entries in the table are the shares of firms from a decile of the productivity distribution with the number of products exported listed in the column header.

Table 6: Number of countries exported to by manufacturing enterprises in the deciles of the productivity distribution in Germany, 2009

No. of countries	West Germany				East Germany			
	1	2-5	6-9	>= 10	1	2-5	6-9	>= 10
Deciles (share of firms; %)								
1	16.1	24.4	14.4	45.1	19.3	32.8	12.5	35.4
2	12.6	21.4	12.0	54.0	20.3	30.7	16.7	32.3
3	9.9	16.4	13.6	60.1	16.9	28.0	15.3	39.7
4	9.3	15.2	10.4	65.1	15.0	28.0	12.4	44.6
5	7.8	14.6	11.0	66.6	12.2	29.1	15.3	43.4
6	7.4	14.6	8.9	69.1	8.8	19.1	13.9	58.3
7	5.0	12.5	11.1	71.5	11.4	22.3	13.0	53.4
8	6.2	12.3	9.5	72.1	9.8	19.6	11.9	58.8
9	3.9	11.9	10.4	73.9	7.3	18.1	13.0	61.7
10	3.2	10.5	11.1	75.2	6.3	20.3	9.9	63.5

Note: Productivity is total sales / employees, measured as a percentage of the average value of the 4-digit level industry. The entries in the table are the shares of firms from a decile of the productivity distribution with the number of countries exported to listed in the column header.

Table 7: Share of exporting manufacturing enterprises with one good exported to one country in the deciles of the productivity distribution in Germany, 2009

	West Germany	East Germany
Deciles (share of firms; %)		
1	10.85	15.63
2	7.51	14.58
3	5.60	12.70
4	5.99	9.33
5	4.43	7.41
6	4.49	5.15
7	2.95	6.74
8	4.23	5.15
9	2.28	4.15
10	1.76	3.65

Note: Productivity is total sales / employees, measured as a percentage of the average value of the 4-digit level industry. The entries in the table are the shares of firms in all exporting firms from a decile of the productivity distribution that export one good to one country only.

Working Paper Series in Economics

(recent issues)

- No.262: *Sanne Hiller, Philipp J. H. Schröder, and Allan Sørensen*: Export market exit and firm survival: theory and first evidence, January 2013
- No.261: *Institut für Volkswirtschaftslehre*: Forschungsbericht 2012, Januar 2013
- No.260: *Alexander Vogel and Joachim Wagner*: The Impact of R&D Activities on Exports of German Business Services Enterprises : First Evidence from a continuous treatment approach, December 2012
- No.259: *Christian Pfeifer*: Base Salaries, Bonus Payments, and Work Absence among Managers in a German Company, December 2012
- No.258: *Daniel Fackler, Claus Schnabel, and Joachim Wagner*: Lingerin illness or sudden death? Pre-exit employment developments in German establishments, December 2012
- No.257: *Horst Raff and Joachim Wagner*: Productivity and the Product Scope of Multi-product Firms: A Test of Feenstra-Ma, December 2012
- No.256: *Christian Pfeifer and Joachim Wagner*: Is innovative firm behavior correlated with age and gender composition of the workforce? Evidence from a new type of data for German enterprises, December 2012
- No.255: *Maximilian Benner*: Cluster Policy as a Development Strategy. Case Studies from the Middle East and North Africa, December 2012
- No.254: *Joachim Wagner und John P. Weche Gelübcke*: Firmendatenbasiertes Benchmarking der Industrie und des Dienstleistungssektors in Niedersachsen – Methodisches Konzept und Anwendungen (Projektbericht), Dezember 2012
- No.253: *Joachim Wagner*: The Great Export Recovery in German Manufacturing Industries, 2009/2010, November 2012
- No.252: *Joachim Wagner*: Daten des IAB-Betriebspanels und Firmenpaneldaten aus Erhebungen der Amtlichen Statistik – substitutive oder komplementäre Inputs für die Empirische Wirtschaftsforschung?, Oktober 2012
- No.251: *Joachim Wagner*: Credit constraints and exports: Evidence for German manufacturing enterprises, October 2012
- No.250: *Joachim Wagner*: Productivity and the extensive margins of trade in German manufacturing firms: Evidence from a non-parametric test, September 2012 [published in: Economics Bulletin 32 (2012), 4, 3061-3070]
- No.249: *John P. Weche Gelübcke*: Foreign and Domestic Takeovers in Germany: First Comparative Evidence on the Post-acquisition Target Performance using new Data, September 2012
- No.248: *Roland Olbrich, Martin Quaas, and Stefan Baumgärtner*: Characterizing commercial cattle farms in Namibia: risk, management and sustainability, August 2012
- No.247: *Alexander Vogel and Joachim Wagner*: Exports, R&D and Productivity in German Business Services Firms: A test of the Bustos-model, August 2012
- No.246: *Alexander Vogel and Joachim Wagner*: Innovations and Exports of German Business Services Enterprises: First evidence from a new type of firm data, August 2012
- No.245: *Stephan Humpert*: Somewhere over the Rainbow: Sexual Orientation Discrimination in Germany, July 2012

- No.244: *Joachim Wagner*: Exports, R&D and Productivity: A test of the Bustos-model with German enterprise data, June 2012 [published in: *Economics Bulletin*, 32 (2012), 3, 1942-1948]
- No.243: *Joachim Wagner*: Trading many goods with many countries: Exporters and importers from German manufacturing industries, June 2012 [published in: *Jahrbuch für Wirtschaftswissenschaften/Review of Economics*, 63 (2012), 2, 170-186]
- No.242: *Joachim Wagner*: German multiple-product, multiple-destination exporters: Bernard-Redding-Schott under test, June 2012 [published in: *Economics Bulletin*, 32 (2012), 2, 1708-1714]
- No.241: *Joachim Fünfgelt* and *Stefan Baumgärtner*: Regulation of morally responsible agents with motivation crowding, June 2012
- No.240: *John P. Weche Gelübcke*: Foreign and Domestic Takeovers: Cherry-picking and Lemon-grabbing, April 2012
- No.239: *Markus Leibrecht* and *Aleksandra Riedl*: Modelling FDI based on a spatially augmented gravity model: Evidence for Central and Eastern European Countries, April 2012
- No.238: *Norbert Olah*, *Thomas Huth* und *Dirk Löhr*: Monetarismus mit Liquiditätsprämie Von Friedmans optimaler Inflationsrate zur optimalen Liquidität, April 2012
- No.237: *Markus Leibrecht* and *Johann Scharler*: Government Size and Business Cycle Volatility; How Important Are Credit Constraints?, April 2012
- No.236: *Frank Schmielewski* and *Thomas Wein*: Are private banks the better banks? An insight into the principal-agent structure and risk-taking behavior of German banks, April 2012
- No.235: *Stephan Humpert*: Age and Gender Differences in Job Opportunities, March 2012
- No.234: *Joachim Fünfgelt* and *Stefan Baumgärtner*: A utilitarian notion of responsibility for sustainability, March 2012
- No.233: *Joachim Wagner*: The Microstructure of the Great Export Collapse in German Manufacturing Industries, 2008/2009, February 2012 [published in: *Economics - The Open-Access, Open-Assessment E-Journal*, Vol. 7, 2013-5]
- No.232: *Christian Pfeifer* and *Joachim Wagner*: Age and gender composition of the workforce, productivity and profits: Evidence from a new type of data for German enterprises, February 2012
- No.231: *Daniel Fackler*, *Claus Schnabel*, and *Joachim Wagner*: Establishment exits in Germany: the role of size and age, February 2012
- No.230: *Institut für Volkswirtschaftslehre*: Forschungsbericht 2011, January 2012
- No.229: *Frank Schmielewski*: Leveraging and risk taking within the German banking system: Evidence from the financial crisis in 2007 and 2008, January 2012
- No.228: *Daniel Schmidt* and *Frank Schmielewski*: Consumer reaction on tumbling funds – Evidence from retail fund outflows during the financial crisis 2007/2008, January 2012
- No.227: *Joachim Wagner*: New Methods for the Analysis of Links between International Firm Activities and Firm Performance: A Practitioner's Guide, January 2012
- No.226: *Alexander Vogel* and *Joachim Wagner*: The Quality of the KombiFiD-Sample of Business Services Enterprises: Evidence from a Replication Study, January 2012 [published in: *Schmollers Jahrbuch/Journal of Applied Social Science Studies* 132 (2012), 3, 379-392]

- No.225: *Stefanie Glotzbach*: Environmental justice in agricultural systems. An evaluation of success factors and barriers by the example of the Philippine farmer network MASIPAG, January 2012
- No.224: *Joachim Wagner*: Average wage, qualification of the workforce and export performance in German enterprises: Evidence from KombiFiD data, January 2012 [published in: Journal for Labour Market Research, 45 (2012), 2, 161-170]
- No.223: *Maria Olivares* and *Heike Wetzel*: Competing in the Higher Education Market: Empirical Evidence for Economies of Scale and Scope in German Higher Education Institutions, December 2011
- No.222: *Maximilian Benner*: How export-led growth can lead to take-off, December 2011
- No.221: *Joachim Wagner* and *John P. Weche Gelübcke*: Foreign Ownership and Firm Survival: First evidence for enterprises in Germany, December 2011 [published in: International Economics/Économie Internationale, 132(2012), 4, 117-139]
- No.220: *Martin F. Quaas*, *Daan van Soest*, and *Stefan Baumgärtner*: Complementarity, impatience, and the resilience of natural-resource-dependent economies, November 2011
- No.219: *Joachim Wagner*: The German Manufacturing Sector is a Granular Economy, November 2011 [published in: Applied Economics Letters, 19(2012), 17, 1663-1665]
- No.218: *Stefan Baumgärtner*, *Stefanie Glotzbach*, *Nikolai Hoberg*, *Martin F. Quaas*, and *Klara Stumpf*: Trade-offs between justices , economics, and efficiency, November 2011
- No.217: *Joachim Wagner*: The Quality of the KombiFiD-Sample of Enterprises from Manufacturing Industries: Evidence from a Replication Study, November 2011 [published in: Schmollers Jahrbuch/Journal of Applied Social Science Studies 132 (2012), 3, 393-403]
- No.216: *John P. Weche Gelübcke*: The Performance of Foreign Affiliates in German Manufacturing: Evidence from a new Database, November 2011 [published in: Review of World Economics, 149(1) (2013), 151-182]
- No.215: *Joachim Wagner*: Exports, Foreign Direct Investments and Productivity: Are services firms different?, September 2011
- No.214: *Stephan Humpert* and *Christian Pfeifer*: Explaining Age and Gender Differences in Employment Rates: A Labor Supply Side Perspective, August 2011
- No.213: *John P. Weche Gelübcke*: Foreign Ownership and Firm Performance in German Services: First Evidence based on Official Statistics, August 2011 [forthcoming in: The Service Industries Journal]
- No.212: *John P. Weche Gelübcke*: Ownership Patterns and Enterprise Groups in German Structural Business Statistics, August 2011 [published in: Schmollers Jahrbuch / Journal of Applied Social Science Studies, 131(2011), 4, 635-647]
- No.211: *Joachim Wagner*: Exports, Imports and Firm Survival: First Evidence for manufacturing enterprises in Germany, August 2011 [published in: Review of World Economics, 149(1) (2013), 113-130]
- No.210: *Joachim Wagner*: International Trade and Firm Performance: A Survey of Empirical Studies since 2006, August 2011 [published in: Review of World Economics, 2012, 148 (2), 235-267]

(see www.leuphana.de/institute/ivwl/publikationen/working-papers.html for a complete list)

Leuphana Universität Lüneburg
Institut für Volkswirtschaftslehre
Postfach 2440
D-21314 Lüneburg
Tel.: ++49 4131 677 2321
email: brodt@leuphana.de

www.leuphana.de/institute/ivwl/publikationen/working-papers.html